## The Semantics of K

Formal Systems Laboratory University of Illinois

September 2, 2017

Please feel free to contribute to this report in all ways. You could add new contents, remove redundant ones, refactor and organize the texts, and correct typos, but please follow the FSL rules for editing, though; e.g., <80 characters per line, each sentence on a new line, etc.

## 1 Matching Logic

Let us recall the basic grammar of matching logic from [?]. Assume a matching logic signature  $(S, \Sigma)$ , and let  $Var_s$  be a countable set of variables of sort s, where the sets of sorts S and of symbols  $\Sigma$  are enumerable sets. We partition  $\Sigma$  in sets of symbols  $\Sigma_{s_1...s_n,s}$  of arity  $s_1...s_n,s$ , where  $s_1,...,s_n,s \in S$ . Then patterns of sort  $s \in S$  are generated by the following grammar:

```
\varphi_s ::= x : s \quad \text{where } x \in Var
\mid \varphi_s \wedge \varphi_s \mid
\mid \neg \varphi_s \mid
\mid \exists x : s' . \varphi_s \quad \text{where } x \in N \text{ and } s' \in S
\mid \sigma(\varphi_{s_1}, \dots, \varphi_{s_n}) \quad \text{where } \sigma \in \Sigma \text{ has } n \text{ arguments, and } \dots
```

Figure 1: The grammar of matching logic.

The grammar above only defines the syntax of (well-formed) patterns of sort s. It says nothing about their semantics. For example, patterns  $x:s \wedge y:s$  and  $y:s \wedge x:s$  are distinct elements in the language of the grammar, in spite of them being semantically/provably equal in matching logic.

For notational convenience, we take the liberty to use mix-fix syntax for operators in  $\Sigma$ , parentheses for grouping, and omit variable sorts when understood. For example, if  $Nat \in S$  and  $\_+\_, \_*\_ \in \Sigma_{Nat \times Nat, Nat}$  then we may write (x+y)\*z instead of  $\_*\_(\_+\_(x:Nat,y:Nat),z:Nat)$ . More notational convenience and conventions will be introduced along the way as use them.

A matching logic theory is a triple  $(S, \Sigma, A)$  where  $(S, \Sigma)$  is a signature and A is a set of patterns called *axioms*. Like in many logics, sets of patterns may be presented as *schemas* making use of meta-variables ranging over patterns, sometimes constrained to subsets of patterns using side conditions. For example:

$$\varphi[\varphi_1/x] \wedge (\varphi_1 = \varphi_2) \rightarrow \varphi[\varphi_2/x] \quad \text{where } \varphi \text{ is any pattern and } \varphi_1, \, \varphi_2 \\ \text{are any patterns of same sort as } x \\ (\lambda x.\varphi)\varphi' = \varphi[\varphi'/x] \quad \text{where } \varphi, \, \varphi' \text{ are } syntactic \ patterns, \text{ that is, } \\ \text{ones formed only with variables and symbols} \\ \text{This is not true. Pattern } \varphi \text{ contains quantifiers.} \\ \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = \varphi_1 +_{Nat} \varphi_2 \quad \text{where } \varphi, \, \varphi' \text{ are } ground \text{ syntactic patterns } \\ \text{of sort } Nat, \text{ that is, patterns built only } \\ \text{with symbols } \mathbf{zero} \text{ and } \mathbf{succ} \\ (\varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2) \rightarrow (\varphi[\varphi_1/x] \rightarrow \varphi[\varphi_2/x]) \quad \text{where } \varphi \text{ is a } positive \ context in } x, \text{ that is, } \\ \text{a pattern containing only one occurrence } \\ \text{of } x \text{ with no negation } (\neg) \text{ on the path to } \\ x, \text{ and where } \varphi_1, \, \varphi_2 \text{ are any patterns } \\ \text{having the same sort} \\ \end{cases}$$

One of the major goals of this paper is to propose a formal language and an implementation, that allows us to write such pattern schemas.

# 2 A Calculus of Matching Logic

In this section, we define a matching logic theory  $K = (S_K, \Sigma_K, A_K)$  as the calculus of matching logic, where  $S_K, \Sigma_K$ , and  $A_K$  are sets of sorts, symbols, and axioms, respectively.

### 2.1 Boolean Algebra

The matching logic theory of Boolean algebra is included in K, and the corresponding sort is named  $KBool \in S_K$ . Constructors of the sort KBool are two functional symbols

$$Ktrue: \rightarrow KBool$$
  $Kfalse: \rightarrow KBool.$ 

Common Boolean operators are defined as functional symbols with their corresponding axioms

 $KnotBool \colon KBool \to KBool$  KnotBool(Ktrue) = Kfalse  $KandBool \colon KBool \times KBool \to KBool$  KnotBool(Kfalse) = Ktrue  $KorBool \colon KBool \times KBool \to KBool$  KandBool(Kfalse, b) = b $KimpliesBool \colon KBool \times KBool \to KBool$  KandBool(Kfalse, b) = Kfalse The symbols KorBool and KimpliesBool are defined in terms of the symbols KnotBool and KandBool in the usual way

```
KorBool(b_1, b_2) = KnotBool(KnotBool(KnotBool(b_1), KnotBool(b_2)))

KimpliesBool(b_1, b_2) = KorBool(KnotBool(b_1), b_2).
```

Notation 1. If b is a term pattern of sort KBool, then we will write just b instead of b = Ktrue so that we can use Boolean expressions in any sort context.

Notation 2. To write Boolean expressions compactly, we adopt the following abbreviations if there is no confusion

```
\neg b \equiv KnotBool(b) 

b_1 \land b_2 \equiv KandBool(b_1, b_2) 

b_1 \lor b_2 \equiv KorBool(b_1, b_2) 

b_1 \rightarrow b_2 \equiv KimpliesBool(b_1, b_2).
```

## 2.2 Strings

Introduce the matching logic theory for strings here.

### 2.3 Matching Logic Sorts and Symbols

The sort KSort is the sort for matching logic sorts. The only constructor of the sort KSort is the functional symbol:

$$Ksort: KString \rightarrow KSort.$$

The sort KSymbol is the sort for matching logic symbols. The only constructor of the sort KSymbol is the functional symbol:

 $Ksymbol: KString \rightarrow KSymbol.$ 

#### 2.4 Lists

Whenever we introduce a sort, say X, to  $S_K$ , we feel free to use XList as the sort of lists over X with the following symbols implicitly declared:

 $\mathit{nilXList} \; : \; \to \mathit{XList}$ 

 $appendXList: XList \times XList \rightarrow XList$ 

 $inXList: X \times XList \rightarrow KBool$ 

 $XListAsX : X \rightarrow XList$ ,

This is just a notation convention which allows us to have sorts like *KPatternList* and *KSortList* without defining each of them. We are NOT introducing any parametric modules here.

with axioms saying that appendXList is associative and nilXList is its identity. We adopt the following shorthands:

```
nil as a shorthand of nilXList \varphi_e \in \varphi_l as a shorthand of inXList(\varphi_e, \varphi_l) = Ktrue \varphi_e \notin \varphi_l as a shorthand of inXList(\varphi_e, \varphi_l) = Kfalse appendXList() as a shorthand of nil appendXList(\varphi) as a shorthand of XListAsX(\varphi) appendXList(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) as a shorthand of appendXList(XListAsX(\varphi_1), appendXList(XListAsX(\varphi_2), \ldots, appendXList(XListAsX(\varphi_n), nil))) when n \geq 2.
```

## 2.5 Matching Logic Patterns

The sort *KPattern* is the sort for matching logic patterns. Constructors of the sort *KPattern* are the following functional symbols:

 $Kvariable: KString \times KSort \rightarrow KPattern$ 

 $Kand, Kor, Kimplies, Kiff: KPattern \times KPattern \times KSort \rightarrow KPattern$ 

 $Knot: KPattern \times KSort \rightarrow KPattern$ 

 $Kapplication: KSymbol \times KPatternList \rightarrow KPattern$ 

 $Kexists, Kforall: KString \times KSort \times KPattern \times KSort \rightarrow KPattern$ 

 $Keguals, Kcontains: KPattern \times KPattern \times KSort \times KSort \rightarrow KPattern$ 

 $Ktop, Kbottom: KSort \rightarrow KPattern.$ 

Notation 3. As a convention, we use b for KBool variables, x, y, z for KString variables, s for KSort variables,  $\sigma$  for KSymbol variables, and p, q, r for KPattern variables.

The functional symbol

 $KgetFvs: KPattern \rightarrow KPatternList$ 

collects all free variables in a pattern.

Add corresponding axioms.

The functional symbol

 $KfreshName: KPatternList \rightarrow KString$ 

generates a variable name that does not occur free in the argument patterns. The symbol

Add corresponding axioms.

 $Ksubstitute: KPattern \times KPattern \times KPattern \rightarrow KPattern$ 

takes a target pattern  $\varphi$ , a "find"-pattern  $\psi_1$ , and a "replace"-pattern  $\psi_2$ , and returns  $\varphi[\psi_2/\psi_1]$ . The following axioms define *Ksubstitute*:

Add corresponding axioms.

```
Ksubstitute(r,q,r) = q
Ksubstitute(Kand(p_1,p_2),q,r)
= Kand(Ksubstitute(p_1,q,r), Ksubstitute(p_2,q,r))
Ksubstitute(Kor(p_1,p_2),q,r)
= Kor(Ksubstitute(p_1,q,r), Ksubstitute(p_2,q,r))
...
Ksubstitute(Kexists(x:String,s,p),q,r)
= Kexists(KfreshName(p,q,r),s,
Ksubstitute((Ksubstitute(p,Kvariable(KfreshName(p,q,r),s),
Kvariable(x:String,s),q,r))
...
```

### 2.6 Matching Logic Signatures

The sort KSignature is the sort for matching logic signatures, and it has just one constructor symbol:

 $Ksignature: KSortList \times KSymbolList \rightarrow KSignature.$ 

The functional symbol  $KwellFormed: KPattern \times KSignature \rightarrow KBool$  return Ktrue if the argument pattern is well-formed in the argument signature. The corresponding axioms are:

TODO

Add corresponding

#### 2.7 Matching Logic Theories

WIF

The sort KTheory is the sort of matching logic theories. The only constructor symbol is

 $Ktheory: KSignature \times KPatternList \rightarrow KTheory.$ 

### 2.8 Matching Logic Proof System

The functional symbol

 $Kdeduce: KTheory \times KPattern \rightarrow KBool$ 

returns Ktrue if the argument pattern is deducible in the argument theory.

A proof system is a theorem generator. In K, the proof system of matching logic is captured by the functional symbol  $deducible: KPattern \rightarrow KBool$ , which returns Ktrue iff the argument pattern is a theorem.

We introduce the double bracket  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket$ , known as the semantics bracket, as follows:

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \equiv (deducible(lift[\varphi]) = true).$$

Intuitively,  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$  means that " $\varphi$  is deducible". Whenever there is an inference rule (axioms are considered as rules with zero premise)

$$\frac{\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_n}{\psi}$$

in matching logic, there is a corresponding axiom in K:

$$\llbracket \varphi_1 \rrbracket \wedge \cdots \wedge \llbracket \varphi_n \rrbracket \to \llbracket \psi \rrbracket.$$

Inference modulo theories can be considered in the same way. For any (syntactic) matching logic theory T whose axiom set is A, we add

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$$
 for all  $\varphi \in A$ 

as axioms to K. We sometimes denote the extended theory as  $\mathit{lift}[T]$  and call it the  $\mathit{meta-theory}\ \mathit{for}\ T$ .

#### 2.9 Faithfulness

It remains a question whether the calculus K faithfully captures matching logic reasoning. The following definition of faithfulness is inspired by [?].

**Definition 4.** The calculus K is said to be faithful for matching logic, if for any matching logic syntactic theory T and its meta-theory lift[T],

 $\varphi$  is a theorem in T iff  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$  is a theorem in lift[T], for any pattern  $\varphi$ .

**Theorem 5.** The calculus K is faithful for matching logic.

Proof. TBC. 
$$\Box$$

Having a faithful calculus for matching logic has at least the following two benefits. Firstly, any implementation of the calculus is guaranteed to be able to conduct any reasoning in matching logic. Secondly, it allows us to define a matching logic theory T by defining its meta-theory lift[T] in the calculus K. The second point is of great importance if we want a formal language to define matching logic theories. We notice that there are many theories whose definitions involve notations that do not belong to the logic itself. For example, in the  $(\beta)$  axiom

$$\lambda x.e[e'] = e[e'/x]$$
 where e and e' are  $\lambda$ -terms,

we use the notation for substitution  $_{-[-/-]}$ , meta-variables e and e', and their range " $\lambda$ -terms". None of those can be given a formal semantics in the object-logic, but can be defined in the calculus K.

## 3 The Kore Language

We have shown K, a calculus for matching logic in which we can specify everything about matching logic and matching logic theories, such as whether a pattern is well-formed, what sort a patter has, which patterns are deducible, free variables, fresh variables generation, substitution, etc. The calculus K provides a universe of pattern ASTs and the sound and complete proof system of matching logic. On the other hand, it is usually easier to work at object-level rather than meta-level. Even if all reasoning in a matching logic theory T can be faithfully lifted to and conducted in its meta-theory lift[T], it does not mean one should always do so.

The Kore language is proposed to define matching logic theories using the calculus K. At the same time, it also provides a nice surface syntax (syntactic sugar) to write object-level patterns. We will firstly show the formal grammar of Kore in Section 3.1, followed by some examples in Section 3.2. After that, we will introduce a transformation from Kore definitions to meta-theories as the formal semantics of Kore in Section  $\ref{eq:Kore}$ ?

### 3.1 Syntax and Semantics of Kore

```
// Namespaces for sorts, variables, metavariables,
// symbols, and Kore modules.
Sort
               = String
VariableId
               = String
MetaVariableId = String
Symbol
               = String
ModuleId
               = String
Variable
               = VariableId:Sort
MetaVariable
               = MetaVariableId::Sort
Pattern
               = Variable | MetaVariable
               | \and(Pattern, Pattern)
               | \not(Pattern)
               | \exists(Variable, Pattern)
               | Symbol(PatternList)
Sentence
               = import ModuleId
               | syntax Sort
               | syntax Sort ::= Symbol(SortList)
               | axiom Pattern
Sentences
               = Sentence | Sentences Sentences
Module
               = module ModuleId
                   Sentences
                 endmodule
```

In Kore syntax, the backslash "\" is reserved for matching logic connectives and the sharp "#" is reserved for the meta-level, i.e., the K sorts and symbols.

Therefore, the sorts KBool, KString, KSymbol, KSort, and KPattern in the calculus K are denoted as #Bool, #String, #Symbol, #Sort, and #Pattern in Kore respectively. Symbols in K are denoted in the similar way, too. For example, the constructor symbol  $Kvariable: KString \times KSort \rightarrow KPattern$  is denoted as #variable in Kore.

A Kore module definition begins with the keyword module followed by the name of the module-being-defined, and ends with the keyword endmodule. The body of the definition consists of some *sentences*, whose meaning are introduced in the following.

The keyword import takes an argument as the name of the module-being-imported, and looks for that module in previous definitions. If the module is found, the body of that module is copied to the current module. Otherwise, nothing happens. The keyword syntax leads a syntax declaration, which can be either a sort declaration or a symbol declaration. Sorts declared by sort declarations are called object-sorts, in comparison to the five meta-sorts, #Bool, #String, #Symbol, #Sort, and #Pattern, in K. Symbols whose argument sorts and return sort are all object-sorts (meta-sorts) are called object-symbols (meta-sorts).

Patterns are written in prefix forms. A pattern is called an *object-pattern* (meta-pattern) if all sorts and symbols in it are object (meta) ones. Meta-symbols will be added to the calculus K, while object-sorts and object-symbols will not. They only serve for the purpose to parse an object pattern.

The keyword axiom takes a pattern and adds an axiom to the calculus K. If the pattern is a meta-pattern, it adds the pattern itself as an axiom. If the pattern  $\varphi$  is an object-pattern, it adds  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$  as an axiom to the calculus K.

Recall that we have defined the semantics bracket as

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \equiv (deducible(lift[\varphi]) = true),$$

where  $\varphi$  is a pattern of the grammar in Figure 1. However, here in Kore we allow  $\varphi$  containing *meta-variables*. As a result, we modify the definition of the semantics bracket as

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \equiv mvsc[\varphi] \rightarrow (deducible(lift[\varphi]) = true),$$

where the lifting function lift[.] and the meta-variable sort constraint mvsc[.] are defined in Algorithm 1 and 2, respectively. Intuitively, meta-variables in an object-pattern  $\varphi$  are lifted to variables of the sort KPattern with the corresponding sort constraints. For example, the meta-variable x:KPattern in K with the constraint that KgetSort(x:KPattern) = sort(s). The function mvsc[.] collects all such meta-variable sort constraint in an object-pattern is implemented in Algorithm 2.

#### 3.2 Examples of Kore

Xiaohong: Add more examples and texts here

```
Algorithm 1: Lifting Function lift[_]
```

```
Input: An object-pattern \varphi.
    Output: The meta-representation (ASTs) of \varphi in K
 1 if \varphi is x:s then
 Return variable(x, sort(s))
 з else if \varphi is x::s then
        Return x:KPattern \land (sort(s) = KgetSort(x:KPattern))
 5 else if \varphi is \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 then
        Return Kand(lift[\varphi_1], lift[\varphi_2])
 7 else if \varphi is \neg \varphi_1 then
        Return Knot(lift[\varphi_1])
 9 else if \varphi is \exists x : s. \varphi_1 then
        Return Kexists(x, sort(s), lift[\varphi_1])
11 else if \varphi is \sigma(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n) and \sigma \in \Sigma_{s_1, \ldots, s_n, s} then
        Return
12
          Kapplication(symbol(\sigma, (Ksort(s_1), \dots, Ksort(s_n)), Ksort(s)),
          lift[\varphi_1], \ldots, lift[\varphi_n])
```

#### Algorithm 2: Meta-Variable Sort Constraint Collection mvsc

```
Input: An object-pattern \varphi
Output: The meta-variable sort constraint of \varphi

1 Collect in set W all meta-variables appearing in \varphi;

2 Let C = \emptyset;

3 foreach x :: s \in W do

4 \bigcup C = C \cup (sort(s) = KgetSort(x:KPattern))

5 Return \bigwedge C;
```

#### The BOOL module.

```
module BOOL
  syntax Bool
  syntax Bool ::= true | false | notBool(Bool)
                | andBool(Bool, Bool) | orBool(Bool, Bool)
  axiom \or(true(), false())
  axiom \exists(X:Bool, \equals(X:Bool, true()))
  axiom \equals(andBool(B1::Bool, B2::Bool),
                andBool(B2::Bool, B1::Bool))
  axiom ... ...
endmodule
The BOOL module (desugared).
module BOOL
  axiom \equals(
    #true,
    #deducible(#or(#application(#symbol("true", #nilSort, #sort("Bool")),
                                #nilPattern),
                   #application(#symbol("false", #nilSort, #sort("Bool")),
                                #nilPattern))))
  axiom \equals(
    #true,
    #deducible(#exists("X", #sort("Bool"),
               #equals(#variable("X", #sort("Bool")),
                       #application(#symbol("true", #nilSort, #sort("Bool")),
                                    #nilPattern)))))
  axiom \implies(
    \and(\equals(#getSort(B1:Pattern), #sort("Bool")),
         \equals(#getSort(B2:Pattern), #sort("Bool"))),
    \equals(
      #deducible(#equals(#application(#symbol("andBool",
                                              (#sort("Bool"), #sort("Bool"))
                                              #sort("Bool")),
                                      (B1:Patern, B2:Pattern)), ---- TODO
                         #application(#symbol("andBool",
                                              (#sort("Bool"), #sort("Bool"))
                                              #sort("Bool")),
                                      (B2:Patern, B1:Pattern))))))
  axiom ... ...
endmodule
The LAMBDA module
module LAMBDA
  syntax Exp
  syntax Exp ::= app(Exp, Exp) | lambda0(Exp, Exp)
  syntax #Bool ::= isLTerm(#Pattern)
```

```
axiom \equals(
    isLTerm(#variable(X:String, #sort("Exp"))),
    true)
  axiom \equals(
    isLTerm(#application(
              #symbol("app", (#sort("Exp"), #sort("Exp")), #sort("Exp")),
              (E:Pattern, E':Pattern))),
    andBool(isLTerm(E:Pattern), isLTerm(E':Pattern)))
  axiom \equals(
    isLTerm(#exists(X:String, #sort("Exp"),
                    #application(#symbol("lambda0",
                                         (#sort("Exp"), #sort("Exp")),
                                         #sort("Exp")),
                                 (#variable(X:String, #sort("Exp")),
                                  E:Pattern))),
    isLTerm(E:Pattern))
  axiom \implies(\equals(true,
                         andBool(isLTerm(E:Pattern),
                                 isLTerm(E':Pattern))),
                 \equals(true,
                         deducible(#equals(...1,
                                           ...2))))
endmodule
```