# Towards an Efficient and Economic Deductive System of Matching Logic

FSL group

December 24, 2016

We aim for a Hilbert style deductive system which has a relatively large number of axioms but only a few inference rules.

### 1 Grammar and extended grammar

The formal language  $\mathcal{L}$  we use to write matching logic patterns is defined as follows.

$$P := x$$

$$|P_1 \rightarrow P_2|$$

$$|\neg P$$

$$|\forall x.P|$$

$$|\sigma(P_1, \dots, P_n)|$$

$$|P_1 = P_2|$$

$$(*** extended ***)$$

$$|P_1 \lor P_2|$$

$$|P_1 \lor P_2|$$

$$|P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2|$$

$$|\exists x.P|$$

$$|P_1 \neq P_2|$$

$$|\top$$

$$|\bot$$

$$|[P]|$$

$$|P||$$

$$|P||$$

$$|P| \subseteq P_2|$$

$$|x \in P|$$

with the extended grammar defined as

$$\begin{split} P_1 \vee P_2 &\coloneqq \neg P_2 \to P_1 \\ P_1 \wedge P_2 &\coloneqq \neg (\neg P_1 \vee \neg P_2) \\ P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2 &\coloneqq (P_1 \to P_2) \wedge (P_2 \to P_1) \\ \exists x.P &\coloneqq \neg \forall x. \neg P \\ P_1 \neq P_2 &\coloneqq \neg (P_1 = P_2) \\ \top &\coloneqq x_1 = x_1 \\ \bot &\coloneqq x_1 \neq x_1 \\ \lceil P \rceil &\coloneqq P \neq \bot \\ \lceil P \rceil &\coloneqq P = \top \\ P_1 \subseteq P_2 &\coloneqq \lceil P_1 \to P_2 \rceil \\ x \in P &\coloneqq x \subseteq P \end{split}$$

#### 2 Hilbert proof system

Axioms in  $\mathcal{L}$  are given by the following nine axiom schemata where P, Q, R are arbitrary patterns and x, y are variables.

- (K1)  $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)$
- $(K2) (P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)) \rightarrow ((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R))$
- (K3)  $(\neg P \rightarrow \neg O) \rightarrow (O \rightarrow P)$
- (K4)  $\forall x.(P \to Q) \to (P \to \forall x.Q)$  if x does not occur free in P
- (K5)  $\forall x.P \rightarrow P$  if x does not occur free in P
- (K6)  $\forall x.P(x) \rightarrow P(y)$
- (K7) P = P
- (K8)  $P_1 = P_2 \to (Q[P_1/x] \to Q[P_2/x])$
- (K9)  $\exists y.Q = y \rightarrow (\forall x.P(x) \rightarrow P[Q/x])$  if Q is free for x in P

Inference rules include

- (Modus Ponens) From P and  $P \rightarrow Q$ , deduce Q.
- (Universal Generalization) From P, deduce  $\forall x.P.$

**Proposition 1** (Deduction Theorem). *If*  $\Gamma \cup \{P\} \vdash Q$  *and the proof does not use*  $\forall x$ *-Generalization where x is free in P, then*  $\Gamma \vdash P \rightarrow Q$ .

**Proposition 2** (Tautology). For any tautology  $\mathcal{A}(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$  where  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  are propositional variables,

$$\vdash \mathcal{A}(P_1,\ldots,P_n).$$

**Proposition 3** (More Theorems in  $\mathcal{L}$ ).

$$\vdash \exists x.x$$

$$\vdash \lceil x \rceil$$

$$\vdash \exists y.x = y$$

$$\vdash P_1 = P_2 \rightarrow Q[P_1/x] = Q[P_2/x]$$

#### 3 Inference rules

**Axioms** 

$$\frac{\cdot}{\Gamma \vdash A}$$

where A is an axiom.

**Inclusion** 

$$\frac{\cdot}{\Gamma \vdash P}$$

where  $P \in \Gamma$ .

**Modus Ponens** 

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash Q \to P \quad \Gamma \vdash Q}{\Gamma \vdash P}$$

**Closed-Form Deduction Theorem** 

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{P\} \vdash Q}{\Gamma \vdash P \to Q}$$

where P is closed.

**Universal Generalization** 

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.P} \ (\forall x)$$

**Conjunction Splitting** 

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P \quad \Gamma \vdash Q}{\Gamma \vdash P \land Q}$$

## **Examples of proof.sty**

\infer draws beautiful proof figures easily:

(1)

$$\frac{B11 \& B12 \& B13 \quad B21 \& B22 \& B23}{B} \quad C \quad A$$

You can use also some variations:

(4)  $B11 \& B12 \& B13 : B21 \& B22 \& B23 : \vdots$  B : C (1)

(5) 
$$B11 \& B12 \& B13 \quad B21 \& B22 \& B23 \\ \sum_{B} \qquad \qquad (2) \\ C \\ \vdots \qquad (1) \\ A1 \& A2 \& A3 \& A4 \& A5 \& A6$$

$$\underline{\underline{A \& B \& C}}_{A}$$

Here are more practical examples:

(7) 
$$\frac{A \cdot B}{A \cdot \& B} (\&I) \qquad \frac{A \cdot \& B}{A} (\&E_l) \qquad \frac{A \cdot \& B}{B} (\&E_r)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{B}{A \to B} (\to I) \qquad \frac{A \to B \cdot A}{B} (\to E)$$

Some techniques: Use \vcenter for an equation of proofs.

(8)  $\pi = \underbrace{A \quad \frac{B \quad C}{D}}_{F}$ 

Use \kern to adjust the form of a proof.

 $\underbrace{A \quad \frac{B \quad C}{D}}_{E}$