Summary

In all of three main constitutional principles, pacifism is most peculiar and represented in the Constitution’s second chapter with the single famous Article 9. It is conflict with both a lost war and military occupation in spite of the disarmament, it should have the national fundamental law revised. War causes the creation of constitutional pacifism in Japan resulting in the contradiction between the letter encouraged by the United States and the principle of Article 9, which contains the omission to manage both the right of self-defense and the security for the national Japanese position. The SDF avoids the trouble with Article 9: the Supreme Court does not mention about the discord between SDF and Constitution because it is possible to expand the force of military for the national geographic position, which means that Japan is eager to become the big military country. Nevertheless, the two factor are related to limit the growth of SDF; there is no Japanese traditional attractions for foreign aggregation; the victim of war reminds people of political, psychological, and economic collapse. Moreover, there are two factors to determine the future of Japan’s pacifism: the US pressure and Russian twin policies. However, the final decision will be made by the Japanese Government in spite of the permanent anti-movement and the disappearance of the generation helping the problem between Article 9 and pacifism and supporting the Japanese warless history.