Review: The Multivariate Normal Distribution

version: 2018-01-09 · 09:55:34

The Multivariate Normal Distribution

▶ **Definition:** $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_d)$ is multivariate normal if and only if it a linear combination of iid normals:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{CZ} + \boldsymbol{\mu}, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_d), \quad Z_i \stackrel{\mathsf{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

- ► Mean and Variance:
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \ E[\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{C} \, E[\mathbf{Z}] + \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}$
 - ullet var $({f X})={f C}$ var $({f Z}){f C}'={f C}{f C}':=\Sigma$ (many different ${f C}$ give the same variance)
- ▶ Notation: $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$
- ► PDF:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \cdot \exp\left\{-rac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-oldsymbol{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-oldsymbol{\mu}) - rac{1}{2}\log|\Sigma|\,
ight\}$$

Simulation

- ightharpoonup To generate $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{\mu}, oldsymbol{\Sigma})$:
 - 1. Find C such that $CC' = \Sigma$.
 - **2.** Generate $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_d)$ with $Z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.
 - 3. Set $X = CZ + \mu$.
- ► To find C:
 - Note that Σ is (i) symmetric and (ii) positive definite: for any vector \mathbf{a} we have $\mathbf{a}'\Sigma\mathbf{a}\geq 0$, with equality $\iff \mathbf{a}=0$ (since $\Sigma=\mathrm{var}(\mathbf{X})\implies \mathbf{a}'\Sigma\mathbf{a}=\mathrm{var}(\mathbf{a}'\mathbf{X})\geq 0$)
 - ▶ Every symmetric +ve definite matrix has a Cholesky definition: $\Sigma = LL'$ where L is lower triangular and all diagonal elements $L_{ii} > 0$.
 - ► Properties:
 - lacktriangle The eigenvalues of triangular matrices are the diagonal elements $\Longrightarrow |\Sigma| = \prod_{i=1}^d L_{ii}^2$.
 - ▶ $\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{L}')^{-1}(\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x})$. Solving linear systems with triangular matrices is $\mathcal{O}(d)$, as opposed to $\mathcal{O}(d^3)$ for general matrices. (Cholesky decomposition itself is $\mathcal{O}(d^3)$, but solving system this way is much more accurate.)

Conditional Distribution

▶ Block Notation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

- ▶ Marginal Distribution: $\mathbf{X}_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)$
- ► Conditional Distribution:

$$egin{align} old X_2 \, | \, old X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{\mu}_2^\star, oldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^\star), & \mu_2^\star = \mu_2 + \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} (oldsymbol{X}_1 - \mu_1) \ & \Sigma_2^\star = \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

▶ Verify Calculations: $f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = f(\mathbf{x}_1) \times f(\mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{x}_1)$ for any pair $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$.

Can do this analytically (harder) or computationally (easier)

Parameter Inference

- ▶ Data: $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$, $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$.
- Loglikelihood function:

$$\begin{split} \ell(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \,|\, \mathbf{X}) &= \log \prod_{i=1}^n f(\mathbf{X}_i \,|\, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) - \{ \text{terms not involving } \boldsymbol{\mu} \text{ or } \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \Big\{ n \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| + \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Big\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \Big\{ n \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| + \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{S}) + n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Big\}, \end{split}$$

where
$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_i$$
, $\mathbf{S}_{d \times d} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}}) (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})'$

▶ MLE: $\hat{\mu} = \bar{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \mathbf{S}/n$.

Parameter Inference

- ▶ Data: $X = (X_1, ..., X_n), \quad X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma).$
- ► Loglikelihood function:

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \,|\, \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}) = -\frac{1}{2} \Big\{ n \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| + \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{S}}) + n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \Big\},$$

where
$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_i$$
, $\mathbf{S}_{d \times d} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}}) (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})'$

- ► **Verify Calculations:** Can do this analytically (harder) or computationally (easier):
 - ► Check that

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \,|\, \mathbf{X}) = \log f(\mathbf{X} \,|\, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) + \mathsf{CONST}$$

for fixed **X** and varying (μ, Σ)

Parameter Inference

- ▶ Data: $X = (X_1, ..., X_n), X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma).$
- ightharpoonup MLE: $\hat{oldsymbol{\mu}}=ar{f X}$ and $\hat{f \Sigma}={f S}/n$,

where
$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_i$$
, $\mathbf{S}_{d \times d} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}}) (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})'$

- Verify Calculations: Can do this analytically (harder) or computationally (easier):
 - ► For differentiable loglikelihood $\ell(\theta \mid \mathbf{X})$ with $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)$, each component of MLE is the maximum of a 1-d function:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta} \,|\, \mathbf{X}) \implies \hat{\theta}_i = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_i} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{[-i]} \,|\, \mathbf{X}),$$

where
$$\hat{m{ heta}}_{[-i]} = \hat{m{ heta}} \setminus \{\hat{ heta}_i\}.$$

► Converse is false (as $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ could be a saddlepoint). However, loglikelihoods are generally well-behaved, so if $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_i} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{[-i]} \mid \mathbf{X})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$, then very likely that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is the MLE.

Applications

1. Confidence Intervals

- ▶ Model: $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(y \mid \theta), \qquad \theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d).$
- ▶ MLE: $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \ell(\theta \mid \mathbf{Y}), \qquad \ell(\theta \mid \mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f(Y_i \mid \theta).$
- ▶ **Asymptotic Theory:** As $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\hat{oldsymbol{ heta}} pprox \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{ heta}_0, oldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}_0^{-1}), \qquad ext{where}$$

- $ightharpoonup heta_0$ is the true parameter value
- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{I}_0$ is the (expected) Fisher Information:

$$\mathcal{I}_{0} = -E\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^{2}}\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \mid \mathbf{Y})\right] = -n\int\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^{2}}\log f(y \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0})\right] \cdot f(y \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \,dy$$

Applications

1. Confidence Intervals

- ▶ Model: $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(y \mid \theta)$
- ▶ **Asymptotic Theory:** As $n \to \infty$, we have $\hat{\theta} \approx \mathcal{N}(\theta_0, \mathcal{I}_0^{-1})$, where
 - \blacktriangleright θ_0 is the true parameter value
 - $ightharpoonup \mathcal{I}_0 = E\left[rac{\partial^2}{\partial heta^2} \ell(heta_0 \,|\, \mathbf{Y})
 ight]$ is the (expected) Fisher Information.

Typically \mathcal{I}_0 is impossible to calculate because (i) expectation is usually intractable and (ii) true θ_0 is unknown.

Observed Fisher Information is a consistent estimator: $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ell(\hat{\theta} \mid \mathbf{Y}) \stackrel{n}{ o} \mathcal{I}_0$

▶ **Asymptotic Confidence Intervals**: 95% CI for each element of θ :

$$\hat{ heta}_i \pm 1.96 \cdot \mathsf{se}(\hat{ heta}_i), \qquad \mathsf{se}(\hat{ heta}_i) = \sqrt{[\hat{\mathcal{I}}^{-1}]_{ii}}.$$

Such CI's are often valid even without iid data.

Applications

2. Linear Regression

- ▶ Model: $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{V})$, where
 - $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is multivariate normal (random)
 - ▶ $X_{n \times p}$ and $V_{n \times n}$ are known (nonrandom)
 - $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$ and σ are unknown (parameters)
- ▶ Loglikelihood:

$$\begin{split} \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \,|\, \mathbf{y}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \Big\{ (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})' [\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2 \mathbf{V}]^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \log |\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2 \mathbf{V}| \Big\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\hat{\beta}})' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\hat{\beta}}) + n \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^2}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2} + n \log \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2 \right\}, \end{split}$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{y}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})'\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$.

▶ **Inference:** The MLE of $\theta = (\beta, \sigma)$ is $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma})$.