Notes on Algebra Chapter 0

Hao Su

July 17, 2025

Contents

Ι	\mathbf{Prel}	liminaries: Set theory and categories	1
	1	Naive set theory	1
	2	Functions between sets	3

Chapter I

Preliminaries: Set theory and categories

1 Naive set theory

The subsets of a set S form a set, called the *power set* or the set of parts of S, and is denoted $\mathcal{P}(S)$ or 2^{S} .

The product $A \times A$ of a set by itself is often denoted A^2 .

If S is a set of sets, consider sets

$$\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S, \quad \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S, \quad \coprod_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S, \quad \prod_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S,$$

for the union, intersection, disjoint union, product of all sets in S. There are important subtleties concerning these definitions, which are neglected for now.

Defn 1.1 An equivalence relation on a set S is any relation satisfying reflexivity, symmetry and transitivity.

In terms of the corresponding subset R of $S \times S$, 'reflexivity' says that the diagonal is contained in R; 'symmetry' says that R is unchanged if flipped about the diagonal; while unfortunately 'transitivity' does not have a similarly nice pictorial translation.

A partition \mathcal{P} of S is a set of disjoint nonempty subsets of S such that $\bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P = S$. An equivalence relation \sim on S indicates a partion of S and vice versa: for every element $a \in S$, the equivalence class of a (w.r.t. \sim) is the subset of S defined by $[a]_{\sim} := \{b \in S \mid b \sim a\}$, and the equivalence classes form a partition \mathcal{P}_{\sim} of S.

 \triangleleft

Defn 1.2 The *quotient* of the set S w.r.t. the equivalence relation \sim is the set $S/\sim:=\mathcal{P}_{\sim}$ of equivalence classes of elements of S w.r.t. \sim .

Exercises

1 Locate a discussion of Russell's paradox, and understand it.

Consider set $R = \{x \mid x \notin x\}$. It follows that $R \in R \Leftrightarrow \neg (R \in R)$. Modern set theories avoid this by restricting the comprehension principle, which states that for any property, there is a set of all things satisfying that property.

2 Prove that if \sim is an equivalence relation on a set S, \mathcal{P}_{\sim} is a partition of S. \triangleleft

That the elements of \mathcal{P}_{\sim} are nonempty and $\bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\sim}} P = S$ are straightforward. It suffices to show that the elements of \mathcal{P}_{\sim} is disjoint. Consider two distinct equivalent classes (if there exist) of S denoted $[a]_{\sim}$ and $[b]_{\sim}$, assume they are not disjoint, hence $\exists c, c \in [a]_{\sim} \land c \in [b]_{\sim} \Rightarrow a \sim b$ and contradiction.

3 Define an equivalence relation \sim on a set S such that corresponds to a given partition \mathcal{P} on S.

$$\sim = \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (P \times P).$$

4 How many different equivalence relations may be defined on the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$? \triangleleft We consider the number of distinct partitions of $\{1, 2, 3\}$, and there are 5. The answer given set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ should be nontrivial.

5 Give an example of a relation that is reflexive and symmetric but not transitive. What happens if you attempt to use this relation to define a partition on the set?

A minimal example should be $\{(a,b),(b,a),(b,c),(c,b)\}$ on $\{a,b,c\}$. Note that a and b should be in the same part and so are b and c, yet a and c should not, thus contradiction.

6 Define a relation \sim on \mathbb{R} by $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow b - a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Prove that \sim is an equivalence relation, and find a 'compelling' description for \mathbb{R}/\sim . Do the same for the relation \approx on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(a_1, a_2) \approx (b_1, b_2) \Leftrightarrow b_1 - a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge b_2 - a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The proofs are trivial.

$$\mathbb{R}/\sim = \{\{x+k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \mid x \in [0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}.$$

 $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\approx = \{\{(x+k_1, y+k_2) \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\} \mid x, y \in [0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}.$

2 Functions between sets

A common thread for just about every structure to be introduced will be to try to understand both the type of structures and the ways in which different instances of a given structure may interact.

Sets interact with each other through functions. The graph of a function f from a set A to a set B is a subset of $A \times B$:

$$\Gamma_f := \{(a, b) \times A \in B \mid b = f(a)\} \subseteq A \times B.$$

Given distinction between the source and the target of f, f is exactly Γ_f . Think of f in 'dynamic' terms, as a way to 'go from A to B'. Require that $(\forall a \in A)(\exists!b \in B)(a,b) \in \Gamma_f$.

 B^A denotes the set of all functions from A to B, which is a special subset of $\mathcal{P}(A \times B)$.

Define $id_A: A \to A$ by $(\forall a \in A)id_A(a) = a$.

If $S \subseteq A$, denote by f(S) the subset of B defined by $f(S) := \{b \in B \mid (\exists a \in S)b = f(a)\}$. f(A) is called the *image* of f, denoted 'imf'.

Define $f|_S: S \to B$ by $(\forall s \in S): f|_S(s) = f(s)$. So $f|_S = f \circ i$, where $i: S \to A$ is the inclusion. Also $f(S) = \text{im } f|_S$.

Multisets may be defined by a function m from a set A to the set \mathbb{N}^* such that the corresponding multiset consists of the elements $a \in A$, each taken m(a) times.

An indexed list a_1, \ldots, a_n can be thought of as a function $I \to A$, where I is the set of indexes and A is the set from which we draw the elements a_i .

Functions may be *composed*: if $f: A \to B$ and $g: B \to C$ are functions, then so is the operation $g \circ f$ defined by

$$(\forall a \in A) \quad (g \circ f)(a) := g(f(a)).$$

That is, we use f to go from A to B and apply g to reach C. Graphically we may draw pictures such as

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$$
 or $f \downarrow g$

$$A \xrightarrow{g \circ f} C$$

These are called *diagrams*. We say that the diagrams drawn above 'commute', or 'are commutative', meaning that if we start from A and travel to C in either of the two possible ways prescribed by the diagram, the result of applying the functions one encounters is the same. This is precisely the content of composition.

Composition is associative, or $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$.

A function $f: A \to B$ is injective (or an injection or one-to-one and drawn \hookrightarrow) if

$$(\forall a' \in A)(\forall a'' \in A) \quad a' \neq a'' \Rightarrow f(a') \neq f(a'').$$

A function $f: A \to B$ is surjective (or a surjection or onto and drawn \twoheadrightarrow) if

$$(\forall b \in B)(\exists a \in A) \quad b = f(a) \text{ or im } f = B.$$

If f is both injective and surjective, we say it is bijective (or a bijection or a one-to-one correspondence or an isomorphism of sets and written $f: A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$) and say that A and B are isomorphic (written $A \cong B$).

We may define disjoint union by employing bijections.