Lecture 10 — Discharging Contracts

Jeff Zarnett jzarnett@uwaterloo.ca

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Waterloo

July 7, 2016

Acknowledgments: Douglas Harder [1], Julie Vale [2]

ECE 290 Fall 2016 1/3

All Good Things...

Contracts eventually come to an end, but they can do so in one of several ways.

This is not the same as completion.

The official term is discharge.

Black's Law Dictionary says: "to cancel or unloose the obligation of a contract; to make an agreement or contract null and inoperative".

ECE 290 Fall 2016 2/3

Discharge

Ways in which a contract may be discharged:

- Performance
- Agreement
- Pursuant to expressed terms
- Replacement (including Novation)
- Operation of law
- Impossibility, impracticality, frustration
- Breach of Contract

We will examine each of these in turn.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 3/

Performance

Performance is what everyone hopes for when the contract is written up. The parties have performed their respective obligations satisfactorily.

This requires that both parties have completed their parts; it is not enough that one party fulfills its obligations.

As long as any obligation remains unfulfilled, the contract is still in force.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 4/3

Warranty

Contracts may have additional terms that go beyond the scope of performance, especially when related to warranties [3].

The initial performance might be services and materials, but warranties go beyond that.

Construction and supply contracts will often include warranties whereby a party will remedy certain defects under specified limitations.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 5/

Tender of Performance

Occasionally, one party attempts to perform but the other party refuses to a accept the performance [4].

This is called tender of performance, whether or not it as accepted.

If the seller tenders delivery of goods, but the buyer does not accept them, the seller is not obligated to attempt delivery again and may sue for breach.

It is enough that the seller has honestly and fully made the effort to fulfill its end of the bargain.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 6/3

Agreement to Discharge

Remember that parties are free to amend the agreement if they so choose.

Thus, they can also agree to terminate the contract if they so desire.

This agreement is itself a contract, as it modifies the obligations of the parties.

It therefore needs to have all the elements of a valid contract... (And none of the elements, like duress, that make it invalid!)

In the agreement to discharge, what counts as consideration?

ECE 290 Fall 2016 7/3

Agreement to Discharge

Remember that consideration is the benefit each party receives for the contract.

If both parties have unfulfilled obligations, the consideration they receive is relief from the obligations they would otherwise have had to perform.

What if one party has done all of its part but the other has not?

ECE 290 Fall 2016 8/:

Agreement to Discharge

If one party has completed all its obligations but the other has not, then alternative consideration is required.

This could be in any form that normal consideration takes (e.g., monetary).

Remember that use of a seal is a valid form of consideration.

Without a valid agreement to discharge, failure to perform is technically a breach of the contract.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 9/:

Expressed Terms

A contract may contain terms that allow the contract to be discharged.

It is advisable to include such provisions that allow one or all parties to terminate the contract given certain events.

A contract may be terminated if one party becomes bankrupt, for example.

An engineer may have provisions to terminate a contract if the contractor fails to substantially comply with requirements of the contract [3].

ECE 290 Fall 2016 10/3

Material Alteration of Terms

As we know, a contract may be modified when the parties agree to it.

If a modification is big enough, it is a "material alteration of the terms" [4].

When this bar is cleared, it amounts to the discharge of the old contract and its replacement with a new one.

A minor alteration would not have this sweeping effect.

What are some examples of changes that would result in novation?

What are some examples of changes that will not?

ECE 290 Fall 2016 11/

Accord and Satisfaction

Sometimes a promisor finds he cannot perform an obligation in the contract.

He may offer the promisee a monetary payment or some other substitute instead, if the promisee will agree to this.

For example, the seller cannot obtain the promised goods, but may offer instead a similar, but different product at a lower price [4].

If the buyer agrees, that will be fine; this is a compromise out of court.

The updated agreement replace the original.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 12 /:

Novation is similar, in that it is another form of replacement.

This occurs when one of the parties in the contract is exchanged for another.

Suppose one business purchases another. The purchaser will take on the assets and liabilities of the purchased company.

If creditors accept the new owner as their debtor the liability of the former owner is discharged and the liability of the new owner is established [4].

Creditors may do so either by explicit agreement or by asking for or receiving payment from the new owner.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 13 /

Replacement

However the replacement of the contract talks please, it generally requires agreement of all the parties.

The new contract enters into effect and the old is discharged.

The new contract requires consideration, which is usually the discharge of the old contract (but if not, alternate consideration is permitted).

ECE 290 Fall 2016 14/3

Operation of Law

There are certain conditions under which a contract will legally be discharged automatically.

- By the death of one of the parties (in a personal service contract)
- Bankruptcy (in some circumstances)
- By the rights and liabilities becoming owed by the same person (e.g., a company purchases a supplier)

ECE 290 Fall 2016 15 / 3

Other Forms of Discharge

Sometimes "stuff" happens, and circumstances radically change.

English common law originally held parties responsible for foreseeing unusual and unlikely circumstances and writing them into a contract [4].

The law now excuses people from fulfilling their obligations if circumstances change and it is, informally, "not their fault".

For this, there are closely related but distinct forms of discharge:

- Discharge by impossibility
- Discharge by impracticality
- Discharge by frustration

ECE 290 Fall 2016 16/33

Discharge by Impossibility

Impossibility requires that it be objectively impossible to satisfy the obligations.

It is not enough for it to be difficult or costly, but must be literally impossible.

Example: Taylor v Caldwell, 1863.

Taylor rented a music hall; it burned down 1 week before the performance.

The plaintiff claimed breach of contract: the defendant did not rent the hall.

When a party to the contract dies, the obligations are not passed on; this principle was extended here: it is impossible to rent a hall that burned down.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 17 /

Discharge by Impracticality

Impracticality is a subject discharge when it becomes unfeasibly difficult or expensive to perform an obligation.

This is very vague. Courts like to establish tests for these scenarios.

The US test is:

- There must be an occurrence of a condition, the non-occurrence of which was a basic assumption of the contract.
- 2 The occurrence must make performance extremely expensive or difficult.
- 3 This difficulty was not anticipated by the parties.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 18 / 33

Discharge by Impracticality

Subjective tests like that are difficult to apply.

They require the judgement of the court, and may result in appeals...

So-called "Hell or High Water" clauses can be added to prevent discharge by impossibility or impracticality.

These may appear, for example, in leasing agreements.

The tenant must continue to pay regardless of difficulties encountered.

Whether these clauses will be upheld by the courts is a matter of debate...

ECE 290 Fall 2016 19/3

Discharge by Frustration

When the purpose of one party entering into the contract is eliminated, the contract may be discharged by frustration.

Where, after a contract is made, a party's principal purpose is substantially frustrated without his fault by the occurrence of an event the non-occurrence of which was a basic assumption on which the contract was made, his remaining duties to render performance are discharged, unless the language or circumstances [of the contract] indicate the contrary.

All parties are left as is at the time of discharge – no attempt is made to put the parties back to their original state.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 20/33

Discharge by Frustration

Frustration is seldom used. It is for exceptional, rare circumstances.

The doctrine of frustration was developed in *Chandler v. Webster* where the defendant hired a room to watch the coronation of King Edward VII.

The event was subsequently cancelled due to appendicitis.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 21/3:

Discharge by Frustration

Sometimes, performance remains possible, but circumstances mean that the contract as written makes no sense.

In Metropolitan Water Board v. Dick, Kerr and Co. Ltd., 1917, a contract entered into in July of 1914 was halted in 1916 by an order of the Ministry of Munitions.

(The ministry had this authority because of wartime laws.)

After the war ended, the water board attempted to insist that the contract be carried out.

Prices and conditions of supply were different from what they had been in 1916.

The court found that these significant changes rendered the contract frustrated.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 22 /:

Frustration vs Common Mistake

In the discussion about common mistake, we have declared that if an object of the contract does not exist when the contract is formed, it is a common mistake.

Frustration requires that the contract become impossible or purposeless after the agreement was made [4].

ECE 290 Fall 2016 23 / 3

"I will make it (il)legal."

Another way a contract can become frustrated is a change in the law that renders the obligations impossible.

Case: Denny, Mott & Dickson Ltd v James B Fraser & Co Ltd., 1944.

A contract signed prior to 1939 was declared discharged by frustration as the Control of Timber (No 4) Order 1939 prevented further transactions.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 24/33

Self-Induced Frustration

It should be obvious that a party cannot wilfully disable itself from performing, and then claim successfully that the contract is frustrated.

This is self-induced frustration [4].

Suppose A has contracted with B to transport soil in a remote northern area. Let's assume A has only one truck in the area.

Let's look at three scenarios from [4].

ECE 290 Fall 2016 25/33

Three Scenarios

1: A discovers he's made a bad deal. He sells the dump truck and cannot fulfill the contract.

2. After the deal is made, A's truck is stolen and wrecked.

3. A's truck breaks down because A has not done adequate maintenance on it.

Are these cases where the contract is frustrated?

In all three cases, A cannot fulfill the contract.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 26 / 33

Three Scenarios

1: Very straight forward: this is self-induced frustration and the court will not relieve A from his conduct by declaring the contract frustrated.

2: This situation is no fault of A's and the contract is discharged.

3: The breakdown is A's fault¹ and his failure to deliver the soil will be considered a breach of contract.

¹The idea of fault in the breakdown is a part of the section on tort law, which is coming soon...

Any natural event that makes performance impractical or impossible is said to be an "Act of God".

"Natural" is defined as anything that is beyond human control where no one is responsible.

Examples include earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, and other natural disasters.

There must be no human action involved, so an earthquake caused by mining or drilling would not fall under this provision.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 28 /:

Force Majeure

Force majeure is latin for "superior force" and it refers to extraordinary events or circumstances beyond anyone's control.

Examples include war, labour strike, riot, crime (or perhaps even an Act of God).

Common law does not recognize *force majeure* on its own, so it must be explicitly written into the contract.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 29 / 33

Discharge Examples

Davis Contractors Ltd. v Fareham Urban District Council

In this 1956 case, the contract was to build 78 houses in an 8-month period.

Due to a labour shortage, the project ended up taking 22 months.

Was this contract discharged?

ECE 290 Fall 2016 30 / 33

Discharge Examples

No - the contract was not frustrated or rendered impossible; it was just more difficult than was expected.

Swanson Construction Co. Ltd. v Government of Manitoba, 1963

A contractor ended up completing work in winter conditions instead of the planned summer conditions because the site was not ready on time.

You may have guessed that this contract was not discharged either.

The contractor should've taken this possibility into account in preparing his bid.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 31/3

Discharge by Breach

Apart from discharging a contract through performance, the next most common means that contracts are discharged is through a breach of contract.

One or more parties fail(s) to perform at least one obligation under the terms of the contract.

Breach of contract is complicated and will therefore receive its own topic.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 32/3

References & Disclaimer

- [1] D. W. Harder, "ECE 290 Lecture Materials," 2013. Online; accessed 31-May-2016.
- [2] J. Vale, "ECE 290 Course Notes," 2011.
- [3] D. Marston, Law for Professional Engineers (Fourth Edition). McGraw-Hill, 2008.
- [4] J. E. Smyth and D. A. Soberman, The Law and Business Administration in Canada (Third Edition). Prentice-Hall, 1976.

Disclaimer: the material presented in these lectures slides is intended for use in the course ECE 290 at the University of Waterloo and should not be relied upon as legal advice. Any reliance on these course slides by any party for any other purpose are the responsibility of such parties. The author(s) accept(s) no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any party as a result of decisions made or actions based on these course slides for any other purpose than that for which it was intended.

ECE 290 Fall 2016 33/33