# 1 Basic Properties

- 1.  $E(X) = \sum xp(x)$
- 2.  $Var(X) = \sum (x \mu)^2 f(x)$
- 3. X is around E(X), give or take SD(X)
- 4. E(aX + bY) = aE(X) + bE(Y)
- 5.  $Var(aX + bY) = a^2Var(X) + b^2Var(Y)$
- 6.  $Var(X) = E(X^2) [E(X)]^2$
- 7.  $Cov(X_1, X_2) = E(X_1X_2) E(X_1)E(X_2)$
- 8. P(AB) = P(A)P(B) if A and B independent
- 9. RV is centered when E(X) = 0, and any RV can be centered via Y = X - E(X), with SD and variance unaffected
- 10. In  $X = \mu + \epsilon$ ,  $\mu$  is the unknown constant of interest, and  $\epsilon$  represents random measurement error.
- 11. if X, Y are independent:
  - (a)  $M_{X+Y}(t) = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$
  - (b) E(XY) = E(X)E(Y), converse is true if X and Y are bivariate normal, extends to multivariate normal

# 2 Approximations

# 2.1 Law of Large Numbers

Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be IID, with expectation  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .  $\overline{X_n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{\infty} 3.6$  **F-distribution**  $\mu$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  be realisations of the Let  $U \sim \chi_m^2, V \sim \chi_n^2$  be independent,  $W = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{\infty} 1$ random variable  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ , then  $\overline{x_n} = \frac{U/m}{V/n}$  has an F distribution with (m,n) d.f.  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \xrightarrow{\infty} \mu$ 

# 2.2 Central Limit Theorem

 $\frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \xrightarrow{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}}{\mathcal{N}}(0,1)$ 

# **Distributions**

# 3.1 Poisson( $\lambda$ )

 $Pr(X = k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}, k = 0, 1, ...$  $E(X) = Var(X) = \lambda$ 

# **3.2** Normal $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), -\infty < x < \infty$$

- 1. When  $\mu = 0$ , f(x) is an even function, and  $E(X^k) = 0$  where k is odd
- 2.  $Y = \frac{X E(X)}{SD(X)}$  is the standard normal

#### 3.3 Gamma $\Gamma$

$$g(t) = \frac{\lambda^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\lambda t}, t \ge 0$$
$$\mu_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}, \mu_2 = \frac{\alpha(\alpha + 1)}{\lambda^2}$$

# 3.4 $\chi^2$ Distribution

Let  $\mathcal{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ ,  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{Z}^2$  has a  $\chi^2$  distribution with 1 d.f.

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} f_{\mathcal{U}}(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{u}{2}}, u \ge 0$$

$$\chi_1^2 \sim \Gamma(\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \lambda = \frac{1}{2})$$

Let  $U_1, U_2, ..., U_n$  be  $\chi_1^2$  IID, then  $V = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i$  is  $\chi_n^2$  with n degree freedom,  $V \sim \Gamma(\alpha)$ 

$$E(\chi_n^2) = n, Var(\chi_n^2) = 2n$$

$$M(t) = (1 - 2t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$$

#### 3.5 t-distribution

Let  $\mathcal{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_n \sim \chi_n^2$  be independent,  $t_n = \frac{\mathcal{Z}}{\sqrt{U_r/n}}$  has a t-distribution with n d.f.

$$f(t) = \frac{\Gamma([(n+1)/2])}{\sqrt{n}\pi\Gamma(n/2)} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{n}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$$

- 1. t is symmetric about 0
- $2. t_n \xrightarrow{\infty} \mathcal{Z}$

If  $X \sim t_n$ ,  $X^2 = \frac{Z/1}{U/n}$  is an F distribution with (1,n) d.f, with w > 0:

2.2 Central Limit Theorem
Let 
$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$
 where  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  IID.
$$\begin{cases} f(w) = \frac{\Gamma([(n+1)/2])}{\Gamma(m/2)\Gamma(n/2)} \frac{m}{n}^{\frac{m}{2}} w^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \left(1 + \frac{m}{n}w\right)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \\ For \ n > 2, E(W) = \frac{n}{n-2} \end{cases}$$

# 4 Sampling

Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be IID  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . sample mean,  $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ sample variance,  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$ 

# 4.1 Properties of $\overline{X}$ and $S^2$

- 1.  $\overline{X}$  and  $S^2$  are independent
- 2.  $\overline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$
- 3.  $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$
- 4.  $\frac{\overline{X}-\mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}$

# 4.2 Survey Sampling

variable x. The ith individual has fixed value  $\left|\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-\overline{X})^2\right|$ 

mean of population = 
$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$$
  
total of population =  $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i = \mu N$   
SD of population =  $\sigma$   
 $\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2 \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \mu^2$ 

# 4.2.1 Dichotomous case

Population are members with value 0 or 1. Let SE is multiplied by  $\frac{N-n}{N-1}$ , because  $s^2$  is biased p be the proportion of members with value 1  $\mu = p, \sigma^2 = p(1-p)$ 

# 4.3 Simple Random Sampling (SRS)

Assume n random draws are made without replacement. (Not SRS, will be corrected for later).

#### 4.3.1 Lemma A

The draws  $X_i$  have the same distribution, and denote  $\xi_1, \xi_2, ... \xi_n$  as values assumed by the population, and let the number of members with value  $\xi_i$  be  $n_i$ 

$$P(X_i = \xi_j) = \frac{n_j}{N}$$
  
 
$$E(X_i) = \mu, Var(x_i) = \sigma^2$$

### 4.3.2 Lemma B

For  $i \neq j$ ,  $Cov(X_i, X_i) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{N-1}$ 

We use sample mean  $\overline{X}$  to estimate  $\mu$ :  $E(\overline{X}) = \mu$  from Lemma A, and

 $\frac{N-n}{N-1}$  is the finite population correction factor. In 0-1 population, let  $\hat{p}$  be proportion of 1s in the sample:

$$E(\hat{p}) = p, SD(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1}}$$

#### 4.3.3 Estimation Problem

Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be random draws with re- 4.5 Estimation of a Ratio placement. Then  $\overline{X}$  is an estimator of  $\mu$ . and

# 4.3.4 Standard Error (SE)

Since  $E(\overline{X}) = \mu$ , the estimator is unbiased. The error in a particular estimate  $\overline{X}$  is unknown, but on average its size is about  $SD(\overline{x}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ 

Standard error of an  $\overline{X}$  is defined to be  $SD(\overline{X})$ In population of size N, we are interested in a An unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$  is  $s^2 =$ 

param	est	SE	Est. SE
$\mu$	$\overline{X}$	$\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$	$\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$
p	$\hat{p}$	$\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n-1}}$

### 4.3.5 Without Replacement

for  $\sigma^2$ :  $E(\frac{N-1}{N}s^2) = \sigma^2$ , but N is normally

#### 4.3.6 Confidence Interval

An approximate  $1 - \alpha$  CI for  $\mu$  is  $(\overline{x}-z_{\alpha/2}\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}},\overline{x}+z_{\alpha/2}\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}})$ 

#### 4.4 Measurement Error

Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  be independent measurements of unknown constant  $\mu$ .  $X_i = \mu + \epsilon_i$ . The errors are IID with expectation 0, and variance  $\sigma^2$ .  $x_i = \mu + e_i$ , where  $x_i$  and  $e_i$  are realisations of the RV. Then  $\overline{x}$  is an estimate of  $\mu$ , with SE  $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

#### 4.4.1 Biased Measurements

Let  $X = \mu + \epsilon$ , where  $E(\epsilon) = 0$ ,  $Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2$ Suppose X is used to measure an unknown constant a,  $a \neq \mu$ .  $X = a + (\mu - a) + \epsilon$ , where  $\mu - a$  is the bias.

 $Var(\overline{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left( \frac{N-n}{N-1} \right)$  from Lemma B, where Mean square error (MSE) is  $E((X-a)^2) =$  $\sigma^2 + (\mu - a)^2$ 

with n IID measurements,  $\overline{x} = \mu + \overline{\epsilon}$ 

$$E((x-a)^{2}) = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} + (\mu - a)^{2}$$

 $MSE = SE^2 + bias^2$ , hence  $\sqrt{MSE}$  is a good measure of the accuracy of the estimate  $\bar{x}$  of

Consider a population of N members, the observed value of  $\overline{X}$ ,  $\overline{x}$  is an estimate of  $\mu$ . and two characteristics are recorded:  $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), ..., (X_n, Y_n), r = \frac{\mu_y}{\mu}$ 

An obvious estimator of r is  $R = \frac{\overline{Y}}{\overline{Y}}$ 

 $Cov(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{n}$ , where

 $\sigma_{xy} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu_x)(x_i - \mu_y)$  is the population covariance.

#### 4.5.1 Properties

With SRS, the approx variance of  $R = \overline{Y}/\overline{X}$ 

$$\begin{split} Var(R) &\approx \frac{1}{\mu_x^2} \left( r^2 \sigma_{\overline{X}}^2 + \sigma_{\overline{Y}}^2 - 2r \sigma_{\overline{XY}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} \frac{1}{\mu_x^2} \left( r^2 \sigma_{\overline{X}}^2 + \sigma_{\overline{Y}}^2 - 2r \sigma_{\overline{XY}} \right) \end{split}$$

Population coefficient  $\rho = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_{xx}\sigma_{xy}}$ 

$$E(R) \approx r + \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{N-n}{N-1} \right) \frac{1}{\mu_x^2} \left( r \sigma_x^2 - \rho \sigma_x \sigma_y \right)$$
  
$$s_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( X_i - \overline{X} \right) \left( Y_i - \overline{Y} \right)$$

#### 4.5.2 Ratio Estimates

$$\begin{split} &\overline{Y}_R = \frac{\mu_x}{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} = \mu_x R \\ &Var(\overline{Y}_R) \approx \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} (r^2 \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 - 2r \rho \sigma_x \sigma_y) \\ &E(\overline{Y}_R) - \mu_y \approx \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} \frac{1}{\mu_x} \left( r \sigma_x^2 - \rho \sigma_x \sigma_y \right) \end{split}$$

standard error.

 $\overline{Y}_R$  is better than  $\overline{Y}$ , having smaller variance, when  $\rho > \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{C_x}{C_y} \right)$ , where  $C_i = \sigma_i / \mu_i$ 

Variance of  $\overline{Y}_R$  can be estimated by  $s_{\overline{Y}_{R}}^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} \left( R^{2} s_{x}^{2} + s_{y}^{2} - 2 R s_{xy} \right)$ 

An approximate  $1 - \alpha$  C.I. for  $\mu_{\nu}$  is  $\overline{Y}_{R} \pm$  $z_{\alpha}/2s_{\overline{Y}_{P}}$ 

# 5 Estimation

Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be IID random variables with density  $f(x|\theta)$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^P$  is an unknown constant. Realisations  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  will be used to estimate  $\theta$ , the estimate a realisation of RV  $\hat{\theta}$ . The bias and SE are: bias =  $E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$ ,  $SE = SD(\hat{\theta})$ 

# 5.1 Moments

Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be IID with the same distribution as X.

 $\hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k$  is an estimator of  $\mu_k$ , where  $\mu_k$  is the kth moment. An estimate is also denoted  $\hat{\mu}_k$ .

#### 5.2 Method of Moments

To estimate  $\theta$ , express it as a function of moments  $g(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2, ...)$ 

The bias and SE in an estimate, still depends on the unknown value of the constant. Suppose 1.67 and 0.38 are estimates of  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$ .  $\left| \frac{\partial l}{\partial u} \right| = \frac{\sum (X_i - \mu)}{\sigma^2} \implies \hat{\mu} = \overline{x}$ 

Data is generated from  $\Gamma(1.67, 0.38)$ , and the  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^3} - \frac{n}{\sigma}$ MOM estimators are written as  $\widehat{1.67}$  and  $\widehat{0.38}$ . Because the sample size is large,  $(\hat{\lambda} - \lambda, \hat{\alpha} - \lambda,$  $\alpha \approx (1.67 - 1.67, 0.38 - 0.38)$ 

Monte Carlo is used to generate many real-  $l(\theta) = n\alpha \log \lambda + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log X_i - G$  Given the realisations  $\overline{x}$  and s, isations of 1.67 via the  $\Gamma(1.67, 0.38)$  distribution. With 10,000 realisations,

 $bias(1.67) = E_{1.67,0.38}(\widehat{1.67} - 1.67) \approx 0.09$  $SE(1.67) = SD_{1.67,0.38}(\widehat{0.38}) \approx 0.35$ 

and  $\lambda$  is estimated as  $1.58 \pm 0.35$  $\overline{X} \xrightarrow[n]{\infty} \alpha/\lambda, \hat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow[n]{\infty} \alpha/\lambda^2$ , MOM estimators are consistent (asymptotically unbiased).

Poisson(
$$\lambda$$
): bias = 0,  $SE \approx \sqrt{\frac{\overline{x}}{n}}$   
 $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ :  $\mu = \mu_1$ ,  $\sigma^2 = \mu_2 - \mu_1^2$   
 $\Gamma(\lambda, \alpha)$ :  $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1}{\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2} = \frac{\overline{X}}{\hat{\sigma}^2}$ ,  $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1^2}{\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2} = \frac{\overline{X}^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2}$ 

# (MLE)

Let  $f(\cdot|\theta): \theta \in \Theta$  be a (identifiable) paramet- to n.  $\forall i$ : ric identity

Suppose  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  are IID with density  $Cov(X_i, X_i) = -np_i p_i, \forall i \neq j$  $f(\cdot|\theta)$ , where  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  is an unknown con- $l(p) = +\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i \log p_i + x_r \log(1-p_1-...-p_r)$ stant, we want to estimate  $\theta_0$  using realisations  $p_{r-1}$ 

$$\theta \to L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$$

The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate of  $\theta_0$ is the number that maximises the likelihood over  $\theta$ .

The estimate is a realisation of the ML estima tor  $\hat{\theta}_0$ , which can also be found my maximising  $L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i | \theta)$ 

The bias and SE are:

# 5.3.1 Poisson Case

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} = \frac{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!}$$
$$l(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \log \lambda - n\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i!$$
$$\text{ML estimate of } \lambda_0 \text{ is } \overline{x}. \text{ ML estimator is } \hat{\lambda}_0 = \overline{X}$$

### 5.3.2 Normal case

$$l(\mu, \sigma) = -n\log\sigma - \frac{n\log 2\pi}{2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \implies \hat{\mu} = \overline{x}$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^3} - \frac{n}{\sigma}$$

$$\implies \hat{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2$$

#### 5.3.3 Gamma case

$$l(\theta) = n\alpha \log \lambda + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log X_{i} - \begin{cases} \text{Given the realisations } \overline{x} \text{ and } s, \\ \frac{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} - n \log \Gamma(\alpha)}{\alpha} \end{cases} = n \log \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log X_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} - \begin{cases} \overline{x} - t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \overline{x} + t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$
is the exact  $1 - \alpha$  CI for  $\mu$ .
$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{n\alpha}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{x}}$$
is the exact  $1 - \alpha$  CI for  $\alpha$ .
$$\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \sim \chi_{n-1}$$

$$\left(\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^{2}}{\chi_{n-1,\alpha/2}^{2}}, \frac{n\hat{\sigma}^{2}}{\chi_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}^{2}}\right)$$
is the exact  $1 - \alpha$  CI for  $\alpha$ .

bias and SE are estimated through Monte Carlo and Bootstrap methods.

#### 5.3.4 Multinomial Case

$$Var(\overline{Y}_R) \approx \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} (r^2 \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 - 2r \rho \sigma_x \sigma_y)$$

$$E(\overline{Y}_R) - \mu_y \approx \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} \frac{1}{\mu_x} (r \sigma_x^2 - \rho \sigma_x \sigma_y)$$
The bias is of order  $\frac{1}{n}$ , small compared to its standard error.
$$\overline{Y}_R$$
 is better than  $\overline{Y}$ , having smaller variance,  $\overline{Y}_R$  better than  $\overline{Y}$ , having smaller variance,  $\overline{Y}_R$  be the smaller variance,  $\overline{Y}_R$  is better than  $\overline{Y}_R$  having smaller variance,  $\overline{Y}_R$  be a (identifiable) parameter to  $\overline{Y}_R$ .

$$\overline{Y}_R$$
 is  $\overline{Y}_R$  is better than  $\overline{Y}_R$  having smaller variance,  $\overline{Y}_R$  be a (identifiable) parameter to  $\overline{Y}_R$ .

ric identity Suppose 
$$X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$$
 are IID with density  $f(\cdot|\theta)$ , where  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  is an unknown constant, we want to estimate  $\theta_0$  using realisations  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ . 
$$Pr(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ...) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) \text{ for a discrete distribution.}$$

$$\theta \to L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$$
The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate of  $\theta_0$  is the number that maximizes the likelihood is the number that maximizes the likelihood. Suppose  $E(X_i) = np_i, Var(X_i) = np_i(1-p_i)$ 

$$Cov(X_i, X_j) = -np_ip_j, \forall i \neq j$$

$$l(p) = +\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i \log p_i + x_r \log(1-p_1-p_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial p_i} = \frac{x_i}{p_i} - \frac{x_r}{p_r} = 0 \text{ assuming MLE exists}$$

$$\frac{x_i}{p_i} = \frac{x_i}{p_r} \Rightarrow \hat{p}_i = \frac{x_i}{c}, c = \frac{x_r}{p_r}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \hat{p}_i = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{x_i}{c} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i = n \Rightarrow \hat{p}_i = \frac{\overline{x}_i}{n}$$
same as MOM estimator.

#### 5.3.5 MLE vs MOM

- 1. ML estimates have smaller SEs than MOM estimates
- 2. In some cases bias and SE have to be computed numerically via methods like Newton-Rhapson, and requires bootstrap and Monte Carlo

# 5.3.6 Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium

Let a locus have two alleles A and a, where the proportion of a in the population is  $\theta$ .

Assuming, the population is large, and mating is random, then in the next generation. the proportion of a alleles is the sum of 2 Be RV,  $Bin(2,\theta)$  and the number of a alleles is  $Bin(2n,\theta)$ 

#### 5.3.7 CIs in MLE

When sample size is large,  $\hat{\theta}_0$  is approximately

$$\frac{\hat{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}$$
Given the realisations  $\overline{x}$  and  $s$ ,
$$\left(\overline{x} - t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \overline{x} + t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
is the exact  $1 - \alpha$  CI for  $\mu$ .
$$\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}$$

$$\left(\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\chi_{n-1,\alpha/2}^2}, \frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\chi_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}^2}\right)$$
is the exact  $1 - \alpha$  CI for  $\sigma$