

1 Basic Properties

1. $E(X) = \sum xp(x)$
2. $Var(X) = \sum (x - \mu)^2 f(x)$
3. X is around $E(X)$, give or take $SD(X)$
4. $E(aX + bY) = aE(X) + bE(Y)$
5. $Var(aX + bY) = a^2 Var(X) + b^2 Var(Y)$
6. $Var(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2$
7. $Cov(X_1, X_2) = E(X_1 X_2) - E(X_1)E(X_2)$
8. $P(AB) = P(A)P(B)$ if A and B independent
9. RV is centered when $E(X) = 0$, and any RV can be centered via $Y = X - E(X)$, with SD and variance unaffected
10. In $X = \mu + \epsilon$, μ is the unknown constant of interest, and ϵ represents random measurement error.
11. if X, Y are independent:
 - (a) $M_{X+Y}(t) = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$
 - (b) $E(XY) = E(X)E(Y)$, converse is true if X and Y are bivariate normal, extends to multivariate normal

2 Approximations

2.1 Law of Large Numbers

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be IID, with expectation μ and variance σ^2 . $\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow[n]{\infty} \mu$. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be realisations of the random variable X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , then $\overline{x}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_n \xrightarrow[n]{\infty} \mu$

2.2 Central Limit Theorem

Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ where X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n IID. $\frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \xrightarrow[n]{\infty} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

3 Distributions

3.1 Poisson(λ)

$Pr(X = k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}, k = 0, 1, \dots$
 $E(X) = Var(X) = \lambda$

3.2 Normal $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), -\infty < x < \infty$

1. When $\mu = 0$, $f(x)$ is an even function, and $E(X^k) = 0$ where k is odd
2. $Y = \frac{X - E(X)}{SD(X)}$ is the standard normal

3.3 Gamma Γ

$g(t) = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda t}, t \geq 0$
 $\mu_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}, \mu_2 = \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\lambda^2}$

3.4 χ^2 Distribution

Let $\mathcal{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{Z}^2$ has a χ^2 distribution with 1 d.f.
 $f_{\mathcal{U}}(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{u}{2}}, u \geq 0$
 $\chi_1^2 \sim \Gamma(\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \lambda = \frac{1}{2})$
Let U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n be χ_1^2 IID, then $V = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i$ is χ_n^2 with n degree freedom, $V \sim \Gamma(\alpha = \frac{n}{2}, \lambda = \frac{1}{2})$
 $E(\chi_n^2) = n, Var(\chi_n^2) = 2n$
 $M(t) = (1 - 2t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$

3.5 t-distribution

Let $\mathcal{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $\mathcal{U}_n \sim \chi_n^2$ be independent, $t_n = \frac{\mathcal{Z}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{U}_n/n}}$ has a t-distribution with n d.f.

$f(t) = \frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)}{\sqrt{n\pi}\Gamma(n/2)} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{n}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$

1. t is symmetric about 0
2. $t_n \xrightarrow[n]{\infty} \mathcal{Z}$

3.6 F-distribution

Let $U \sim \chi_m^2, V \sim \chi_n^2$ be independent, $W = \frac{U/m}{V/n}$ has an F distribution with (m,n) d.f.

If $X \sim t_n, X^2 = \frac{\mathcal{Z}/1}{U_n/n}$ is an F distribution with (1,n) d.f, with $w \geq 0$:

$f(w) = \frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)}{\Gamma(m/2)\Gamma(n/2)} \frac{m}{n} \frac{m}{2} w^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \left(1 + \frac{m}{n}w\right)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}}$
For $n > 2, E(W) = \frac{n}{n-2}$

4 Sampling

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be IID $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

sample mean, $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

sample variance, $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$

4.1 Properties of \overline{X} and S^2

1. \overline{X} and S^2 are independent
2. $\overline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$
3. $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$
4. $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}$

4.2 Survey Sampling

In population of size N , we are interested in a variable x . The i th individual has fixed value x_i .

mean of population $= \mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$

total of population $= \tau = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i = \mu N$

SD of population $= \sigma$

$\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \mu^2$

4.2.1 Dichotomous case

Population are members with value 0 or 1. Let p be the proportion of members with value 1. $\mu = p, \sigma^2 = p(1-p)$

4.3 Simple Random Sampling (SRS)

Assume n random draws are made without replacement. (Not SRS, will be corrected for later).

4.3.1 Lemma A

The draws X_i have the same distribution, and denote $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ as values assumed by the population, and let the number of members with value ξ_j be n_j

$P(X_i = \xi_j) = \frac{n_j}{N}$

$E(X_i) = \mu, Var(x_i) = \sigma^2$

4.3.2 Lemma B

For $i \neq j, Cov(X_i, X_j) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{N-1}$

We use sample mean \overline{X} to estimate μ :

$E(\overline{X}) = \mu$ from Lemma A, and

$Var(\overline{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right)$ from Lemma B, where $\frac{N-n}{N-1}$ is the finite population correction factor.

In 0-1 population, let \hat{p} be proportion of 1s in the sample:

$E(\hat{p}) = p, SD(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1}}$

4.3.3 Estimation Problem

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be random draws with replacement. Then \overline{X} is an estimator of μ . and the observed value of \overline{X} , \bar{x} is an estimate of μ .

4.3.4 Standard Error (SE)

Since $E(\overline{X}) = \mu$, the estimator is unbiased.

The error in a particular estimate \overline{X} is unknown, but on average its size is about $SD(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$

Standard error of an \overline{X} is defined to be $SD(\overline{X})$
An unbiased estimator for σ^2 is $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$

param	est	SE	Est. SE
μ	\overline{X}	$\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$	$\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$
p	\hat{p}	$\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n-1}}$

4.3.5 Without Replacement

SE is multiplied by $\frac{N-n}{N-1}$, because s^2 is biased for σ^2 : $E(\frac{N-1}{N}s^2) = \sigma^2$, but N is normally large.

4.3.6 Confidence Interval

An approximate $1 - \alpha$ CI for μ is $(\bar{x} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}})$

4.4 Measurement Error

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be independent measurements of unknown constant μ . $X_i = \mu + \epsilon_i$.

The errors are IID with expectation 0, and variance σ^2 . $x_i = \mu + e_i$, where x_i and e_i are realisations of the RV. Then \bar{x} is an estimate of μ , with SE $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$.

4.4.1 Biased Measurements

Let $X = \mu + \epsilon$, where $E(\epsilon) = 0, Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2$
Suppose X is used to measure an unknown constant a, $a \neq \mu$. $X = a + (\mu - a) + \epsilon$, where $\mu - a$ is the bias.

Mean square error (MSE) is $E((X - a)^2) = \sigma^2 + (\mu - a)^2$

with n IID measurements, $\bar{x} = \mu + \bar{\epsilon}$

$E((x - a)^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + (\mu - a)^2$

MSE = SE² + bias², hence $\sqrt{\text{MSE}}$ is a good measure of the accuracy of the estimate \bar{x} of a.

4.5 Estimation of a Ratio

Consider a population of N members, and two characteristics are recorded: $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots, (X_n, Y_n), r = \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x}$.

An obvious estimator of r is $R = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}}$

$Cov(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{n}$, where

$\sigma_{xy} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu_x)(x_i - \mu_y)$ is the population covariance.

4.5.1 Properties

With SRS, the approx variance of $R = \bar{Y}/\bar{X}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} Var(R) &\approx \frac{1}{\mu_x^2} (r^2 \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 - 2r\sigma_{xy}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} \frac{1}{\mu_x^2} (r^2 \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 - 2r\sigma_{xy}) \end{aligned}$$

Population coefficient $\rho = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$

$$\begin{aligned} E(R) &\approx r + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{N-n}{N-1} \right) \frac{1}{\mu_x^2} (r\sigma_x^2 - \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y) \\ s_{xy} &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y}) \end{aligned}$$

4.5.2 Ratio Estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y}_R &= \frac{\mu_x}{\bar{X}} \bar{Y} = \mu_x R \\ Var(\bar{Y}_R) &\approx \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} (r^2 \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 - 2r\rho\sigma_x\sigma_y) \\ E(\bar{Y}_R) - \mu_y &\approx \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} \frac{1}{\mu_x} (r\sigma_x^2 - \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y) \end{aligned}$$

The bias is of order $\frac{1}{n}$, small compared to its standard error.

\bar{Y}_R is better than \bar{Y} , having smaller variance, when $\rho > \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{C_x}{C_y} \right)$, where $C_i = \sigma_i/\mu_i$

Variance of \bar{Y}_R can be estimated by $s_{\bar{Y}_R}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} (R^2 s_x^2 + s_y^2 - 2R s_{xy})$

An approximate $1 - \alpha$ C.I. for μ_y is $\bar{Y}_R \pm z_{\alpha/2} s_{\bar{Y}_R}$

5 Estimation

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be IID random variables with density $f(x|\theta)$, where $\theta \in \mathcal{R}^P$ is an unknown constant. Realisations x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n will be used to estimate θ , the estimate a realisation of RV $\hat{\theta}$. The bias and SE are:

$$\text{bias} = E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta, SE = SD(\hat{\theta})$$

5.1 Moments

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be IID with the same distribution as X .

$\hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k$ is an estimator of μ_k , where μ_k is the k th moment. An estimate is also denoted $\hat{\mu}_k$.

5.2 Method of Moments

To estimate θ , express it as a function of moments $g(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2, \dots)$

The bias and SE in an estimate, still depends on the unknown value of the constant. Suppose 1.67 and 0.38 are estimates of λ and α .

Data is generated from $\Gamma(1.67, 0.38)$, and the MOM estimators are written as $\widehat{1.67}$ and $\widehat{0.38}$. Because the sample size is large, $(\hat{\lambda} - \lambda, \hat{\alpha} - \alpha) \approx (\widehat{1.67} - 1.67, \widehat{0.38} - 0.38)$

Monte Carlo is used to generate many realisations of $\widehat{1.67}$ via the $\Gamma(1.67, 0.38)$ distribution. With 10,000 realisations, $bias(1.67) = E_{1.67, 0.38}(\widehat{1.67} - 1.67) \approx 0.09$

$$SE(1.67) = SD_{1.67, 0.38}(\widehat{0.38}) \approx 0.35$$

and λ is estimated as 1.58 ± 0.35

$\bar{X} \xrightarrow[n]{\infty} \alpha/\lambda, \hat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow[n]{\infty} \alpha/\lambda^2$, MOM estimators are consistent (asymptotically unbiased).

Poisson(λ): bias = 0, $SE \approx \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}}{n}}$

$$N(\mu, \sigma^2): \mu = \mu_1, \sigma^2 = \mu_2 - \mu_1^2$$

$$\Gamma(\lambda, \alpha): \hat{\lambda} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1}{\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{\sigma}^2}, \hat{\alpha} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1^2}{\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2} = \frac{\bar{X}^2}{\bar{\sigma}^2}$$

5.3 Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE)

Let $f(\cdot|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta$ be a (identifiable) parametric identity

Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are IID with density $f(\cdot|\theta)$, where $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ is an unknown constant, we want to estimate θ_0 using realisations x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

$Pr(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$ for a discrete distribution.

$$\theta \rightarrow L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$$

The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate of θ_0 is the number that maximises the likelihood over θ .

The estimate is a realisation of the ML estimator $\hat{\theta}_0$, which can also be found by maximising

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i|\theta)$$

The bias and SE are:

$$\text{bias} = E_{\theta_0}(\hat{\theta}_0) - \theta_0, SE = SD(\hat{\theta}_0)$$

5.3.1 Poisson Case

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} = \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}$$

$$l(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \lambda - n\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i!$$

ML estimate of λ_0 is \bar{x} . ML estimator is $\hat{\lambda}_0 = \bar{X}$

5.3.2 Normal case

$$l(\mu, \sigma) = -n \log \sigma - \frac{n \log 2\pi}{2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\sum (X_i - \mu)}{\sigma^2} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^3} - \frac{n}{\sigma} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \end{aligned}$$

5.3.3 Gamma case

$$l(\theta) = n\alpha \log \lambda + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n \log \Gamma(\alpha)$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \alpha} = n \log \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \frac{n}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \Gamma'(\alpha)$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{n\alpha}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{x}}$$

bias and SE are estimated through Monte Carlo and Bootstrap methods.

5.3.4 Multinomial Case

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \binom{n}{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r} \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{x_i}$$

where X_i is the number of times the value occurs, and not the number of trials. and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r are non-negative integers summing to n . $\forall i$:

$$E(X_i) = np_i, Var(X_i) = np_i(1 - p_i)$$

$$Cov(X_i, X_j) = -np_i p_j, \forall i \neq j$$

$$l(p) = + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i \log p_i + x_r \log(1 - p_1 - \dots - p_{r-1})$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial p_i} = \frac{x_i}{p_i} - \frac{x_r}{p_r} = 0 \text{ assuming MLE exists}$$

$$\frac{x_i}{p_i} = \frac{x_r}{p_r} \Rightarrow \hat{p}_i = \frac{x_i}{c}, c = \frac{x_r}{p_r}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \hat{p}_i = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{x_i}{c} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i = n \Rightarrow \hat{p}_i = \frac{\bar{x}_i}{n}$$

same as MOM estimator.

5.3.5 MLE vs MOM

1. ML estimates have smaller SEs than MOM estimates
2. In some cases bias and SE have to be computed numerically via methods like Newton-Raphson, and requires bootstrap and Monte Carlo

5.3.6 Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium

Let a locus have two alleles A and a, where the proportion of a in the population is θ .

Assuming, the population is large, and mating is random, then in the next generation, the proportion of a alleles is the sum of 2 Be RV, $Bin(2, \theta)$ and the number of a alleles is $Bin(2n, \theta)$

5.3.7 CIs in MLE

When sample size is large, $\hat{\theta}_0$ is approximately normal.

$$\frac{\hat{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}$$

Given the realisations \bar{x} and s ,

$$\left(\bar{x} - t_{n-1, \alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + t_{n-1, \alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

is the exact $1 - \alpha$ CI for μ .

$$\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}$$

$$\left(\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\chi_{n-1, \alpha/2}^2}, \frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\chi_{n-1, 1-\alpha/2}^2} \right)$$

is the exact $1 - \alpha$ CI for σ .

6 Fisher Information

$$I(\theta) = -E \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x|\theta) \right)$$

Distribution	MLE	Variance
Po(λ)	X	λ
Be(p)	X	$p(1-p)$
Bin(n, p)	$\frac{X}{n}$	$\frac{p(1-p)}{n}$
HWE tri	$\frac{X_2 + 2X_3}{n}$	$\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$

General trinomial: $\left(\frac{X_1}{n}, \frac{X_2}{n} \right)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_1(1-p_1) & -p_1 p_2 \\ -p_1 p_2 & p_2(1-p_2) \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n}$$

In all the above cases, $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = I(\theta)^{-1}$.

7 Asymptotic Normality of MLE

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\sqrt{nI(\theta)}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow N(0, 1)$ in distribution, and hence $\hat{\theta} \sim N\left(\theta, \frac{I(\theta)^{-1}}{n}\right)$

As $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow[n]{\infty} \theta$, MLE is consistent.

7.1 SE

SE of an estimate of θ is the SD of the estimator $\hat{\theta}$, hence $SE = SD(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{\frac{I(\theta)^{-1}}{n}} \approx$

$$\sqrt{\frac{I(\hat{\theta})^{-1}}{n}}$$

7.2 Random Intervals

$$1 - \alpha \text{ CI} \approx \hat{\theta} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{I(\hat{\theta})^{-1}}{n}}$$

8 Sufficiency

8.1 Characterisation

Let $S_t = x : T(x) = t =$. The sample space of X , S is the disjoint union of S_t across all possible values of T .

T is sufficient for θ if $\exists q()$ s.t. $\forall x \in S_t, f_\theta(X|T=t) = q(x)$.

8.2 Factorisation Theorem

T is sufficient for θ iff $\exists g(t, \theta), h(x)$ s.t. $\forall \theta \in \Theta, f_\theta(x) = g(T(x), \theta)h(x)$

8.3 Rao-Blackwell Theorem

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an estimator of θ with finite variance, T be sufficient for θ . Let $\tilde{\theta} = E[\hat{\theta}|T]$. Then for every $\theta \in \Theta$, $E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 \leq E(\tilde{\theta} - \theta)^2$.

Equality holds iff $\hat{\theta}$ is a function of T .

8.4 Random Conditional Expectation

1. $E(X) = E(E(X|T))$
2. $var(X) = var(E(X|T)) + E(var(X|T))$
3. $var(Y|X) = E(Y^2|X) - E(Y|X)^2$
4. $E(Y) = Y, var(Y) = 0$ iff Y is a constant

9 Hypothesis Testing

Let $X_1 \dots X_n$ be IID with density $f(x|\theta)$. null $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$, $H - 1 : \theta = \theta_1$. Let the critical region be R_n . Then, $size = P_0(X \in R)$ and $power = P_1(X \in R)$.

The likelihood ratio of H_0 to H_1 is $\Lambda(x) = \frac{f_0(x_1) \dots f_0(x_n)}{f_1(x_1) \dots f_1(x_n)}$. The smaller $\Lambda(x)$ the more evidence against H_0 . We define critical region $x : \Lambda(x) < c_\alpha$, and among all tests with this size, it has the maximum power (Neyman-Pearson Lemma).

A hypothesis is simple if it completely specifies the distribution of the data. In many cases, H_1 is composite: (B) $H_1 : \mu > \mu_0$ (C) $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$.

(B) Critical region $\{\bar{x} > \mu_0 + z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\}$, the power is a function of μ , and this is uniformly the most powerful test for size $\leq \alpha$.

(C) Critical region $\{|\bar{x} - \mu_0| > c\}$, $c = z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$, but not uniformly most powerful.

The $(1 - \alpha)$ CI for μ consists of precisely the values μ_0 for which $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ is not rejected

against $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$. Exact for normal with known variance, approx. in others.

9.1 p-value

the probability under H_0 that the test statistic is more extreme than the realisation. (A, B): $p = P_0(\bar{X} > \bar{x}) = P(Z > \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}})$. (C): $p = P_0(|\bar{X} - \mu_0| > |\bar{x} - \mu_0|)$. The smaller the p-value, the more suspicious one should be about H_0 . If size is smaller than p-value, do not reject H_0 .

10 Generalized Likelihood Ratio

$\Lambda^* = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \omega_0} L(\theta)}{\max_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\theta)}$, $\Omega = \omega_0 \cup \omega_1$. The closer Λ is to 0, the stronger the evidence for H_1 .

10.1 Large-sample null distribution of Λ

Under H_0 , when n is large, $-2 \log \Lambda = \chi_k^2$, where $k = \dim(\Omega) - \dim(\omega_0)$.

Normal (C): $p = P\left(\chi_1^2 > \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma^2/n}\right)$

Multinomial: $\Lambda = \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{E_i}{X_i}\right)^{X_i}$ where $E_i = np_i(\hat{\theta})$ is the expected frequency of the i th event under H_0 . $-2 \log \Lambda \approx \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{(X_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$, which is the Pearson chi-square statistic, written as X^2 .

10.2 Poisson Dispersion Test

For $i = 1 \dots n$ let $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$ are independent.

$$w_0 = \{\tilde{\lambda} | \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n\}$$

$$w_1 = \{\tilde{\lambda} | \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \text{ for some } i, j\}$$

$-2 \log \Lambda \approx \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\bar{X}}$. For large n , the null distribution of $-2 \log \Lambda$ is approximately χ_{n-1}^2

11 Comparing 2 samples

11.1 Normal Theory: Same Variance

X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d $N(\mu_X, \sigma^2)$ and Y_1, \dots, Y_m be i.i.d $N(\mu_Y, \sigma^2)$, independent. $H_0 : \mu_X - \mu_Y = d$

11.1.1 Known Variance

$Z := \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_X - \mu_Y)}{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}}$ and reject H_0 when $|Z| > z_{\alpha/2}$

11.1.2 Unknown Variance

$s_p^2 = \frac{(n-1)s_X^2 + (m-1)s_Y^2}{m+n-2}$ where $s_X^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$. s_p^2 is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 . s_X within factor of 2 from s_Y .

$t := \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_X - \mu_Y)}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}}$ follows a t distribution with $m+n-2$ d.f.

If two-sided: reject H_0 when $|t| > t_{n+m-2, \alpha/2}$. If one-sided, e.g $H_1 : \mu_X > \mu_Y$, reject H_0 when $t > t_{n+m-2, \alpha}$.

11.1.3 CI

$\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{\pm} z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sigma \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}$ if σ is known, or $\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{\pm} t_{m+n-2, \alpha/2} \cdot s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}$ if σ is unknown.

11.1.4 Unequal Variance

$Z := \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_X - \mu_Y)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_X^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{m}}}$
 $t := \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_X - \mu_Y)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_X^2}{n} + \frac{s_Y^2}{m}}}$, with $df = \frac{(a+b)^2}{\frac{a^2}{n-1} + \frac{b^2}{m-1}}$
where $a = \frac{s_X^2}{n}$ and $b = \frac{s_Y^2}{m}$

11.2 Mann-Whitney Test

We take the smaller sample of size n_1 , and sum the ranks in that sample. $R' = n_1(m + n + 1) - R$, and $R^* = \min(R', R)$, we reject $H_0 : F = G$ if R^* is too small.

Test works for all distributions, and is robust to outliers.

11.3 Paired Samples

(X_i, Y_i) are paired and related to the same individual. (X_i, Y_i) is independent from (X_j, Y_j) . Compute $D_i = Y_i - X_i$, To test $H_0 : \mu_D = d$, $t = \frac{\bar{D} - \mu_D}{s_D / \sqrt{n}}$.
 $1 - \alpha$ CI: $\bar{D} \pm t_{n-1, \alpha/2} S_D / \sqrt{n}$

11.4 Ranked Test

W_+ is the sum of ranks among all positive D_i and W_- is the sum of ranks among all negative D_i . We want to reject H_0 if $W = \min(W_+, W_-)$ is too large.