

# Minimum coefficient of variation for log-concave densities

Charles Zheng

November 22, 2015

## 1 Problem

Let  $f(x)$  be a twice-differentiable convex function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\int_0^\infty e^{-f(x)} dx < \infty$ . Then, if  $X$  is distributed according to

$$\Pr[X < t] = \frac{\int_0^t e^{-f(x)} dx}{\int_0^\infty e^{-f(x)} dx}$$

we say that  $X$  has a log-concave distribution on the positive real line.

Define the coefficient of variation of  $X$  by

$$\text{CV}[X] = \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[X]}}.$$

Accordingly, define functionals

$$E(f) = \frac{\int_0^\infty x e^{-f(x)} dx}{\int_0^\infty e^{-f(x)} dx}$$

$$E_2(f) = \frac{\int_0^\infty x^2 e^{-f(x)} dx}{\int_0^\infty e^{-f(x)} dx}$$

$$V(f) = E(f)^2 - E_2(f)$$

$$\text{CV}(f) = \frac{E(f)}{\sqrt{V(f)}}$$

so that  $E(f) = \mathbf{E}[X]$ ,  $E_2(f) = \mathbf{E}[X^2]$ ,  $V(f) = \text{Var}(X)$  and  $\text{CV}(f) = \text{CV}[X]$  for  $X$  defined as above.

Now consider the problem of finding the log-concave distribution with the smallest coefficient of variation, i.e.

$$\text{minimize}_f \text{CV}(f) \text{ subject to } f'' \geq 0.$$

Intuitively, if the above optimization has a unique solution, then it should lie on the boundary of the constraint, hence  $f''(x) = 0$ . This suggests the exponential distribution, corresponding to  $f(x) = x/\lambda$ , which has a coefficient of variation equal to 1. In the following, we will use the variational calculus to show that  $f(x) = x$  is a local minimum of the optimization problem, but we do not have a proof that  $f(x) = x$  is a global minimum.

## 2 Calculus of Variations

The calculus of variations allows one to define gradients of functionals. Given a functional  $\Lambda : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with function space  $\mathcal{F}$  as domain, any function  $h$  in the dual space  $\mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Lambda(f + \epsilon g) - \Lambda(f)}{\epsilon} = \int h(x)g(x)dx$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  is called a gradient of  $\Lambda$  at  $f$ :

$$h = \nabla \Lambda(f).$$

In our problem, the gradients of the functionals  $E$ ,  $V$ , and  $\text{CV}$  are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla E(f) &= p_f(x)(E(f) - x) \\ \nabla V(f) &= p_f(x)(E_2(f) - 2E(f)^2 + 2E(f)x - x^2) \\ \nabla \text{CV}(f) &= p_f(x) \left[ \frac{E(f) - x}{\sqrt{V(f)}} - \frac{E(f)}{2V(f)^{3/2}} (E_2(f) - 2E(f)^2 + 2E(f)x - x^2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_f(x) = \frac{e^{-f(x)}}{\int_0^\infty e^{-f(z)}dz}.$$

Letting  $h_f = \nabla \text{CV}(f)$ , in order to show that  $f$  is a local minimum, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\text{CV}(f + \epsilon g) - \text{CV}(f)) > 0,$$

i.e. that

$$\int_0^\infty h_f(x)g(x) \geq 0$$

for any  $g$  such that  $g''(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$  such that  $f''(x) = 0$ .

Define  $H_f(x) = \int_0^x h_f(z)dz$  and  $\mathbf{H}(x) = \int_0^x H_f(z)dz$ . Then we for any  $t > 0$ , applying integration by parts we have

$$\int_0^t h_f(x)g(x)dx = H_f(x)g(x)|_0^t - \mathbf{H}_f(x)g'(x)|_0^t + \int_0^t \mathbf{H}_f(x)g''(x)dx.$$

Hence, supposing that  $H_f(0) = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_f(0) = 0$ , and

$$0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H_f(x)g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{H}_f(x)g'(x)$$

we have

$$\int_0^\infty h_f(x)g(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{H}_f(x)g''(x).$$

This motivates the following lemma:

**Lemma.** *Suppose that*

$$0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xH_f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{H}_f(x),$$

*and also that there exists  $x^* > 0$  such that  $\inf_{x \geq x^*} h_f(x) \geq 0$ . Then*

$$\inf_{g: g'' \geq 0} \int_0^\infty g(x)h_f(x)dx < 0.$$

*implies*

$$\inf_{g: g'' \geq 0} \int_0^\infty g''(x)\mathbf{H}_f(x)dx < 0$$

**Proof.** Suppose that

$$\inf_{g: g'' \geq 0} \int_0^\infty g(x)h_f(x)dx < 0.$$

Then there exists  $g$  with  $g'' \geq 0$  such that

$$\int_0^\infty g(x)h_f(x)dx = \delta < 0.$$

Now consider piecewise functions  $\tilde{g}$  of the form

$$\tilde{g}(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{for } x < t^* \\ j(x) & \text{for } x \in [t^*, t^* + \epsilon] \\ j(t^* + \epsilon) + (x - t^* - \epsilon)g'(t^* + \epsilon) & \text{for } x \geq t^* \end{cases}$$

where  $j$  is chosen so that  $j(x) \leq g(x)$  and so that  $\tilde{g}$  is twice-differentiable and convex, Since  $\tilde{g}(x) \leq g(x)$  for  $x \geq t^*$ , and also since  $h_f(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \geq t^*$ , we conclude that  $\tilde{g}(x)h_f(x) \leq g(x)h_f(x)$  pointwise, and hence

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{g}(x)h_f(x)dx \leq \int_0^\infty g(x)h_f(x) < 0.$$

Furthermore, we can modify  $\tilde{g}$  to be twice-differentiable while preserving the above property. Hence we conclude that there exists  $\tilde{g}$  with

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{g}(x)h_f(x)dx < 0.$$

and also

$$0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H_f(x)\tilde{g}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{H}_f(x)\tilde{g}'(x).$$

This allows us to conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{g}(x)h_f(x)dx = \int_0^\infty \tilde{g}''(x)\mathbf{H}_f(x)dx < 0,$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

## 2.1 Exponential distribution

For  $f(x) = x$ , the exponential distribution, we have

$$h_f(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-x}(x^2 - 4x + 2)$$

$$H_f(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-x}(2x - x^2)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_f(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-x}(x^2 + 4x).$$

Since  $\mathbf{H}_f(x) \geq 0$ , it is clear that

$$\inf_{g: g'' \geq 0} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{H}_f(x)g''(x)dx \geq 0.$$

Now since  $H_f(x)$  and  $\mathbf{H}_f(x)$  satisfy the conditions of the lemma, we can conclude from the contrapositive of the lemma that

$$\inf_{g: g'' \geq 0} \int_0^\infty h_f(x)g(x)dx \geq 0,$$

which thus implies that  $f(x) = x$  is a local minimum.