

# Semi-supervised Principal Components Regression

Charles Zheng

April 22, 2015

## Abstract

*Semi-supervised learning* refers to the problem of learning a rule for predicting labels  $y$  from features  $x$ , given training data which includes both labeled examples  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_\ell, y_\ell)$  and unlabeled examples  $x_{\ell+1}, \dots, x_n$ . A basic question of this field is to characterize the conditions under which the unlabeled examples can be used to improve generalization error. We introduce a latent variable model for which semi-supervised principal components regression is shown to outperform supervised ridge regression.

Keywords: Semi-supervised, ridge regression, principal components analysis, latent variables

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Ridge Regression

Ridge regression is a linear method for predicting a real-valued label  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  from a vector of real-valued features  $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . It produces a regularized least squares estimate for a coefficient vector  $\hat{\beta}_\lambda$  and intercept term  $\hat{c}_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is a regularization parameter. This coefficient vector  $\hat{\beta}_\lambda$  is used to predict the label  $y^*$  for an unlabeled example with features  $x^*$  by the rule

$$y^* = \hat{\beta}_\lambda^T x^* + \hat{c}_\lambda$$

Given training data with labels  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and features  $X = (x_1^T, \dots, x_n^T)$ , the ridge regression

## 1.2 Principal Components Regression

## 2 Theory

### 2.1 Model

We specify a generative model for data matrices  $X = (x_1^T, \dots, x_n^T)$  and  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , which together represent  $n$  labeled examples.

Let  $Z = (z_1^T, \dots, z_n^T)$  be an  $n \times r$  matrix of latent variables, where each entry  $z_{ij}$  is iid standard normal. The latent variables are related to  $X$  and  $Y$  in the following way. Let

$$X = Z\alpha + 1_n C^T + E$$

where  $\alpha$  is a  $r \times p$  coefficient matrix with unit-norm columns,  $C$  is a fixed  $p \times 1$  intercept matrix, and  $E$  is a  $n \times p$  random matrix of error terms which are iid  $N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$ . Similarly, let

$$Y = Z\gamma + c + \epsilon$$

where  $\gamma$  is a  $r \times 1$  coefficient vector,  $c$  is an intercept term, and  $\epsilon$  is a  $n \times 1$  vector with entries iid  $N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$ .

The semi-supervised learning problem can be posed as follows. Let  $\ell < n$  be the number of labeled examples, and let  $Y_\ell$  be the first  $\ell$  rows of  $Y$ . Supposing that only  $X$  and  $Y_\ell$  are observed, and  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\sigma_\epsilon^2$  are unknown parameters, the problem is to predict  $\hat{Y}$  for all  $n$  examples. The squared-error loss is defined as

$$\|\hat{Y} - Y\|^2$$

and the goal is to choose a prediction method which minimizes the *risk*, or expected squared-error loss.

Of course, one can always set the first  $\ell$  entries of  $\hat{Y}$  to be equal to  $Y_\ell$ , which guarantees zero error on those examples. Thus the challenge is to make a prediction for the unobserved entries of  $Y$ .

Throughout the paper we make the simplifying assumption that  $r$ , the number of latent variables, is known; however, the problem of testing the number of principal components  $r$  is well-studied in the statistics literature, and our analysis could be extended to incorporate the case of unknown  $r$ . Noting that the problems of estimating the unknown intercept terms  $C$  and  $c$  as well as the marginal variances of  $X$  and  $Y$  are also well-understood, we lose little theoretical power and gain much clarity by making additional assumptions that  $C = 0$ ,  $c = 0$ , and that all the columns of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are unit-norm. Note that as a result,  $X$  and  $Y$  have zero marginal mean and equal

marginal variances of  $1 + \sigma_\epsilon^2$ , justifying the omission of the normalization step normally employed in ridge regression.

### 3 References

- Zhu, X. “Semi-supervised learning literature survey.” 2005.
- Niyogi, P. “Manifold Regularization and semi-supervised learning: Some theoretical analysis.” 2008.