



# Homework 7 Solutions

Weihaio Li  
Fudan University

## Problem 1

Since

$$I = X^{-1}X = X^{-1}[x, Ax, A^2x, \dots, A^{n-1}x] = [X^{-1}x, X^{-1}Ax, X^{-1}A^2x, \dots, X^{-1}A^{n-1}x],$$

we have

$$X^{-1}A^kx = e_{k+1}, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} X^{-1}AX &= [X^{-1}Ax, X^{-1}A^2x, \dots, X^{-1}A^nx] \\ &= [e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{n-1}, X^{-1}A^nx], \end{aligned}$$

which is upper Hessenberg.

## Problem 2

We use induction on  $m$ .

When  $m = 1$ ,  $A_0 - \mu_0 I = Q_0 R_0$  is obvious.

Assume  $m = m_0$  is given, we prove case  $m = m_0 + 1$  is right.

Use inductive assumption on  $A_1$ , we have

$$(A_1 - \mu_1 I)(A_1 - \mu_2 I) \dots (A_1 - \mu_{m_0+1} I) = (Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_{m_0+1})(R_{m_0+1} \dots R_2 R_1).$$

Since  $Q_0^*(A_0 - \mu_k I)Q_0 = A_1 - \mu_k I$  for any  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m_0 - 1$ ,

$$(A_0 - \mu_1 I)(A_0 - \mu_2 I) \dots (A_0 - \mu_{m_0+1} I) = Q_0(A_1 - \mu_1 I)(A_1 - \mu_2 I) \dots (A_1 - \mu_{m_0+1} I)Q_0^*,$$

and by the commutativity of  $A_0$  and  $I$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=0}^{m_0+1} (A_0 - \mu_k I) &= (A_0 - \mu_1 I)(A_0 - \mu_2 I) \dots (A_0 - \mu_{m_0+1} I)(A_0 - \mu_0 I) \\ &= Q_0(A_1 - \mu_1 I)(A_1 - \mu_2 I) \dots (A_1 - \mu_{m_0+1} I)Q_0^*(A_0 - \mu_0 I) \\ &= (Q_0 Q_1 \dots Q_{m_0+1})(R_{m_0+1} \dots R_1)Q_0^* Q_0 R_0 \\ &= (Q_0 Q_1 \dots Q_{m_0+1})(R_{m_0+1} \dots R_1 R_0), \end{aligned}$$

and we finished the proof.  $\square$

### Problem 3

See pseudocode below.

```
ExchangeDiagonal(A)
    a = A[0, 0]
    A[1, 0] = 1e-4    //perturbation
    final_Q = I
    while abs(A[1, 0]) > 1e-15:
        Q, R = qr_decomposition(A - a * I)
        final_Q = final_Q @ Q
        A = R @ Q + a * I
    return final_Q
```

*Note.* When running algorithm above, we found that the element in the  $c$ 's place keep changing its sign. If you want the element at the  $c$ 's place in the final  $Q^T A Q$  also close to  $c$ , you can add a condition in the `while` statement.

initial A:

```
[[4.e+00 7.e+00]
```

```
 [1.e-06 8.e+00]]
```

iteration 1, element at  $c$ 's place: 1e-06

iteration 2, element at  $c$ 's place: 6.999999753846153

iteration 3, element at  $c$ 's place: -6.9999989999996695

iteration 4, element at  $c$ 's place: 6.999998999999999

iteration 5, element at  $c$ 's place: -6.999998999999999

iteration 6, element at  $c$ 's place: 6.999998999999999

final A:

```
[[8.00000175e+00 6.99999900e+00]
```

```
 [2.76180950e-32 3.99999825e+00]]
```

#### Problem 4

Let  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$  be a diagonal matrix with **positive** entries. With  $b_i c_{i+1} > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ , we can let

$$\begin{aligned}d_1 &= 1 \\d_2 &= d_1 \sqrt{\frac{b_1}{c_2}} \\d_3 &= d_2 \sqrt{\frac{b_2}{c_3}} \\&\dots \\d_n &= d_{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{b_{n-1}}{c_n}}.\end{aligned}$$

By calculation we can show that  $DAD^{-1}$  is real symmetric, hence it can be diagonalizable and has real spectrum.

#### Problem 5

see `hessenberg.py`. The accuracy of Arnoldi process seems to be better than Householder.

```
size of A: 100 * 100.
```

```
Upper Hessenberg via Householder:
```

```
norm of difference between H and Q^TAQ: 2.584e+01
```

```
orthogonal loss of Q: 8.779e-15
```

```
Upper Hessenberg via Arnoldi process:
```

```
norm of difference between H and Q^TAQ: 3.371e-11
```

```
orthogonal loss of Q: 7.750e-12
```