

- 10.6 (a) *Proof.* Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $N = \log_2(\frac{2}{\varepsilon})$. Then if $n > N$, $n > \log_2(\frac{2}{\varepsilon})$. So, $n > 1 + \log_2(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ and thus $2^{n-1} > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Rearranging, we see that $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} 2^{-i} > \sum_{i=n}^{n+k-1} 2^{-i}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, this is equal to $2^{-(n+k-1)} + 2^{-(n+k-2)} + \cdots + 2^{-n} > |s_{n+k} - s_{n+k-1}| + |s_{n+k-1} - s_{n+k-2}| + \cdots + |s_{n+1} - s_n| \geq |s_{n+k} - s_{n+k-1} + s_{n+k-1} - s_{n+k-2} + \cdots + s_{n+1} - s_n|$ by the Triangle Inequality. Let $m = n + k$ so $\varepsilon > |s_{n+k} - s_n| = |s_m - s_n|$. Since k and n were arbitrary, m is also arbitrary so this inequality holds for all $m, n > N$. Since ε was arbitrary, $\langle s_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence convergent by Theorem 10.11. \square
- (b) No. We will show this by giving a counter-example. Let $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$. Then, $|s_{n+1} - s_n| = |\frac{1}{n+1}| = \frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But, s_n is the Harmonic Series and so it diverges to positive infinity. Thus, it is not a Cauchy sequence. Therefore, the result in (a) is not true if we only assume that $|s_{n+1} - s_n| < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 10.8 *Proof.* Let $\langle s_n \rangle$ be an increasing sequence of positive numbers. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then since $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n}(s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_n)$, $\sigma_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}(s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_n + s_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{n+1}(n\sigma_n + s_{n+1}) = \sigma_n - \frac{1}{n+1}\sigma_n + \frac{1}{n+1}s_{n+1}$. Since $\langle s_n \rangle$ is increasing, $s_i < s_{n+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_{<n+1}$ so $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n}(s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_n) < \frac{1}{n}(s_{n+1} + s_{n+1} + \cdots + s_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot ns_{n+1} = s_{n+1}$. Thus, $\frac{1}{n+1}\sigma_n < \frac{1}{n+1}s_{n+1}$ so $-\frac{1}{n+1}\sigma_n + \frac{1}{n+1}s_{n+1} > 0$. Then, $\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n = -\frac{1}{n+1}\sigma_n + \frac{1}{n+1}s_{n+1} > 0$ and thus $\sigma_{n+1} > \sigma_n$. Since n was arbitrary, this is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\langle s_n \rangle$ was arbitrary, $\langle \sigma_n \rangle$ is an increasing sequence. \square
- 10.10 (a) $s_2 = \frac{1}{3}(1+1) = \frac{2}{3}$, $s_3 = \frac{1}{3}(\frac{2}{3}+1) = \frac{5}{9}$, and $s_4 = \frac{1}{3}(\frac{5}{9}+1) = \frac{14}{27}$.
- (b) *Proof.* We will prove by induction. Note that $s_1 = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$ so the claim holds for $n = 1$. Suppose the claim holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1) > \frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{2} + 1) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$ so the claim holds for $n+1$. Since n was arbitrary, the claim holds for $n+1$ whenever it holds for n . Therefore, by induction, $s_n > \frac{1}{2}$ for all n . \square
- (c) By definition, $s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1)$ so $3s_{n+1} = s_n + 1$. By Part (b) above, $s_n > \frac{1}{2}$ for all n so $2s_n > 1$ and thus $s_n + 1 < s_n + 2s_n = 3s_n$. Thus, $3s_{n+1} = s_n + 1 < 3s_n$ and so $s_{n+1} < s_n$ for all n . Therefore, $\langle s_n \rangle$ is a decreasing sequence.
- (d) By parts (b) and (c) above, $\langle s_n \rangle$ is a decreasing sequence that is bounded below and so its limit exists by Theorem 10.2. Let $s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n+1}$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\frac{1}{3}(s_n + 1)) = \frac{1}{3} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n + \frac{1}{3}$ by limit properties so $s = \frac{1}{3}s + \frac{1}{3}$ so $3s = s + 1$ and hence $s = 2$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \frac{1}{2}$.
- 11.2 (a) $\langle a_{n_k} \rangle = \langle 1, 1, 1, \dots \rangle$ is a monotone subsequence of $\langle a_n \rangle$. $\langle b_n \rangle$, $\langle c_n \rangle$, and $\langle d_n \rangle$ are already monotone subsequences so the subsequence consisting of the entire sequence is a monotone subsequence.
- (b) The subsequential limits of the sequences are 1 and -1 for $\langle a_n \rangle$, 0 for $\langle b_n \rangle$, $+\infty$ for $\langle c_n \rangle$, and $\frac{6}{7}$ for $\langle d_n \rangle$.
- (c) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = -1$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = +\infty$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = \frac{6}{7}$.
- (d) $\langle a_n \rangle$ diverges and its limit is undefined, $\langle b_n \rangle$ converges to 0, $\langle c_n \rangle$ diverges to $+\infty$, and $\langle d_n \rangle$ converges to $\frac{6}{7}$.
- (e) $\langle a_n \rangle$, $\langle b_n \rangle$, and $\langle d_n \rangle$ are bounded. $\langle c_n \rangle$ is not bounded.
- 11.4 (a) $\langle w_{n_k} \rangle = \langle 4, 16, 64, \dots \rangle = \langle 4^k \rangle$ is a monotone subsequence of $\langle w_n \rangle$. $\langle x_{n_k} \rangle = \langle 5, 5, 5, \dots \rangle$ is a monotone subsequence of $\langle x_n \rangle$. $\langle y_{n_k} \rangle = \langle 0, 0, 0, \dots \rangle$ is a monotone subsequence of $\langle y_n \rangle$. $\langle z_{n_k} \rangle = \langle 0, 0, 0, \dots \rangle$ is a monotone subsequence of $\langle z_n \rangle$.
- (b) The subsequential limits of the sequences are $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ for $\langle w_n \rangle$, 5 and 5^{-1} for $\langle x_n \rangle$, 2 and 0 for $\langle y_n \rangle$, and 0 and $\pm\infty$ for $\langle z_n \rangle$.
- (c) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = +\infty$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = -\infty$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 5$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 5^{-1}$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 2$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 0$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = +\infty$, and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = -\infty$.
- (d) All four sequences diverge with undefined limits.

(e) $\langle x_n \rangle$ and $\langle y_n \rangle$ are bounded. $\langle w_n \rangle$ and $\langle z_n \rangle$ are not bounded.

11.6 *Proof.* Let $\langle a_n \rangle$ be a sequence and $\langle b_k \rangle = \langle a_{n_k} \rangle$ be a subsequence of $\langle a_n \rangle$. Then, let $\langle c_m \rangle = \langle b_{k_m} \rangle$ be a subsequence of $\langle b_k \rangle$. By definition (3) of 11.1, there are natural functions σ and ρ given by $\sigma(k) = n_k$ and $\rho(m) = k_m$ for $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The functions σ and ρ "select" an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} in order. We can then define the subsequence of a corresponding to σ as $b = a \circ \sigma$ and the subsequence of b corresponding to ρ as $c = b \circ \rho$. Then, $c_m = c(m) = b \circ \rho(m) = b(\rho(m)) = b(k_m) = a \circ \sigma(k_m) = a(\sigma(k_m)) = a(n_{k_m}) = a_{n_{k_m}}$. Hence, c is a subsequence of a since $c = a \circ (\sigma \circ \rho)$ where $\sigma \circ \rho$ is an increasing function mapping \mathbb{N} into \mathbb{N} . Since a , b , and c were arbitrary, the claim holds for any sequence and its subsequences. \square

11.10 (a) $S = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{k} | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$

(b) S is the set of subsequential limits, so by Theorem 11.2, S is the same as the set of accumulation points. Thus, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 1$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$.