

KL-Aware GPTQ Quantization

Haseeb-26100253, Hamza-26100130

April 2025

1. Empirical Hessian Computation

Given a calibration dataset $\{\mathbf{x}_t\}_{t=1}^T \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of input activations to the layer, define the empirical input Hessian

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}.$$

This captures the average second-moment of inputs and underlies vanilla GPTQ’s reconstruction objective.

2. Hessian Damping and Inversion

For numerical stability, form the damped Hessian

$$\mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{H} + \lambda \frac{\text{tr}(\mathbf{H})}{N} \mathbf{I}_N, \quad \mathbf{H}'^{-1} = (\mathbf{H}')^{-1}.$$

Factor via Cholesky: $\mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}^\top$, then $\mathbf{H}'^{-1} = \mathbf{L}^{-\top} \mathbf{L}^{-1}$.

3. GPTQ Reconstruction Objective

Vanilla GPTQ minimizes the weighted squared error

$$L_{\text{MSE}}(\mathbf{Q}) = ((\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{Q})^\top \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{Q})).$$

We quantize column-by-column to (approximately) solve this with low complexity.

4. Column-Wise Quantization Loop

For $i = 1, \dots, N$:

1. Diagonal scale: $h_{ii}^{-1} = (\mathbf{H}'^{-1})_{ii}$, set $d_i = \sqrt{h_{ii}^{-1}}$.
2. Quantization: $\mathbf{q}_i = d_i (\mathbf{w}_i / d_i) \in \mathcal{Q}^M$.
3. Error: $\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{w}_i - \mathbf{q}_i$.
4. Propagation: for each $j > i$,

$$\mathbf{w}_j \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_j - \frac{(\mathbf{H}'^{-1})_{ij}}{h_{ii}^{-1}} \mathbf{e}_i.$$

This costs $O(N^2 M)$ overall once \mathbf{H}'^{-1} is available.

5. Activation Ordering (Optional)

Reordering columns by descending $\text{diag}(\mathbf{H})$ can improve quantization fidelity; apply inverse permutation after loop.

6. Quantization Error Metric

Compute the average per-column loss

$$\text{Loss}_{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\|\mathbf{w}_i^{\text{before}} - \mathbf{q}_i\|_2^2}{2 h_{ii}^{-1}}.$$

7. KL-Augmented Objective

Let p_t be the teacher soft output and q_t the quantized soft output on each calibration input \mathbf{x}_t :

$$p_t = \text{softmax}(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}_t / \tau), \quad q_t = \text{softmax}(\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_t / \tau).$$

We form the composite loss

$$L(\mathbf{Q}) = L_{\text{MSE}}(\mathbf{Q}) + \beta \sum_{t=1}^T (p_t \| q_t) \quad (\beta > 0, \tau > 0).$$

7.1 Global Second-Order KL Strategy

1. **Distillation Hessian:**

$$A = \sum_{t=1}^T [\text{diag}(p_t) - p_t p_t^\top] \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}.$$

2. **Combined Curvature:**

$$H_{\text{tot}} = \mathbf{H} + \beta A, \quad H_{\text{tot}} \succ 0.$$

3. **Factor and Scale:** Cholesky $H_{\text{tot}} = LL^\top$, invert to get scales $d_i = \sqrt{(H_{\text{tot}}^{-1})_{ii}}$.

4. **Column-Wise GPTQ:** Run the same loop as Sec. 4, but replace \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{H}'^{-1} with H_{tot} and H_{tot}^{-1} .

This adds only one extra $O(TN^2)$ pass to build A and reuses the same Cholesky ($O(N^3)$) as vanilla.

7.2 Local First-Order KL Strategy

1. Compute per-column gradient

$$g_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \sum_{t=1}^T (p_t \| q_t) \in \mathbb{R}^M.$$

2. Build surrogate

$$\ell_i(q_i) \approx \|\mathbf{w}_i - q_i\|_{H_{ii}}^2 + \beta g_i^\top (q_i - \mathbf{w}_i) = \left\| \mathbf{w}_i + \frac{\beta}{2} H_{ii}^{-1} g_i - q_i \right\|_{H_{ii}}^2.$$

3. Shift quantize: $\tilde{w}_i = \mathbf{w}_i + \frac{\beta}{2} H_{ii}^{-1} g_i$, then $\mathbf{q}_i = d_i(\tilde{w}_i/d_i)$.

4. Propagate error $\mathbf{e}_i = \tilde{w}_i - \mathbf{q}_i$ as usual.

Cost remains $O(N^2M)$ per layer plus one gradient pass $O(TM^2)$ for g_i .

8. Complexity Discussion

Both vanilla GPTQ and the global KL strategy share the same asymptotic costs per layer:

- Hessian assembly: $O(TN^2)$ to compute H (and A for KL).
- Cholesky factorization: $O(N^3)$.
- Column updates: $O(N^2M)$.

Thus, the global KL extension does *not* change the dominant $O(N^3)$ behavior—it only adds an extra Hessian-term build of order $O(TN^2)$, identical to vanilla GPTQ’s activation covariance pass.

9. Practical Notes

- Cache all p_t once per layer before column quantization—no interleaved re-evaluation.
- Choose β to balance reconstruction vs. output fidelity; $\beta=0$ recovers vanilla GPTQ.
- Use damping λ to ensure $H_{\text{tot}} \succ 0$ when βA might be singular.
- The local strategy offers per-column flexibility at slightly lower overall cost.