

Protokoll

Schwerependel

Physikalisches Grundpraktikum
Free University Berlin

Christoph Haaf - christoph.haaf@fu-berlin.de
Zacharias V. Fisches - zacharias.vf@gmail.com
Tutor: Dr. Kenichi Anataka

1.12.2014

Inhaltsverzeichnis

1	Physical Background for Task 1	2
2	Physical Background for Task 2	2
3	Measurement - and Other Data	3
3.1	Measurement Data	3
3.2	Equipment List	3
3.3	Equipment Error Values	3
3.4	Literature Values for g	3
4	Auswertung	3
4.1	Task 1	3
	Graph 1: Estimate of T	4
4.2	Task 2	4
5	Conclusion	4

1 Physical Background for Task 1

Please see inserted pages.

2 Physical Background for Task 2

From Task 1 we know the solution to our equation of motion and therefore the value of T_0 :

$$T_0 = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{I}{Mgs}} \quad (1)$$

Also, we remember the Steiner theorem:

$$I_{new} = I_{known} + Ms^2 \quad (2)$$

where s is the displacement of the new axis relative to the old.

We consider the center of mass and two displacements l_a and l_b of the axis. We know $l_a + l_b = d$, the distance between our two axis.

With (1) and (2) we get (3), which holds for l_a and l_b :

$$T_a = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{I_a}{Mgl_a}} = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{I_{cm} + Ml_a^2}{Mgl_a}} \quad (3)$$

By moving our additional mass on the pendulum we can move the center of mass. Assuming we can find a position (and it turns out that this position can easily be found) where our measured periods are the same, we find: $T_a = T_b$! Now the periods can easily be plugged in and the resulting equation yields:

$$I_{cm} = Ml_al_b \quad (4)$$

Plugging that into our T_0 we find:

$$\begin{aligned} T &= 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{I_{cm} + Ml_a^2}{Mgl_a}} \\ T &= 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{Ml_al_b + Ml_a^2}{Mgl_a}} \\ T &= 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{l_a + l_b}{g}} \\ T &= 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{d}{g}} \\ \implies g &= d\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since d and T are easily measured, this is what we need!

3 Measurement - and Other Data

3.1 Measurement Data

Please see inserted page.

3.2 Equipment List

- Stangenpendel mit Zusatzmassen – Leybold
- Elektronische Quarz-Stoppuhr 1/100s & Lichtschrankensteuerung
- Quarz-Hand-Stoppuhr 1/100s
- Metallmaßstab 500/1000mm

3.3 Equipment Error Values

- Schneideabstand $L = (0.9941 \pm 0.002)m$
- Länge der Pendelstange $l = (1.6700 \pm 0.0005)m$
- Masse der Pendelstange $m_2 = (1.254 \pm 0.002)m$

3.4 Literature Values for g

- Potsdam: $g = 9.8127400(2)m/s^2$
- Flughafen Tempelhof: $g = 9.81282(1)m/s^2$

4 Auswertung

4.1 Task 1

In Task 1 the period T is measured in comparison to the amplitude of the pendulum. In *Graph 1* we evaluate our measurement results.

The input errors for $\frac{d^2}{s^2}$ and T are:

$$\Delta \frac{d^2}{s^2} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2d}{s^2} \Delta d\right)^2 + \left(\frac{-2d^2}{s^3} \Delta s\right)^2} = \quad (6)$$

and

$$\Delta T = 0.001s \quad (7)$$

However the error in d^2/s^2 is almost too small to be plotted. From the Graph, the value of T_0 is found and an error is obtained:

$$T_0 = (1.972 \pm 0.002)s \quad (8)$$

Graph 1: Estimate of T

4.2 Task 2

In Task 2 the gravitational constant g is determined with equation (5). Please refer to the physical background section for a thorough motivation of this:

$$g = d\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^2 \quad (9)$$

$$g = 0.9941m\left(\frac{2\pi}{2.0049s}\right)^2 = 9.7647\frac{m}{s^2}$$

The error of g is:

$$\Delta g = 2\pi\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{T^2}\Delta d\right)^2 + \left(\frac{-2d}{T^3}\Delta T\right)^2} \quad (10)$$

$$\Delta g = 0.0005\frac{m}{s^2}$$

The result is:

$$g = (9.7647 \pm 0.0005)\frac{m}{s^2}$$

5 Conclusion

In task 1 we measured the period to be $T_0 = 1.972(2)s$. For comparison with the theoretical value of a linear body we use:

$$T_0 = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{I + ms^2}{msg}} \text{ with } I = \frac{1}{12}mL^2$$

and find:

$$T_0 = 1.970s$$

This approximation seems to be legit and is congruent with our result.

In task 2 we determine the gravitational constant $g = 9.7647(5)ms^{-2}$. The literature value is $9.8128ms^{-2}$. Although our value of g seems reasonably good, our error has been calculated to be extremely small and so the triple error intervall still doesn't overlap with the literature value of g . However if friction has to be taken into account, it should lead us to measure a g that is smaller than the true g and that is the case here, so we guess that friction cannot be entirely ignored.