

Documenting SW projects

One approach – Use this one, or define and follow a better one

31.10.2022



Principles or goals

- Maintainable
- Generate what you can generate automatically
- Only to the needed level
- Avoid documentation that can be made unnecessary by other means
- School methods are often different ones, as they often form part of learning process
- In real project add only that documentation and visualization that is necessary
- Link when information is available elsewhere
- Provide Table of Contents where each developer can find just the interesting parts
 - Divide the content to shorter modules for easier learning but also for selection based on need and interest
- Optimize understanding and project reading speed, not project writing speed

Parts of project documentation

- Environment and project information
- Architecture introduction
- Database design and visualization
- Program code comments
- API documentation

Environment and project information

- **Hand-over** is important in all projects. We never know who might continue with the project
- README.md is our project de-facto standard.
 - Some Markdown markup examples given on the course. Check it out. Test whether works on Github pages.
- Make your project installation and configuration clear to the reader. An average IT professional has to be able to setup everything without further assistance!
- Don't write redundant information. Thus no instructions on how to e.g. install Docker. Just list it as pre-requisites and possibly give link to elsewhere.
- Remember to explain the gitignored secrets config! (But no real values to the git repo (history)!)
 - E.g. .env or .env.local file location and model structure with fake values
- Be modular in your explanations, link to to other .md files in the project.

Architecture introduction

- Give just the big picture, put the reader on the map
- It's a lot easier to study the project folders and code when you have some kind of idea what to look for
- Maybe some rough visualization of the architecture and very brief explanations of each part?
- Thus: Less detail than e.g. in exam question explaining the architecture, which is proof of learning.
- Possible just in this level: **Frontend**: React, MaterialUI, AJAX with Axios, react-router-dom (v6 routing contexts used).
 - Would it be possible to link to e.g. the library list in package.json of a Node project?
- Keep this simple and as short as possible.
- And so generic that there should not be much need for changes later.

Database design and visualization

- In school you have learned good long processes for database design. From conceptual level ER diagrams, to logical level design, normalization, database diagrams, etc.
- Those are to some extent for learning the database design
- Some developers just do the database design and implementation at once (database diagram or just SQL DDL scripts). This of course requires some expertise and experience.
- Many tools offer generation of diagrams based on SQL DDL Create table statements.
 - E.g. DBeaver offers adding more diagrams to the project and selecting which tables you want to include in there. DBeaver calls them ER diagrams, but they are actually **logical level database diagrams**, table diagrams.
- In addition to generated database diagrams we need some **data dictionary** for:
 - a) avoided aliases/synonyms in project documentation, code and UI (customer, ~~client~~, ~~contact~~, ~~lead~~)
 - b) agreeing on the units/limits etc. flightHeight: ft? m? km?
 - c) general understanding of some complicated business case concept.
- Many databases offer the **COMMENT ON** feature of the SQL standard. Comments on tables and columns.
- Then we could avoid having separate database documents? All generated from scripts?

Program code comments

- First rule: Avoid need for code comments. Instead try to make your code clear with naming conventions and folder structure
 - Folder **structure**
 - **Naming**: Folders, files, classes, modules, functions, variables, attributes of objects
- Then, if still needed, explain the confusing, irregular/unconventional/ or complicated parts only
- Less is more. Quality over quantity. Think from reader's point of view and starting point, not yours.
- Try to understand thing incorrectly, if possible, improve.
- Sometimes writing longer code helps, optimize reading speed, never the writing speed.
- E.g. changing from the **a ? b : c** ternary operator to **if-else** might help the readability of the code and e.g. allow using explanatory variable names and comments next to lines

API documentation

- Libraries exist for generating API documentation based on the API (the interface)
- We just need to add possible commentation as some kind of annotation or javadoc-kind of comments
 - (Javadoc: Write comments on certain style and they go to the javadoc tool generated HTML etc. Documentation)
 - Microsoft had similar thing called "XML comments"
 - Someting like `/** */` instead of `/* */` and with parameter annotation comments
- Thus, maybe use a library instead of non-updating Word document.

Didn't we agree on this presentation mostly that we can almost totally remove non-generated, non-code or script linked documentation?