Relating orbital and parameter equations

Mads Greisen Højlund[1, a)]

Department of Chemistry, Aarhus University, Langelandsgade 140, 8000 Aarhus C,

Denmark

(Dated: November 7, 2023)

----

a)Electronic mail: madsgh@chem.au.dk

CONTENTS

I. NOTATION

The Hamiltonian is written using physicist's notation for the integrals (which are not anti-symmetrized):

$$H = \sum_{pq} h_{pq} c_p^\dagger \tilde{c}_q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs} u_{pqrs} c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r \tag{1}$$

$$h_{pq} = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_p | h | \varphi_q \rangle \equiv \int \tilde{\varphi}_p(\mathbf{x}) h(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_q(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \tag{2}$$

$$u_{pqrs} = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_p \tilde{\varphi}_q | u | \varphi_r \varphi_s \rangle \equiv \iint \tilde{\varphi}_p(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\varphi}_q(\mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_r(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_s(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{y} \tag{3}$$

Density matrices are defined as

$$\rho_{qp} = \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_p^\dagger \tilde{c}_q | \Psi \rangle, \tag{4}$$

$$\rho_{rspq} = \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r | \Psi \rangle. \tag{5}$$

The two-electron integrals and densities have the following useful permutation symmetries:

$$u_{pqrs} = u_{qpsr}, \tag{6}$$

$$\rho_{rspq} = \rho_{srqp}. \tag{7}$$

In the following, we use o, p, q, r, s to index active orbitals. In coupled cluster theory, the active orbitals are additionally divided into reference/occupied orbitals $(i, j, \ldots)$ and virtual orbitals $(a, b, \ldots)$.

II. CONVERTING ORBITAL EQUATIONS TO PARAMETER EQUATIONS

The orbital equations are formally identical for all orbital-adaptive methods. Following Kvaal[1] and Sato[2], they take the appearance

$$i \, |\dot{\varphi}_p\rangle = i \sum_q |\varphi_q\rangle \, \eta_{qp} + Q \left[h \, |\varphi_p\rangle + \sum_{oqrs} W_{rs} \, |\varphi_q\rangle \, P_{qsor} \, (\mathbf{D}^{-1})_{op} \right], \tag{8}$$

$$i \, \langle \dot{\tilde{\varphi}}_p | = -i \sum_q \eta_{pq} \, \langle \tilde{\varphi}_q | - \left[\langle \tilde{\varphi}_p | \, h + \sum_{oqrs} (\mathbf{D}^{-1})_{po} \, P_{osqr} \, \langle \tilde{\varphi}_q | \, W_{rs} \right] Q. \tag{9}$$

The mean-field operator[3,4] W_{rs} is a multiplicative/local operator given by

$$W_{rs}(\mathbf{x}) = \int \tilde{\varphi}_r(\mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_s(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y}. \tag{10}$$

Kvaal[1] uses a slightly different notation, but the meaning should be the same. The secondary-space projector is given by

$$Q = 1 - \sum_p |\varphi_p\rangle\langle\tilde{\varphi}_p|. \tag{11}$$

The definitions of the densities depend on whether biorthogonal[1] or orthogonal[4] orbitals are used. In the biorthogonal case, the plain one- and two-electron densities are used:

$$D_{qp} = \rho_{qp}, \tag{12}$$

$$P_{rspq} = \rho_{rspq}. \tag{13}$$

In the orthogonal case, the densities are Hermitianized according to

$$D_{qp} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\rho_{qp} + \rho_{pq}^*), \tag{14}$$

$$P_{rspq} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\rho_{rspq} + \rho_{pqrs}^*). \tag{15}$$

Having an orthogonal basis of course means $\langle\tilde{\varphi}_p| = \langle\varphi_p|$, which implies $Q = Q^\dagger$ and $W_{rs}^* = W_{sr}$. Using these relations and the Hermitianized densities one easily confirms that Eqs. (8) and (9) are simply each other's adjoint, when the orbitals are orthogonal.

We now introduce an underlying/primitive basis that is indexed by greek subscripts and assumed to be biorthonormal. The time-dependent, active orbitals are given in terms of this basis as

$$|\varphi_p\rangle = \sum_\alpha |\chi_\alpha\rangle \, C_{\alpha p}, \tag{16}$$

$$\langle\tilde{\varphi}_p| = \sum_\alpha \tilde{C}_{p\alpha} \, \langle\tilde{\chi}_\alpha|, \tag{17}$$

with time-dependent coefficients. Using N and $N_A \leq N$ to denote the number of primitive and active basis functions, respectively, we have that $\mathbf{C}$ is $N \times N_A$ (tall) and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ is $N_A \times N$ (wide). The primitive basis induces the following identity in the one-particle space:

$$1 = \sum_\beta |\chi_\beta\rangle\langle\tilde{\chi}_\beta| \tag{18}$$

Inserting this identity into Eq. (8) and projecting onto $\langle \tilde{\chi}_\alpha |$ yields

$$i \langle \tilde{\chi}_\alpha | \dot{\varphi}_p \rangle = i \sum_q \langle \tilde{\chi}_\alpha | \varphi_q \rangle \, \eta_{qp} + \sum_\beta \langle \tilde{\chi}_\alpha | Q | \chi_\beta \rangle \Big[\langle \tilde{\chi}_\beta | h | \varphi_p \rangle + \sum_{oqrs} \langle \tilde{\chi}_\beta | W_{rs} | \varphi_q \rangle P_{qsor} \, (\mathbf{D}^{-1})_{op} \Big].$$

(19)

Introducing matrix notation, this reads

$$i \dot{\mathbf{C}} = i \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\eta} + \mathbf{Q} \big(\check{\mathbf{H}} + \check{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{D}^{-1} \big)$$
$$= i \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\eta} + \mathbf{Q} \big(\check{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{D} + \check{\mathbf{F}} \big) \mathbf{D}^{-1}.$$

(20)

The secondary-space projector has matrix elements

$$Q_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \tilde{\chi}_\alpha | Q | \chi_\beta \rangle$$
$$= \langle \tilde{\chi}_\alpha | (1 - P) | \chi_\beta \rangle$$
$$= \langle \tilde{\chi}_\alpha | \chi_\beta \rangle - \sum_p \langle \tilde{\chi}_\alpha | \varphi_p \rangle \langle \tilde{\varphi}_p | \chi_\beta \rangle$$

(21)

or simply

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{C} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}.$$

(22)

The matrices $\check{\mathbf{H}}$ and $\check{\mathbf{F}}$ contain half-transformed one-electron integrals,

$$\check{H}_{\beta p} = \langle \tilde{\chi}_\beta | h | \varphi_p \rangle = h_{\beta p},$$

(23)

and half-transformed mean-field elements,

$$\check{F}_{\beta o} = \sum_{qrs} \langle \tilde{\chi}_\beta | W_{rs} | \varphi_q \rangle P_{qsor}$$
$$= \sum_{qrs} \int \tilde{\chi}_\beta(\mathbf{x}) \left[\int \tilde{\varphi}_r(\mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_s(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \right] \varphi_q(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, P_{qsor}$$
$$= \sum_{qrs} \iint \tilde{\chi}_\beta(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\varphi}_r(\mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_q(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_s(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \, P_{qsor}$$
$$= \sum_{qrs} u_{\beta rqs} P_{qsor}.$$

(24)

Using similar steps, Eq. (9) leads to

$$i \dot{\tilde{\mathbf{C}}} = -i \boldsymbol{\eta} \tilde{\mathbf{C}} - \big(\check{\mathbf{H}}' + \mathbf{D}^{-1} \check{\mathbf{F}}' \big) \mathbf{Q}$$
$$= -i \boldsymbol{\eta} \tilde{\mathbf{C}} - \mathbf{D}^{-1} \big(\mathbf{D} \check{\mathbf{H}}' + \check{\mathbf{F}}' \big) \mathbf{Q}$$

(25)

5

with

$$\check{H}'_{p\beta} = \langle\tilde{\varphi}_p|h|\chi_\beta\rangle = h_{p\beta}, \tag{26}$$

$$\check{F}'_{o\beta} = \sum_{qrs} P_{osqr}u_{qr\beta s}. \tag{27}$$

When the active basis is orthogonal ($\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}^\dagger$), we gain a lot of additional structure, at least when the primitive basis is also taken to be orthogonal:

$$\mathbf{Q}^\dagger = \mathbf{Q}, \quad \mathbf{D}^\dagger = \mathbf{D}, \quad (\mathbf{D}^{-1})^\dagger = \mathbf{D}^{-1} \tag{28}$$

$$\check{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger = \check{\mathbf{H}}', \quad \check{\mathbf{F}}^\dagger = \check{\mathbf{F}}', \quad \boldsymbol{\eta}^\dagger = -\boldsymbol{\eta} \tag{29}$$

All of these (except the last) are easy to show and imply that $\dot{\mathbf{C}}^\dagger = \dot{\tilde{\mathbf{C}}}$ as expected; see Eqs. (20) and (25).

III. RELATION TO OTHER WORK

A. Biorthogonal case

In Refs. 5 and 6, the parameter equations were derived (for the biorthogonal case) by assuming the expansions in Eqs. (16) and (17) from the outset. Those derivations also apply to the electronic structure problem (this is an explicit point in Ref. 6), so we should check that they agree with Eqs. (20) and (25). Ignoring notational differences, we need to check that

$$\left[\check{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{D} + \check{\mathbf{F}}\right]_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{p}} = \langle\tilde{\Psi}|c_{\bar{p}}^\dagger[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, H]|\Psi\rangle, \tag{30}$$

$$\left[\mathbf{D}\check{\mathbf{H}}' + \check{\mathbf{F}}'\right]_{\bar{p}\bar{\alpha}} = \langle\tilde{\Psi}|[H, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger]\tilde{c}_{\bar{p}}|\Psi\rangle. \tag{31}$$

Here, the operators $a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger$ and $\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}$ create and annihilate the primitive basis. We note that

$$\{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^\dagger\} = C_{\bar{\alpha}p}, \tag{32}$$

$$\{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{c}_p\} = 0, \tag{33}$$

$$\{\tilde{c}_p, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger\} = \tilde{C}_{p\bar{\alpha}}, \tag{34}$$

$$\{c_p^\dagger, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger\} = 0, \tag{35}$$

which implies the following commutators:

$$[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^\dagger \tilde{c}_q] = \{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^\dagger\}\tilde{c}_q - c_p^\dagger\{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{c}_q\}$$

$$= C_{\bar{\alpha}p}\tilde{c}_q \qquad (36)$$

$$[c_p^\dagger \tilde{c}_q, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger] = -\{c_p^\dagger, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger\}\tilde{c}_q + c_p^\dagger\{\tilde{c}_q, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger\}$$

$$= c_p^\dagger \tilde{C}_{q\bar{\alpha}} \qquad (37)$$

$$[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r] = \{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^\dagger\}c_q^\dagger\tilde{c}_s\tilde{c}_r - c_p^\dagger\{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_q^\dagger\}\tilde{c}_s\tilde{c}_r + c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger\{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{c}_s\}\tilde{c}_r - c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger\tilde{c}_s\{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{c}_r\}$$

$$= C_{\bar{\alpha}p}c_q^\dagger\tilde{c}_s\tilde{c}_r - C_{\bar{\alpha}q}c_p^\dagger\tilde{c}_s\tilde{c}_r \qquad (38)$$

$$[c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger] = -\{c_p^\dagger, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger\}c_q^\dagger\tilde{c}_s\tilde{c}_r + c_p^\dagger\{c_q^\dagger, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger\}\tilde{c}_s\tilde{c}_r - c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger\{\tilde{c}_s, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger\}\tilde{c}_r + c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger\tilde{c}_s\{\tilde{c}_r, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^\dagger\}$$

$$= -c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger\tilde{c}_r\tilde{C}_{s\bar{\alpha}} + c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger\tilde{c}_s\tilde{C}_{r\bar{\alpha}} \qquad (39)$$

The right-hand side of Eq. (30) now becomes

$$\langle\tilde{\Psi}|c_{\bar{p}}^\dagger[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, H]|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{pq} h_{pq}\langle\tilde{\Psi}|c_{\bar{p}}^\dagger[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^\dagger\tilde{c}_q]|\Psi\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{pqrs} u_{pqrs}\langle\tilde{\Psi}|c_{\bar{p}}^\dagger[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^\dagger c_q^\dagger\tilde{c}_s\tilde{c}_r]|\Psi\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{pq} C_{\bar{\alpha}p}h_{pq}\langle\tilde{\Psi}|c_{\bar{p}}^\dagger\tilde{c}_q|\Psi\rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{pqrs}\left(C_{\bar{\alpha}p}u_{pqrs}\langle\tilde{\Psi}|c_{\bar{p}}^\dagger c_q^\dagger\tilde{c}_s\tilde{c}_r|\Psi\rangle - C_{\bar{\alpha}q}u_{pqrs}\langle\tilde{\Psi}|c_{\bar{p}}^\dagger c_p^\dagger\tilde{c}_s\tilde{c}_r|\Psi\rangle\right)$$

$$= \sum_{pq} C_{\bar{\alpha}p}h_{pq}\rho_{q\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{pqrs}(C_{\bar{\alpha}p}u_{pqrs}\rho_{rs\bar{p}q} - C_{\bar{\alpha}q}u_{pqrs}\rho_{rs\bar{p}p}) \qquad (40)$$

The last term can be simplified by renaming summation indices ($p \leftrightarrow q$ and $r \leftrightarrow s$) followed by the identities $u_{qpsr} = u_{pqrs}$ and $\rho_{sr\bar{p}q} = -\rho_{rs\bar{p}q}$:

$$\sum_{pqrs} C_{\bar{\alpha}q}u_{pqrs}\rho_{rs\bar{p}p} = \sum_{pqrs} C_{\bar{\alpha}p}u_{qpsr}\rho_{sr\bar{p}q} = -\sum_{pqrs} C_{\bar{\alpha}p}u_{pqrs}\rho_{rs\bar{p}q}. \qquad (41)$$

Combining this with Eq. (40) now yields

$$\langle\tilde{\Psi}|c_{\bar{p}}^\dagger[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, H]|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{pq} C_{\bar{\alpha}p}h_{pq}\rho_{q\bar{p}} + \sum_{pqrs} C_{\bar{\alpha}p}u_{pqrs}\rho_{rs\bar{p}q}$$

$$= \sum_q h_{\bar{\alpha}q}\rho_{q\bar{p}} + \sum_{qrs} u_{\bar{\alpha}qrs}\rho_{rs\bar{p}q} \qquad (42)$$

7

which agrees with Eqs. (24) and (30). In the last step we have used

$$\sum_p C_{\bar{\alpha}p} h_{pq} = \sum_{p\beta} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} \tilde{C}_{p\beta} h_{\beta q} = h_{\bar{\alpha}q}. \tag{43}$$

This holds if

$$\sum_{p\beta} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} \tilde{C}_{p\beta} = \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}, \tag{44}$$

which is *not* true if the p summation runs over active (occupied and virtual) orbitals. However, since the primitive basis is finite, we are free to temporarily introduce the secondary basis (i.e. the complement of the active basis) explicitly. This means that $\mathbf{C}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ become square (rather than rectangular) matrices:

$$\mathbf{C} = \left[\ \mathbf{C}_A\ \right] \rightarrow \left[\ \mathbf{C}_A\ \middle|\ \mathbf{C}_S\ \right] \tag{45}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \left[\ \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_A\ \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_A \\ \hline \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_S \end{array}\right] \tag{46}$$

Since the full matrices are square we get that biorthogonality ($\tilde{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{1}$) implies $\mathbf{C}\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{1}$, which is exactly Eq. (44). We will never actually construct the secondary basis; we only need its *existence* to complete the proof. A similar derivation shows that

$$\langle\tilde{\Psi}|[H, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}]\tilde{c}_{\bar{p}}|\Psi\rangle = \sum_p \rho_{\bar{p}p} h_{p\bar{\alpha}} + \sum_{pqs} \rho_{\bar{p}spq} u_{pq\bar{\alpha}s}, \tag{47}$$

which agrees with Eqs. (27) and (31).

B.   Orthogonal case

In the orthogonal case, one can also derive the parameter equations by assuming the expansion in a primitive basis from the outset. These equations are not yet published, but the thing we need to check is that

$$\left[\check{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{D} + \check{\mathbf{F}}\right]_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\langle\tilde{\Psi}|c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger}[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, H]|\Psi\rangle + \langle\tilde{\Psi}|[H, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}]\tilde{c}_{\bar{p}}|\Psi\rangle^*\right). \tag{48}$$

We can reuse Eqs. (42) and (47) for the commutators as well as the permutation symmetries

$$h_{p\bar{\alpha}}^* = h_{\bar{\alpha}p}, \tag{49}$$

$$u_{pq\bar{\alpha}s}^* = u_{\bar{\alpha}spq}, \tag{50}$$

which hold when the active and primitive bases are both orthogonal. After some renaming of summation indices, one indeed finds that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\langle\tilde{\Psi}|c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger}[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}},H]|\Psi\rangle+\langle\tilde{\Psi}|[H,a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}]\tilde{c}_{\bar{p}}|\Psi\rangle^{*}\right)=\sum_{q}h_{\bar{\alpha}q}D_{q\bar{p}}+\sum_{qrs}u_{\bar{\alpha}qrs}P_{rs\bar{p}q}, \tag{51}$$

in agreement with Eqs. (24) and (48).

IV. DETAILED DERIVATION OF ORBITAL EQUATIONS

A. Biorthogonal case

This derivation follows the appendix of Ref. 1 quite closely, but explains the steps in more detail. We consider a complex action functional,

$$\mathcal{S}=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\mathcal{L}\,\mathrm{d}t\,,\quad\mathcal{L}=\langle\tilde{\Psi}|(i\partial_{t}-H)|\Psi\rangle, \tag{52}$$

which we will make stationary ($\delta\mathcal{S}=0$) with respect to independent and arbitrary variations that vanish at the end points.

We will consider bra and ket states that depend on a set of correlation parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ as well as the active bra and ket orbitals, $\{\tilde{\varphi}_{p}\}$ and $\{\varphi_{p}\}$. The orbitals stand in a one-to-one relationship with the corresponding annihilators and creators, $\{\tilde{c}_{p}\}$ and $\{c_{p}^{\dagger}\}$, and we will use the 'first-quantized' orbitals and the 'second-quantized' elementary operators more or less interchangeably, depending on context and convenience. For the traditional coupled cluster ansatz, the correlation parameters are of course the amplitudes $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\{\lambda_{\mu},t_{\mu}\}$, but we could consider other options such extended coupled cluster or bivariational CI-expansions.

In order to compute the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$, we need to consider the action of the time-derivative on the ket. It is useful to start by considering a single Slater determinant (in the spin-orbital basis):

$$|\mu\rangle=\prod_{k=1}^{N}c_{p^{k}}^{\dagger}\,|\mathrm{vac}\rangle. \tag{53}$$

Here, N denotes the number of electrons. We can think of μ as a set of integers that specifies the occupied spin orbitals, i.e.

$$\mu=(p^{1},p^{2},\ldots,p^{N}). \tag{54}$$

This is not an occupation number vector in the sense of Helgaker et al.,[7] but it contains the same information. The integers p^k are distinct, since we are working in the spin-orbital basis. The time-derivative of $|\mu\rangle$ is evaluated using the product rule:

$$|\dot{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{k<l} c_{p^k}^\dagger \right) \dot{c}_{p^l}^\dagger \left(\prod_{k>l} c_{p^k}^\dagger \right) |\text{vac}\rangle. \tag{55}$$

Now, $\{c_p^\dagger, \dot{c}_q^\dagger\} = 0$, so we can move $\dot{c}_{p^l}^\dagger$ all the way to the left in each term by changing the sign $l-1$ times, i.e.

$$|\dot{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{N} (-1)^{l-1} \dot{c}_{p^l}^\dagger \left(\prod_{k \neq l} c_{p^k}^\dagger \right) |\text{vac}\rangle. \tag{56}$$

Next, we insert

$$1 = \{\tilde{c}_{p^l}, c_{p^l}^\dagger\} = \tilde{c}_{p^l} c_{p^l}^\dagger + c_{p^l}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{p^l} \tag{57}$$

to the right of $\dot{c}_{p^l}^\dagger$ and note that $\{\tilde{c}_{p^l}, c_{p^k}^\dagger\} = 0$ for $l \neq k$. We can thus move $\tilde{c}_{p^l}$ in the second term of Eq. (57) all the way to right (under suitable sign changes), where it annihilates the vacuum. Hence, only the first term survives:

$$|\dot{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{N} (-1)^{l-1} \dot{c}_{p^l}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{p^l} c_{p^l}^\dagger \left(\prod_{k \neq l} c_{p^k}^\dagger \right) |\text{vac}\rangle. \tag{58}$$

Finally, $c_{p^l}^\dagger$ is moved to the lth slot in the product by changing the sign $l-1$ times. The two factors of $(-1)^{l-1}$ cancel, leaving us with

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\dot{\mu}\rangle &= \sum_{l=1}^{N} \dot{c}_{p^l}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{p^l} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N} c_{p^k}^\dagger \right) |\text{vac}\rangle \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{N} \dot{c}_{p^l}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{p^l} |\mu\rangle \\
&= \sum_{p} \dot{c}_p^\dagger \tilde{c}_p |\mu\rangle.
\end{aligned}
\tag{59}
$$

In the last step we have added terms for each of the orbitals that are not present in $|\mu\rangle$. These terms vanish, since the annihilator $\tilde{c}_p$ can be moved all the way to right for $p \notin \mu$. We now have an expression that does not refer to the specific orbitals in $|\mu\rangle$, so it must hold for all $|\mu\rangle$. We thus write

$$|\dot{\mu}\rangle = D |\mu\rangle, \quad D = \sum_p \dot{c}_p^\dagger \tilde{c}_p \tag{60}$$

with the sum running over all active orbitals. For a bra Slater determinant, the same kind of proof yields

$$\langle \dot{\tilde{\mu}}| = \langle \tilde{\mu}| \sum_p c_p^\dagger \dot{\tilde{c}}_p. \tag{61}$$

We will also need the variation of bra and ket determinants, but since the (first-order) variation satisfies the product rule (Leibniz rule) just like the time derivative, the proofs are identical (the time derivative is, in fact, an allowed variation). The result is simply

$$|\delta\mu\rangle = \sum_p (\delta c_p^\dagger)\tilde{c}_p |\mu\rangle \tag{62}$$

$$\langle \delta\tilde{\mu}| = \langle \tilde{\mu}| \sum_p c_p^\dagger (\delta\tilde{c}_p). \tag{63}$$

It is useful at this point to consider what the allowed orbital variations look like. The variations should be arbitrary under the constraint that they conserve biorthogonality, i.e. the overlap $\langle \tilde{\varphi}_p|\varphi_q\rangle = \delta_{pq}$ should be conserved:

$$0 = \delta \langle \tilde{\varphi}_p|\varphi_q\rangle = \langle \delta\tilde{\varphi}_p|\varphi_q\rangle + \langle \tilde{\varphi}_p|\delta\varphi_q\rangle. \tag{64}$$

This is trivially satisfied if

$$\langle \tilde{\varphi}_p|\delta\varphi_q\rangle = +\epsilon_{pq} \tag{65a}$$

$$\langle \delta\tilde{\varphi}_p|\varphi_q\rangle = -\epsilon_{pq}, \tag{65b}$$

where ϵ_{pq} is an arbitrary scalar. Equations (65) show very clearly how the variations of the bra and ket orbitals are linked by the biorthogonality constraints. This link can be utilized by introducing the projector onto the active single-particle space,

$$P = \sum_p |\varphi_p\rangle\langle \tilde{\varphi}_p|, \tag{66}$$

and the projector onto the complement of the active space (the secondary space),

$$Q = 1 - P. \tag{67}$$

We note that the secondary space need not, formally speaking, be finite dimensional. The precise nature of the secondary space is in some sense defined by the identity operator in

11

Eq. (67). Specifying what is meant by this operator uniquely specifies the secondary space; see e.g. Eq. (22). By definition, we have

$$1 = P + Q \tag{68}$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\delta\varphi_q\rangle &= (P + Q)\,|\delta\varphi_q\rangle \\
&= \sum_p |\varphi_p\rangle\langle\tilde{\varphi}_p|\delta\varphi_q\rangle + Q\,|\delta\varphi_q\rangle \\
&\equiv \sum_p |\varphi_p\rangle\,\epsilon_{pq} + |\theta_q\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{69}
$$

For the bra orbitals we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\delta\tilde{\varphi}_p| &= \langle\delta\tilde{\varphi}_p|\,(P + Q) \\
&= \sum_q \langle\delta\tilde{\varphi}_p|\varphi_q\rangle\langle\tilde{\varphi}_q| + \langle\delta\tilde{\varphi}_p|\,Q \\
&\equiv \sum_q (-\epsilon_{pq})\,\langle\tilde{\varphi}_q| + \langle\tilde{\theta}_p|\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{70}
$$

We have now parameterized the allowed orbital variations in terms of the (arbitrary) scalars ϵ_{pq} and the functions $|\theta_q\rangle$ and $\langle\tilde{\theta}_p|$, which satisfy $|\theta_q\rangle = Q\,|\theta_q\rangle$ and $\langle\tilde{\theta}_p| = \langle\tilde{\theta}_p|\,Q$, but are otherwise arbitrary. While the P-space variations are linked by the ϵ_{pq}, we stress that the Q-space variations are completely independent.

V. FURTHER IDEAS

- Ground state in exponential parameterization?

- Detailed derivation of mean-field operators for vibrational case.

REFERENCES

[1]S. Kvaal, J. Chem. Phys. **136**, 194109 (2012).

[2]T. Sato, H. Pathak, Y. Orimo, and K. L. Ishikawa, J. Chem. Phys. **148**, 051101 (2018).

[3]H. Miyagi and L. B. Madsen, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 062511 (2013).

[4]T. Sato and K. L. Ishikawa, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 023402 (2013).

[5]N. K. Madsen, M. B. Hansen, O. Christiansen, and A. Zoccante, J. Chem. Phys. **153**, 174108 (2020).

[6]M. G. Højlund, A. B. Jensen, A. Zoccante, and O. Christiansen, J. Chem. Phys. **157**, 234104 (2022).

[7]T. Helgaker, P. Jørgensen, and J. Olsen, *Molecular Electronic-Structure Theory* (Wiley, Chichester ; New York, 2000).