Relating orbital and parameter equations

Mads Greisen Højlund^{1, a)}

Department of Chemistry, Aarhus University, Langelandsgade 140, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

(Dated: November 3, 2023)

 $^{^{\}rm a)}$ Electronic mail: ${
m madsgh@chem.au.dk}$

CONTENTS

I. Notation	3
II. Converting orbital equations to parameter equations	3
III. Relation to other work	6
A. Biorthogonal case	6
B. Orthogonal case	8
IV. Detailed derivation of orbital equations	9
A. Biorthogonal case	9
References	19

I. NOTATION

The Hamiltonian is written using physicist's notation for the integrals (which are not anti-symmetrized):

$$H = \sum_{pq} h_{pq} c_p^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs} u_{pqrs} c_p^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r \tag{1}$$

$$h_{pq} = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_p | h | \varphi_q \rangle \equiv \int \tilde{\varphi}_p(\mathbf{x}) h(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_q(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$
 (2)

$$u_{pqrs} = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_p \tilde{\varphi}_q | u | \varphi_r \varphi_s \rangle \equiv \iint \tilde{\varphi}_p(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\varphi}_q(\mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_r(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_s(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{y}$$
(3)

Density matrices are defined as

$$\rho_{qp} = \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_p^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_q | \Psi \rangle, \tag{4}$$

$$\rho_{rspq} = \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_n^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r | \Psi \rangle. \tag{5}$$

The two-electron integrals and densities have the following useful permutation symmetries:

$$u_{pqrs} = u_{qpsr}, (6)$$

$$\rho_{rspq} = \rho_{srqp}. (7)$$

In the following, we use o, p, q, r, s to index active orbitals. In coupled cluster theory, the active orbitals are additionally divided into reference/occupied orbitals (i, j, ...) and virtual orbitals (a, b, ...).

II. CONVERTING ORBITAL EQUATIONS TO PARAMETER EQUATIONS

The orbital equations are formally identical for all orbital-adaptive methods. Following Kvaal¹ and Sato², they take the appearance

$$i |\dot{\varphi}_{p}\rangle = i \sum_{q} |\varphi_{q}\rangle \eta_{qp} + Q \left[h |\varphi_{p}\rangle + \sum_{oqrs} W_{rs} |\varphi_{q}\rangle P_{qsor} (\mathbf{D}^{-1})_{op} \right],$$
 (8)

$$i \left\langle \dot{\tilde{\varphi}}_{p} \right| = -i \sum_{q} \eta_{pq} \left\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{q} \right| - \left[\left\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{p} \right| h + \sum_{oars} (\mathbf{D}^{-1})_{po} P_{osqr} \left\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{q} \right| W_{rs} \right] Q. \tag{9}$$

The mean-field operator 3,4 W_{rs} is a multiplicative/local operator given by

$$W_{rs}(\mathbf{x}) = \int \tilde{\varphi}_r(\mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_s(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y}.$$
 (10)

Kvaal¹ uses a slightly different notation, but the meaning should be the same. The secondary-space projector is given by

$$Q = 1 - \sum_{p} |\varphi_{p}\rangle\langle\tilde{\varphi}_{p}|. \tag{11}$$

The definitions of the densities depend on whether biorthogonal¹ or orthogonal⁴ orbitals are used. In the biorthogonal case, the plain one- and two-electron densities are used:

$$D_{qp} = \rho_{qp}, \tag{12}$$

$$P_{rspq} = \rho_{rspq}. (13)$$

In the orthogonal case, the densities are Hermitianized according to

$$D_{qp} = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_{qp} + \rho_{pq}^*), \tag{14}$$

$$P_{rspq} = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_{rspq} + \rho_{pqrs}^*). \tag{15}$$

Having an orthogonal basis of course means $\langle \tilde{\varphi}_p | = \langle \varphi_p |$, which implies $Q = Q^{\dagger}$ and $W_{rs}^* = W_{sr}$. Using these relations and the Hermitianized densities one easily confirms that Eqs. (8) and (9) are simply each other's adjoint, when the orbitals are orthogonal.

We now introduce an underlying/primitive basis that is indexed by greek subscripts and assumed to be biorthonormal. The time-dependent, active orbitals are given in terms of this basis as

$$|\varphi_p\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} |\chi_{\alpha}\rangle C_{\alpha p},$$
 (16)

$$\langle \tilde{\varphi}_p | = \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{C}_{p\alpha} \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha} |, \qquad (17)$$

with time-dependent coefficients. Using N and $N_A \leq N$ to denote the number of primitive and active basis functions, respectively, we have that \mathbf{C} is $N \times N_A$ (tall) and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ is $N_A \times N$ (wide). The primitive basis induces the following identity in the one-particle space:

$$1 = \sum_{\beta} |\chi_{\beta}\rangle\langle\tilde{\chi}_{\beta}| \tag{18}$$

Inserting this identity into Eq. (8) and projecting onto $\langle \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha} |$ yields

$$i \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha} | \dot{\varphi}_{p} \rangle = i \sum_{q} \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha} | \varphi_{q} \rangle \, \eta_{qp} + \sum_{\beta} \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha} | Q | \chi_{\beta} \rangle \Big[\langle \tilde{\chi}_{\beta} | h | \varphi_{p} \rangle + \sum_{oqrs} \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\beta} | W_{rs} | \varphi_{q} \rangle P_{qsor} \left(\mathbf{D}^{-1} \right)_{op} \Big].$$

$$(19)$$

Introducing matrix notation, this reads

$$i\dot{\mathbf{C}} = i\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\eta} + \mathbf{Q}(\check{\mathbf{H}} + \check{\mathbf{F}}\mathbf{D}^{-1})$$
$$= i\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\eta} + \mathbf{Q}(\check{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{D} + \check{\mathbf{F}})\mathbf{D}^{-1}. \tag{20}$$

The secondary-space projector has matrix elements

$$Q_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha} | Q | \chi_{\beta} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha} | (1 - P) | \chi_{\beta} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha} | \chi_{\beta} \rangle - \sum_{p} \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha} | \varphi_{p} \rangle \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{p} | \chi_{\beta} \rangle$$
(21)

or simply

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{C\tilde{C}}.\tag{22}$$

The matrices $\check{\mathbf{H}}$ and $\check{\mathbf{F}}$ contain half-transformed one-electron integrals,

$$\check{H}_{\beta p} = \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\beta} | h | \varphi_p \rangle = h_{\beta p}, \tag{23}$$

and half-transformed mean-field elements,

$$\check{F}_{\beta o} = \sum_{qrs} \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\beta} | W_{rs} | \varphi_{q} \rangle P_{qsor}
= \sum_{qrs} \int \tilde{\chi}_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}) \left[\int \tilde{\varphi}_{r}(\mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_{s}(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y} \right] \varphi_{q}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, P_{qsor}
= \sum_{qrs} \iint \tilde{\chi}_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\varphi}_{r}(\mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi_{q}(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_{s}(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{y} \, P_{qsor}
= \sum_{qrs} u_{\beta rqs} P_{qsor}.$$
(24)

Using similar steps, Eq. (9) leads to

$$i\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{C}}} = -i\boldsymbol{\eta}\tilde{\mathbf{C}} - (\check{\mathbf{H}}' + \mathbf{D}^{-1}\check{\mathbf{F}}')\mathbf{Q}$$
$$= -i\boldsymbol{\eta}\tilde{\mathbf{C}} - \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{D}\check{\mathbf{H}}' + \check{\mathbf{F}}')\mathbf{Q}$$
(25)

with

$$\check{H}'_{p\beta} = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_p | h | \chi_\beta \rangle = h_{p\beta}, \tag{26}$$

$$\check{F}'_{o\beta} = \sum_{qrs} P_{osqr} u_{qr\beta s}.$$
 (27)

When the active basis is orthogonal ($\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}^{\dagger}$), we gain a lot of additional structure, at least when the primitive basis is also taken to be orthogonal:

$$\mathbf{Q}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{Q}, \quad \mathbf{D}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{D}, \quad (\mathbf{D}^{-1})^{\dagger} = \mathbf{D}^{-1} \tag{28}$$

$$\check{\mathbf{H}}^{\dagger} = \check{\mathbf{H}}', \quad \check{\mathbf{F}}^{\dagger} = \check{\mathbf{F}}', \quad \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\dagger} = -\boldsymbol{\eta}$$
 (29)

All of these (except the last) are easy to show and imply that $\dot{\mathbf{C}}^{\dagger} = \dot{\tilde{\mathbf{C}}}$ as expected; see Eqs. (20) and (25).

III. RELATION TO OTHER WORK

A. Biorthogonal case

In Refs. 5 and 6, the parameter equations were derived (for the biorthogonal case) by assuming the expansions in Eqs. (16) and (17) from the outset. Those derivations also apply to the electronic structure problem (this is an explicit point in Ref. 6), so we should check that they agree with Eqs. (20) and (25). Ignoring notational differences, we need to check that

$$\left[\check{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{D} + \check{\mathbf{F}}\right]_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{p}} = \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} [\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, H] | \Psi \rangle, \tag{30}$$

$$\left[\mathbf{D}\check{\mathbf{H}}' + \check{\mathbf{F}}'\right]_{\bar{p}\bar{\alpha}} = \langle \tilde{\Psi} | [H, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}] \tilde{c}_{\bar{p}} | \Psi \rangle. \tag{31}$$

Here, the operators $a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}$ and $\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}$ create and annihilate the primitive basis. We note that

$$\{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^{\dagger}\} = C_{\bar{\alpha}p},\tag{32}$$

$$\{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{c}_p\} = 0, \tag{33}$$

$$\{\tilde{c}_p, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\} = \tilde{C}_{p\bar{\alpha}},\tag{34}$$

$$\{c_n^{\dagger}, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\} = 0, \tag{35}$$

which implies the following commutators:

$$[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_q] = \{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^{\dagger}\} \tilde{c}_q - c_p^{\dagger} \{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{c}_q\}$$

$$= C_{\bar{\alpha}p} \tilde{c}_q$$
(36)

$$[c_p^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_q, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}] = -\{c_p^{\dagger}, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\} \tilde{c}_q + c_p^{\dagger} \{\tilde{c}_q, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\}$$

$$= c_p^{\dagger} \tilde{C}_{q\bar{\alpha}}$$

$$(37)$$

$$[\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r] = \{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_p^{\dagger}\} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r - c_p^{\dagger} \{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_q^{\dagger}\} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r + c_p^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} \{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{c}_s\} \tilde{c}_r - c_p^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \{\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \tilde{c}_r\}$$

$$= C_{\bar{\alpha}p} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r - C_{\bar{\alpha}q} c_p^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r$$

$$(38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [c_p^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}] &= -\{c_p^{\dagger}, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r + c_p^{\dagger} \{c_q^{\dagger}, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{c}_r - c_p^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} \{\tilde{c}_s, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\} \tilde{c}_r + c_p^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \{\tilde{c}_r, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\} \\ &= -c_p^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_r \tilde{C}_{s\bar{\alpha}} + c_p^{\dagger} c_q^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_s \tilde{C}_{r\bar{\alpha}} \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The right-hand side of Eq. (30) now becomes

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} [\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, H] | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{pq} h_{pq} \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} [\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_{p}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{q}] | \Psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs} u_{pqrs} \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} [\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, c_{p}^{\dagger} c_{q}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{s} \tilde{c}_{r}] | \Psi \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{pq} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} h_{pq} \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{q} | \Psi \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs} \left(C_{\bar{\alpha}p} u_{pqrs} \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} c_{q}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{s} \tilde{c}_{r} | \Psi \rangle - C_{\bar{\alpha}q} u_{pqrs} \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} c_{p}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{s} \tilde{c}_{r} | \Psi \rangle \right)$$

$$= \sum_{pq} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} h_{pq} \rho_{q\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs} \left(C_{\bar{\alpha}p} u_{pqrs} \rho_{rs\bar{p}q} - C_{\bar{\alpha}q} u_{pqrs} \rho_{rs\bar{p}p} \right) \tag{40}$$

The last term can be simplified by renaming summation indices $(p \leftrightarrow q \text{ and } r \leftrightarrow s)$ followed by the identities $u_{qpsr} = u_{pqrs}$ and $\rho_{sr\bar{p}q} = -\rho_{rs\bar{p}q}$:

$$\sum_{pqrs} C_{\bar{\alpha}q} u_{pqrs} \rho_{rs\bar{p}p} = \sum_{pqrs} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} u_{qpsr} \rho_{sr\bar{p}q} = -\sum_{pqrs} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} u_{pqrs} \rho_{rs\bar{p}q}. \tag{41}$$

Combining this with Eq. (40) now yields

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} [\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, H] | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{pq} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} h_{pq} \rho_{q\bar{p}} + \sum_{pqrs} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} u_{pqrs} \rho_{rs\bar{p}q}$$

$$= \sum_{q} h_{\bar{\alpha}q} \rho_{q\bar{p}} + \sum_{qrs} u_{\bar{\alpha}qrs} \rho_{rs\bar{p}q}$$

$$(42)$$

which agrees with Eqs. (24) and (30). In the last step we have used

$$\sum_{p} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} h_{pq} = \sum_{p\beta} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} \tilde{C}_{p\beta} h_{\beta q} = h_{\bar{\alpha}q}. \tag{43}$$

This holds if

$$\sum_{p\beta} C_{\bar{\alpha}p} \tilde{C}_{p\beta} = \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta},\tag{44}$$

which is *not* true if the p summation runs over active (occupied and virtual) orbitals. However, since the primitive basis is finite, we are free to temporarily introduce the secondary basis (i.e. the complement of the active basis) explicitly. This means that \mathbf{C} and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ become square (rather than rectangular) matrices:

$$\mathbf{C} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \end{array} \right] \to \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \end{array} \right] \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$$
 (45)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \left[\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{A}} \right] \to \left[\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{A}}}{\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{S}}} \right] \tag{46}$$

Since the full matrices are square we get that biorthogonality ($\tilde{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{1}$) implies $\mathbf{C}\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{1}$, which is exactly Eq. (44). We will never actually construct the secondary basis; we only need its *existence* to complete the proof. A similar derivation shows that

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi} | [H, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}] \tilde{c}_{\bar{p}} | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{p} \rho_{\bar{p}p} h_{p\bar{\alpha}} + \sum_{pqs} \rho_{\bar{p}spq} u_{pq\bar{\alpha}s}, \tag{47}$$

which agrees with Eqs. (27) and (31).

B. Orthogonal case

In the orthogonal case, one can also derive the parameter equations by assuming the expansion in a primitive basis from the outset. These equations are not yet published, but the thing we need to check is that

$$\left[\check{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{D} + \check{\mathbf{F}}\right]_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} [\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, H] | \Psi \rangle + \langle \tilde{\Psi} | [H, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}] \tilde{c}_{\bar{p}} | \Psi \rangle^{*} \right). \tag{48}$$

We can reuse Eqs. (42) and (47) for the commutators as well as the permutation symmetries

$$h_{p\bar{\alpha}}^* = h_{\bar{\alpha}p},\tag{49}$$

$$u_{pq\bar{\alpha}s}^* = u_{\bar{\alpha}spq},\tag{50}$$

which hold when the active and primitive bases are both orthogonal. After some renaming of summation indices, one indeed finds that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_{\bar{p}}^{\dagger} [\tilde{a}_{\bar{\alpha}}, H] | \Psi \rangle + \langle \tilde{\Psi} | [H, a_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\dagger}] \tilde{c}_{\bar{p}} | \Psi \rangle^* \right) = \sum_{q} h_{\bar{\alpha}q} D_{q\bar{p}} + \sum_{qrs} u_{\bar{\alpha}qrs} P_{rs\bar{p}q}, \tag{51}$$

in agreement with Eqs. (24) and (48).

IV. DETAILED DERIVATION OF ORBITAL EQUATIONS

A. Biorthogonal case

This derivation follows the appendix of Ref. 1 quite closely, but explains the steps in more detail. We consider a complex action functional,

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \mathcal{L} \, \mathrm{d}t \,, \quad \mathcal{L} = \langle \tilde{\Psi} | (i\partial_t - H) | \Psi \rangle, \tag{52}$$

which we will make stationary ($\delta S = 0$) with respect to independent and arbitrary variations that vanish at the end points. The fact that the variations are independent allows us to vary each variable separately (rather than varying everything at once).

We will consider bra and ket states that depend on a set of correlation parameters α as well as the active bra and ket orbitals, $\{\tilde{\varphi}_p\}$ and $\{\varphi_p\}$. For the traditional coupled cluster ansatz, the correlation parameters are of course the amplitudes $\alpha = \{\lambda_{\mu}, t_{\mu}\}$, but we could consider other options such extended coupled cluster or bivariational CI-expansions.

In order to compute the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} , we need to consider the action of the time-derivative on the ket. It is useful to start by considering a single Slater determinant (in the spin-orbital basis):

$$|\mu\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{N} c_{p^k}^{\dagger} |\text{vac}\rangle.$$
 (53)

Here, N denotes the number of electrons. The time-derivative of $|\mu\rangle$ is evaluated using the product rule:

$$|\dot{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{k< l} c_{p^k}^{\dagger}\right) \dot{c}_{p^l}^{\dagger} \left(\prod_{k>l} c_{p^k}^{\dagger}\right) |\text{vac}\rangle.$$
 (54)

Now, $\{c_p^{\dagger}, \dot{c}_q^{\dagger}\} = 0$, so we can move $\dot{c}_{p^l}^{\dagger}$ all the way to the left in each term by changing the sign l-1 times, i.e.

$$|\dot{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{N} (-1)^{l-1} \dot{c}_{p^l}^{\dagger} \left(\prod_{k \neq l} c_{p^k}^{\dagger} \right) |\text{vac}\rangle.$$
 (55)

Next, we insert

$$1 = \{\tilde{c}_{p^l}, c_{p^l}^{\dagger}\} = \tilde{c}_{p^l} c_{p^l}^{\dagger} + c_{p^l}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{p^l} \tag{56}$$

to the right of $\dot{c}_{p^l}^{\dagger}$ and note that $\{\tilde{c}_{p^l}, c_{p^k}^{\dagger}\} = 0$ for $l \neq k$. We can thus move \tilde{c}_{p^l} in the second term of Eq. (56) all the way to right (under suitable sign changes), where it annihilates the vacuum. Hence, only the first term survives:

$$|\dot{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{N} (-1)^{l-1} \dot{c}_{p^l}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{p^l} c_{p^l}^{\dagger} \left(\prod_{k \neq l} c_{p^k}^{\dagger} \right) |\text{vac}\rangle.$$
 (57)

Finally, c_{pl}^{\dagger} is to the *l*th slot in the product by changing the sign l-1 times. The two factors of $(-1)^{l-1}$ cancel, leaving us with

$$|\dot{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \dot{c}_{p^{l}}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{p^{l}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N} c_{p^{k}}^{\dagger} \right) |\text{vac}\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{N} \dot{c}_{p^{l}}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{p^{l}} |\mu\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{p} \dot{c}_{p}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{p} |\mu\rangle.$$
(58)

In the last step we have added terms for each of the orbitals that are not present in $|\mu\rangle$. These terms vanish, since the annihilator \tilde{c}_p can be moved all the way to right for $p \notin \mu$. We now have an expression that does not refer to the specific orbitals in $|\mu\rangle$, so it must hold for all $|\mu\rangle$. We thus write

$$|\dot{\mu}\rangle = D |\mu\rangle, \quad D = \sum_{p} \dot{c}_{p}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{p}$$
 (59)

with the sum running over all active orbitals. For a bra Slater determinant, the same kind of proof yields

$$\langle \hat{\mu} | = \langle \tilde{\mu} | \sum_{p} c_{p}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{p}. \tag{60}$$

We will also need the variation of bra and ket determinants, but since the (first-order) variation satisfies the product rule (Leibniz rule) just like the time derivative, the proofs are identical (the time derivative is, in fact, an allowed variation). The result is simply

$$|\dot{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{p} \dot{c}_{p}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{p} |\mu\rangle$$
 (61)

$$\langle \dot{\tilde{\mu}} | = \langle \tilde{\mu} | \sum_{p} c_{p}^{\dagger} \dot{\tilde{c}}_{p}.$$
 (62)

- Ground state in exponential parameterization?
- Detailed derivation (also vibrational case).

REFERENCES

- ¹S. Kvaal, J. Chem. Phys. **136**, 194109 (2012).
- ²T. Sato, H. Pathak, Y. Orimo, and K. L. Ishikawa, J. Chem. Phys. 148, 051101 (2018).
- ³H. Miyagi and L. B. Madsen, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 062511 (2013).
- $^4\mathrm{T}.$ Sato and K. L. Ishikawa, Phys. Rev. A $88,\,023402$ (2013).
- ⁵N. K. Madsen, M. B. Hansen, O. Christiansen, and A. Zoccante, J. Chem. Phys. **153**, 174108 (2020).
- ⁶M. G. Højlund, A. B. Jensen, A. Zoccante, and O. Christiansen, J. Chem. Phys. **157**, 234104 (2022).