## LV 11.4500 - UBUNG 6

U6.1 An rv X on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be uniquely described by its distribution  $\mathbb{P}_X$ , its cdf  $F_X$ , or, when it exists, its pdf  $\pi_X$ . The characteristic function  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\varphi(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(i\langle t, X\rangle)\right] \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i y_i$ , is yet another way to uniquely describe the rv X.

The characteristic function of a Gaussian rv  $X \sim N(m, C)$  is given by

$$\varphi(t) = \exp(i\langle t, m \rangle - \langle t, Ct \rangle/2).$$

- a) Show that if  $X \sim N(m_1, C_1)$  and  $Y \sim N(m_2, C_2)$  are independent rv on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , then Z = X + AY is also Gaussian. Determine the mean and covariance of Z.
  - b) Consider the following dynamics

$$V_{j+1} = AV_j + \xi_j$$
$$V_0 \sim N(m_0, C_0)$$

with  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , an iid sequence  $\xi_j \sim N(0, \Sigma)$  and  $V_0 \perp \{\xi_j\}$ . Show that for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $V_j$  is Gaussian, and determine its mean and covariance.

**Hint:** Argue by induction and use a) to verify Gaussianity.

c) Consider the scalar-valued special case of the above dynamics

$$V_{j+1} = \lambda V_j + \xi_j$$
$$V_0 \sim N(m_0, \sigma_0^2)$$

with an iid sequence  $\xi_j \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  that is independent from  $V_0$ , and a scalar-valued  $\lambda$  satisfying  $|\lambda| < 1$ .

Verify that

$$\mathbb{P}_{V_n} \Rightarrow N(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \lambda^2})$$

**Hint:** First verify that  $\{\mathbb{E}[V_j]\}_j$  and  $\{\mathbb{E}[V_j^2]\}_j$  are Cauchy sequences.

U6.2 In its original form (but still keeping our parameter lettering a,b,r rather than the original  $\sigma,\beta,\rho$ ) the Lorenz '63 model is given by

$$\dot{v}_1 = a(v_2 - v_1) 
\dot{v}_2 = rv_1 - v_2 - v_1v_3 \qquad t \ge 0, 
\dot{v}_3 = v_1v_2 - bv_3$$

with a, b, r > 0 and  $v(0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

a) Show that by a change of variables the system can be rewritten

(1) 
$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v}_1 &= a(v_2 - v_1) \\ \dot{v}_2 &= -av_1 - v_2 - v_1v_3 \\ \dot{v}_3 &= v_1v_2 - bv_3 - b(r+a) \end{aligned} \} =: f(v), \qquad t \ge 0,$$

with a, b, r as above, and  $v(0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

b) Determine  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  (as functions of a, b, r) such that

$$f(v)^T v < \alpha - \beta |v|^2$$
.

c) Assuming a solution v exists for all times  $t \ge 0$  with  $|v(0)|^2 \le \alpha/\beta$ , show that

$$|v(t)|^2 \le \alpha/\beta \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

d) Assuming that  $|v(0)|^2 \le \alpha/\beta$ , prove that there exists a locally unique solution up until the first time when  $|v(t)|^2 > \alpha/\beta$ . Conclude from c) that a unique solution exists for all times.

**Hint:** Apply the Picard-Lindelöf theorem.

Let  $D = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 | |v|^2 \le \alpha/\beta\}$ . Then the restriction of the above vector field  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^3$  is uniformly Lipschitz continuous on D.

e) As a very simplified numerical study of how partial observations may improve the stability of ODE, consider the Lorenz '63 dynamics (1) with (a,b,r)=(10,8/3,28) with either v(0)=(1,1,1) or perturbed initial data  $\tilde{v}(0)=(1,1,1+10^{-5})$ . To study the stability of the dynamics, we consider  $|v(20)-\tilde{v}(20)|$  solved by numerical integration in the following Matlab implementation:

```
options = odeset('RelTol',1e-12,'AbsTol',1e-10); a = 10; b = 8/3; r = 28; f = @(t,v) [a*(v(2)-v(1)); -a*v(1)-v(2)-v(1)*v(3); v(1)*v(2)-b*v(3)-b*(r+a)]; [t,v]=ode45(f,[0 20],[1 1 1], options); [t2,vTilde] = ode45(f,[0 20],[1 1 1+1e-5], options); finalTimeError = norm(v(end,:) - vTilde(end,:)) This yields |v(20) - \tilde{v}(20)| \approx 15.7.
```

As a comparison, try to update the value of the third component of the dynamics  $\tilde{v}$  at every integer time t = 1, 2, ..., 19 with an exact observation of  $v_3$ . That is, set v(0) = (1, 1, 1) and  $\tilde{v}(0) = (1, 1, 1 + 10^{-5})$  and for t = 0, 1, ..., 19:

- 1. compute  $v(t+1) = \Psi(v(t);1)$  and  $\tilde{v}(t+1) = \Psi(\tilde{v}(t);1)$
- 2. update/correct third component of the perturbed dynamics with exact observation,  $\tilde{v}_3(t+1) = v_3(t+1)$
- 3. set  $t \mapsto t+1$  and return to step 1.

Here,  $\Psi(\cdot;\tau)$  is defined as on page 13 of Lecture 13.

Implement this algorithm in your favorite computer language and compute the resulting final time error  $|v(20) - \tilde{v}(20)| = ?$ .

Rather than updating the value of the third component of  $\tilde{v}_3$ , try updating any of the other components and see whether that improves the stability to the same degree.

U6.3 Consider the  $V_0|Y_{1:J}=y_{1:J}$  smoothing problem on page 35 of Lecture 13 with  $|\lambda|=1$ . Verify that  $V_0|Y_{1:J}=y_{1:J}\sim N(m(j),\sigma_{post}^2(j))$  and show that

$$\sigma_{post}^2(j) \to 0$$
 as  $J \to \infty$ .

Interpret the result.

- U6.4 The derivation of smoothing densities treated in the lectures considers dynamics additive Gaussian noise:  $V_{j+1} = \Psi(V_j) + \xi_j$  with  $\xi \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ . This may be extended to more general Markov chain dynamics:
  - a) Assume that  $V_0 \sim \pi_{V_0}$  and that  $V_j$  is a time-homogeneous Markov chain described in terms of the transition kernel density  $k : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$ , and that we have observations

$$Y_i = V_i + \eta_i, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

with iid  $\eta_j \sim N(0,\Gamma)$  and  $\{\eta_j\} \perp \{V_j\}$ . Using the kernel density, derive, up to a constant, an expression for the smoothing posterior

$$\pi(v_{0:J}|y_{1:J}).$$

b) Consider d=1 and the kernel density  $k(x,y)=e^{-2|x-y|}$ , and that the observations are given by

$$Y_i = V_i + \eta_i, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

with iid  $\eta_j$  where  $\pi_{\eta}(x) = e^{-2|x|}$  and  $\{\eta_j\} \perp \{V_j\}$ . Derive up to a constant, an expression for the smoothing posterior

$$\pi(v_{0:J}|y_{1:J}).$$

and show that if  $\tilde{y}_{1:J}$  is a perturbed observation sequence of  $y_{1:J}$ , then there exists a c > 0 depending on  $y_{1:J}$  and  $\tilde{y}_{1:J}$  such that

$$d_H(\pi(\cdot|y_{1:J}), \pi(\cdot|\tilde{y}_{1:J})) \le c \sum_{j=1}^{J} |y_j - \tilde{y}_j|.$$

U6.5 Consider the dynamics

$$V_{j+1} = \sin(V_j)V_j + \xi_j$$
  $j = 0, 1, ...$   
 $V_0 \sim N(0, 1)$ 

with iid  $\xi_j \sim N(0, 1/4)$  and observations

$$Y_j = V_j + \eta_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

with iid  $\eta_j \sim N(0,0.04)$  and  $V_0 \perp \{\xi_j\} \perp \{\eta_j\}$ . For any J>0, we define the distance (functional) of a path  $v_{0:J} \in \mathbb{R}^{J+1}$  by

$$D(v_{0:J}) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{J-1} |v_{k+1} - v_k|^2}$$

Given the observation

 $y_{1:9} = (0.2781, 0.8839, 1.1496, 0.6607, 0.1846, -0.5131, 0.0733, 1.3827, 0.8426, 0.4538)$ 

the task of this exercise is to provide a numerical estimate of the average distance of a path  $V_{0:10}$  given  $Y_{1:10} = y_{1:10}$ . In other words, to approximate

$$\mathbb{E}\left[D(V_{0:10})|Y_{1:10}=y_{1:10}\right].$$

**Hint:** First derive the posterior  $\pi(v_{0:10}|y_{1:10})$  up to a constant. Then sample the posterior by e.g. Markov Chain Monte Carlo.