LV 11.4500 - UBUNG 4

U4.1 a)Let $X, Y \in L^2(\Omega)$ be scalar-valued rv. Show that if $X \perp Y$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[XY\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X\right]\mathbb{E}\left[Y\right]$$

- b) Let $(X,Y) \sim U(0,1)^2$. Verify that $X \perp Y$.
- c) Let $X \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ and $Y \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$ be scalar-valued rv. Show that if (X,Y) is multivariate normal and

$$Cov[X, Y] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)] = 0,$$

then $X \perp Y$. Hint: joint pdf.

- d) Let $X \sim U(-1,1)$ and $Y \sim U(-1,1)$ with $X \perp Y$ and Z = X + Y. Compute the pdf $\pi_Z(z)$.
- $\text{U4.2 Let } (X,Y) \sim N \Big(\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Big) \text{ with } \rho \in (-1,1). \text{ Compute } \pi_{X|Y}(x|y).$
- U4.3 Let X, Y and Z be rv defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and assume that $X \in L^2(\Omega)$. Show that
 - (i) $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[X|Y\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X\right]$,
 - (ii) $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{V}] = X$ a.s., for any sigma-algebra \mathcal{V} satisfying $\sigma(X) \subset \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{F}$,
 - (iii) if $\sigma(Z) \subset \sigma(Y) \subset \mathcal{F}$ then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\,\mathbb{E}\left[\,X|Y\right]|Z\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\,\mathbb{E}\left[\,X|Z\right]|Y\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\,X|Z\right] \quad \text{a.s.}.$$

- U4.4 a) Verify that the Hellinger distance is a metric.
 - b) Consider an Bayesian inverse problem $Y = G(U) + \eta$ with prior density with compact support: For

$$A = \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \pi_U(u) > 0 \},$$

it holds that $\max\{|u| \mid u \in A\} \le 1$. Assume further that $\eta \sim N(0,1)$, an observation Y = y is given and that G_{δ} is a perturbation of the forward model G giving rise to a perturbed posterior density $\pi^{\delta}(u|y)$ also with compact support: For any $\delta > 0$ and

$$A_{\delta} = \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \pi_U(u) > 0 \},$$

it holds that $\max\{|u| \mid u \in A_{\delta}\} \leq 1$. State constraints on mappings $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ ensuring that

$$|\mathbb{E}^{\pi(\cdot|y)}[f] - \mathbb{E}^{\pi^{\delta}(\cdot|y)}[f]| \le d_{TV}(\pi(\cdot|y), \pi^{\delta}(\cdot|y)),$$

and state constaints on G_{δ} such that

$$d_{TV}(\pi(\cdot|y), \pi^{\delta}(\cdot|y)) \le C\delta$$

for some C > 0.

c) Consider the Bayesian inverse problem

$$Y = U + \eta$$

with $U, \eta \sim U(0, 1)$ and $U \perp \eta$. For Y = 0, where we assume that $\pi_Y(y) > 0$, compute the posterior $\pi_{U|Y}(u|0)$. Explain why this fails in producing a posterior density.

Hint: Check the consistency of the assumptions.

U4.5 Consider the fair coin example in Lecture 10. Let $y=(y_1,y_2,\ldots)$ be a sequence with cumulative sums $\bar{y}_n=\sum_{k=1}^n y_k$ given by

$$\bar{y}_{10} = 4$$
, $\bar{y}_{100} = 48$, $\bar{y}_{1000} = 532$, $\bar{y}_{10000} = 5267$

Explore numerically the probability that U is a fair coin given these measurements.

Hint: implemented naively, you may not be able to normalize your density properly.

U4.6 a) State sufficient conditions for a density π to ensure that its posterior mean equals its maximum posterior, i.e.,

$$(1) u_{PM}[\pi] = u_{MAP}[\pi].$$

b) Verify that (1) holds for any Gaussian pdf.