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**INTRODUCTION**

The world will enter the era of the Industrial Revolution 5.0, which is marked by the massive development of digital economy patterns, artificial intelligence, big data, robotics, and—the most phenomenal—disruptive innovation (Haqqi and Wijayati [2019](#Haqqi)). Over the past few decades, disruptive innovation has changed civilization rapidly. This is not realized by established organizations but is felt to interfere with the activities of the old system. (Robinson, Morgan, and Reed [2016](#Robinson)). Disruptive innovation has the potential to destroy an existing system. This is solely driven by the rapid development of information technology (Flavin and Quintero [2018](#FlavinQ)). Retail shops have started to go out of business because of the presence of e-commerce, and conventional taxis have lost most of their income due to the presence of online transportation services. They are on the simple list of victims of this disruptive innovation (Priatna [2018](#Priatna); Flavin [2012](#Flavin)).

The phenomenon of disruption innovation in Indonesia has been widely discussed in the economic, banking, and tourism sectors. However, it is still limited to being discussed in the education sector. For example, Fitriana ([2019](#Fitriana)) discusses the impact and consequences of higher education innovation in the era of disruption. Ohoitimur ([2018](#Ohoitimur)) examines the challenges of scientific development and the opportunities for higher education institutions in the era of disruption. Previous research has only discussed the transformative impacts, opportunities, and challenges of higher education caused by innovation disruption. There are no studies that discuss educational innovation policies implemented by higher education institutions as an effort to improve the digital literacy capabilities of their academic community.

Digital literacy capability is strongly influenced by an understanding of Information and Communication Technology (ICT). Meanwhile, as we enter the 21st century, technology has become a mandatory choice that must be applied in the world of education. Not only in the context of education in general but also penetrates into education specifically, namely learning (Helaluddin [2019](#Helaluddin)). The application of technology in education and learning is a form of innovation (Dwivedi and Joshi [2021](#Dwivedi); Castro and Zermeño [2020](#Castro)). Innovation is carried out with the aim of balancing and keeping up with the times. Another consideration behind it is the fact that students have far different characteristics when compared to before. The millennial generation and generation Z are unique and different individuals who must also be handled uniquely in their educational processes (Kuleto et al. [2021](#Kuleto)). Today, students are no longer interested in the teacher-centered learning process. They are more interested in something new and oriented toward their own discovery process. This process is better known as the student-centered learning approach (Hastini, Fahmi, and Lukito [2020](#Hastini)).

The problem is that not all educators respond well to the existence of information and communication technology. Zulham's research shows that there is a level of digital divide that occurs among teachers. This gap is caused by the capability factor in the use of technological tools for these teachers, most of whom have not mastered it properly (Zulham [2013](#Zulham)). Even though we all understand that ICT cannot shift the vital function of educators in learning, the presence of ICT should be used optimally in achieving educational and learning goals (Valcke [2004](#Valcke)).

Based on recommendations from the 2015 World Economic Forum, there are several 21st century skills that must be possessed by the world community, one of which is digital literacy (ICT) (Vista [2020](#Vista)). The higher education academic community, as the vanguard in changing the field of education, is required to be literate in ICT. This means that there is no longer any reason for them to be ignorant of technology (Celume and Maoulida [2022](#Celume)). In recent years, several higher education institutions have started implementing various policies using technology. These policies were created to bring educators closer to technological devices and tools (Castro and Zermeño [2020](#Castro)). This article examines higher education innovation policies for improving the digital literacy capabilities of lecturers, staff, and students. The study focused on (1) various educational innovation policies in higher education institutions; (2) descriptions of increasing the digital literacy capabilities of the higher education academic community; and (3) the implications of higher education innovation policies for increasing the digital literacy capabilities of the academic community.

This type of research is a literature review. Data sources consist of books and journals that discuss educational innovation policies and improving digital literacy capabilities in higher education. The data was collected using documentation techniques. The collected data were analyzed using content analysis techniques.

**EDUCATIONAL INNOVATION POLICY IN HIGHER EDUCATION**

Educational innovation policies refer to strategic steps in the form of innovative programs in educational activities (Syafaruddin et al. [2016](#Syafaruddin)). Higher education strategic steps in the form of educational programs are educational innovation efforts in a higher education institution. An idea or a particular subject can be said to be an innovation if it has several characteristics: (1) has an identity as a distinctive characteristic; (2) has an element of novelty; (3) is obtained through a planned process; and (4) has a purpose (Silahuddin [2015](#Silahuddin)). If these various characteristics exist in an educational program, it can be said to be an educational innovation.

Innovation theory in education is a paradigm of a unit that is interrelated and cannot be separated between the three main pedagogic processes, which include creating novelty, mastering, and applying (Stukalenko et al. [2016](#Stukalenko)). That is, the subject matter of educational innovation theory is the study of the integration of development, mastery, and novelty. In essence, the theory of innovation in education describes an innovative process in the education system, educational activities, novelty, and the educational environment that exists in the innovation process (Helaluddin [2019](#Helaluddin)).

Innovation in education includes all activities. Starting from curriculum innovation, learning strategies, learning methods, learning media, and others (Kryukov and Gorin [2017](#Kryukov)). Several ICT-based educational innovations that have become policies by generally higher education institutions in Indonesia include:

**ICT-Based Selection Process**

Entering the era of the 2000s, the selection process for new students, scholarship programs, faculty and staff recruitment, and others had integrated ICT into them (Nugroho [2012](#Nugroho)). For example, the process of accepting Prospective Civil Servants (CPNS) lecturers starting in 2013 has been computer-based using the Computer Assisted Test (CAT). The selection process using computer technology is considered fairer because collusion, corruption, and nepotism are no longer possible (Martono [2017](#Martono)).

Likewise, several recruitment processes for participants in various ministry programs have used ICT, such as the selection of scholarships for LPDP, BUDI, Unggulan, and others. Various programs that integrate ICT in the participant selection process are generally used in current higher education programs and policies (Listiaji and Subhan [2021](#Listiaji)). Even though there are still deficiencies in its implementation, the policy can be evaluated for follow-up improvements (Helaluddin [2019](#Helaluddin)).

**Management of Electronic Scientific Journals**

Journal is a collection of articles from theoretical studies or research reports from researchers, lecturers, and students. Journals, as periodic scientific publications, also experience a metamorphosis from the print version to the electronic version. The process of submitting articles from the author to the journal system, making revisions, and confirming receipt of articles is done electronically in the journal system known as the Open Journal System (OJS). With this condition, journal managers and writers who wish to publish their research articles must understand and use this journal system. In other words, all elements of journal management and writers must be familiar with this ICT-based application.

**Online Library**

Today, the existence of a library can not only be accessed by visiting the building or the room. In this digital era, there are already many libraries that provide online-based services. As has been done by the Republic of Indonesia National Library in integrating its services with ICT. The National Library has subscribed to several resources so that the public can access them at any time after registering as library members (Iswanto [2017](#Iswanto)).

Likewise, universities in Indonesia generally use a digital library system in the form of a repository (Asmad et al. [2018](#Asmad)). The scientific work of lecturers and students must be disseminated in higher educationinstitutions’ repositories to make it easier to access and increase the number of citations (Rifqi and Mardiyanto [2020](#Rifqi)). With this digital system, people do not have to visit the library room but can simply access it with their gadgets or laptops anywhere and anytime.

**Higher Education Academic System**

The campus academic system also has to integrate ICT into it (Putra [2018](#Putra)). Thus, everything related to course schedules, filling out study plans (KRS), downloading study scores (KHS), and various academic information can be accessed easily (Indrayani [2011](#Indrayani)). Through this ICT-based campus academic system, it will be easier for students to access various information related to their studies.

**Use of the Internet in Learning**

Classroom learning is no longer dominated by traditional learning patterns, but has to use the internet as part of learning. There are three types of internet utilization in learning media: (1) web course, (2) web-centric course, and (3) web enhanced course (Helaluddin [2019](#Helaluddin)). Web course is the use of the internet in the learning process by integrating assignments, materials, discussions, consultations, and others delivered via the internet (Saregar et al. [2019](#Saregar)). Web centric course is learning that still partially adopts the internet; the rest is done by meetings like traditional learning (Ardiansyah and Diella [2017](#Ardiansyah)). The web enhanced course is a learning system that still prioritizes meetings in traditional learning (Saregar et al. [2019](#Saregar)). This system can be said to be a learning process towards internet-based learning. However, the percentage of meetings is still higher than the use of the internet because it is still in the introduction stage (Diani and Syarlisjiswan [2018](#Diani)).

In addition, the use of ICT in learning can also be done by utilizing Learning Management System (LMS) applications, smart phones, teleconferences, and others (Kasim and Khalid [2016](#Kasim)). Several universities in Makassar City, as a representative of eastern Indonesia, have used LMS in their learning processes. Such as Sikola at Unhas, Syam-Ok at UNM, Lantera at UIN Alauddin, and Naqrau at UIM. The use of LMS, smart phones, and teleconferences in learning is increasing and developing as we enter the COVID-19 pandemic (Fitriani [2020](#Fitriani)).

**DIGITAL LITERACY CAPABILITY IN HIGHER EDUCATION**

Based on its definition, literacy skills are also referred to as reading and writing abilities, which are important in the development process of students (Suragangga [2017](#Suragangga)). With high literacy skills, it will guarantee the speed with which students keep up with the times. In simple terms, literacy is defined as the ability to read, write, and speak well (Suswandari [2018](#Suswandari)).

According to UNESCO, literacy is a form of integration of the ability to listen, speak, write, read, and think critically (Baynham [1995](#Baynham)). There is a link between reading and writing abilities, like two sides of a coin, or a link between these language skills that cannot be separated (Roe, Smith, and Kolodziej [2018](#Roe)). Meanwhile, according to Helaluddin ([2019](#Helaluddin)), literacy is an effort to habituate humans to the four language skills, namely listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

In its development, literacy has expanded its meaning and reached various aspects (Irwanto [2021](#Irwanto)). As previously stated, literacy is not limited to reading and writing activities but also extends to other fields, such as ICT literacy, financial literacy, numerical literacy, and others (Herawan [2022](#Herawan)). This was previously predicted at the Summit in Berlin in 2002, which predicted that literacy in the 21st century would not only be based on traditional literacy. The word literacy is already attached to various words in various fields, which will eventually form different meanings according to the fields that follow (Irwanto [2021](#Irwanto)).

One of the new concepts of literacy is digital literacy, or ICT literacy (Phuapan, Viriyavejakul, and Pimdee [2016](#Phuapan)). This literacy is defined as the ability to use and utilize new media, such as the internet, to access, disseminate, and communicate information effectively (Gallardo-Echenique, Marqués-Molias, and Esteve-Mon [2015](#Gallardo)). ICT literacy is also interpreted as media literacy, which positions humans as having the ability to understand, master, and utilize mass media content (Syarifuddin [2014](#Syarifuddin)).

A definition that is not much different is also put forward in the report of the international ICT literacy panel by The Educational Testing Service (ETS), which states that ICT literacy is using digital technology, communication tools, and/or networks to access, manage, integrate, evaluate, and create information in order to function in a knowledge society. Furthermore, ETS also states that ICT literacy must cover two fundamental things, namely cognitive abilities and the application of technical abilities and knowledge (Educational Testing Service [2003](#Educational)). ICT literacy can be classified into three parts, namely the group related to technological knowledge, the ability group to use technology, and the attitude growth group from critical reflection on the use of technology (Oye, Iahad, and Rahim [2014](#Oye)).

Another term that is closely related to ICT literacy is e-literacy. E-literacy is the ability to use information technology devices to adapt to the times. Along with changes and developments, this term has also expanded into several different terms such as technology literacy, computer literacy, and internet literacy (Rozikin, Hesty, and Sulikah [2020](#Rozikin)). It is undeniable that ICT literacy must be implemented in educational institutions in an effort to carry out educational innovations and welcome the 21st century (Omiunu [2019](#Omiunu)).

The implementation of this ICT literacy program can be carried out starting from elementary and middle school to the higher education level. However, for developing countries like Indonesia, this program must start in universities. This is based on the higher education community (lecturers, staff, and students) who are considered more ready to accept technology in their educational process (Dewi and Hasmirati [2022](#Dewi)). ICT literacy must be applied to other educational personnel, not only to students and teachers but also to educational administration staff.

Through the ICT literacy program, students are expected to have ICT skills. These skills are crucial in finding and selecting information from unlimited sources, communicating via computers, and manipulating certain information for specific purposes such as completing assignments, presentations, and data analysis. Furthermore, information technology plays a very vital role in the social needs of students, such as interaction on social media, enjoying hobbies, creating creative ideas, and finding information related to learning (Ahmad et al. [2016](#Ahmad)).

According to the Research and Development Agency for Human Resources (HR) of the Ministry of Communication and Information (KOMINFO), there is one model for measuring the level of ICT literacy, namely the P-CMM (Personal Capability Maturity Model). There are five levels in this model. Level zero means that the individual or person does not care or does not know about the important role of information and communication technology in everyday life. Level one is when someone has occasionally used information and communication technology to find or solve problems. Level two is that someone has used technology many times in their daily activities. Level three is the condition of a person who has awareness and knowledge about the use of technology in his daily life and consistently uses it. Level four is the level at which a person has been able to and has succeeded in improving the quality of his daily performance by using information technology in his daily activities. Finally, the fifth level is the condition of individuals who have considered information and communication technology an integral part of their daily activities and are aware that it both directly and indirectly influences their behavior and culture of life. (Helaluddin [2019](#Helaluddin)).

In conducting an assessment of ICT literacy, usually researchers or educational practitioners can use guidance from the ETS (Educational Testing Service). This assessment focuses on the cognitive domain, namely problem solving and critical thinking skills associated with the use of technology to organize information (Educational Testing Service [2003](#Educational)). Assessment measurements on ICT literacy through seven performance areas: define, access, manage, integrate, evaluate, create, and communicate (Tohara [2021](#Tohara)). *Define* is a person's ability to use digital tools to identify and represent information needs. *Access* is the ability to collect and obtain information in a digital environment. *Manage* is the skill of using digital tools to apply or classify schemes for information. *Integrate* is a person's ability to interpret and represent, such as using digital tools to synthesize, infer, and compare information from various sources. *Evaluate* is the skill of assessing the extent to which digital information meets the needs of information problems, including determining the authority, bias, and accuracy of materials. *Create* is the ability to adapt, apply, design, or construct information in a digital environment. Finally, *communicate* skills, namely the ability of a person to disseminate, publish, or disseminate relevant information to certain audiences in an effective digital format (Tejedor et al. [2020](#Tejedor); Siddiq and Scherer [2019](#Siddiq)).

Another important thing that deserves attention in ICT literacy is that there is a gap that is still quite significant in Indonesia. On a broader scale, the Lee Kuan Yew School of Public Policy reports that the level of internet access in the Asian region is quite diverse. Conditions, networks, equipment, and prices are several factors that cause different literacy levels in several countries. One fact that must be the focus is that two neighboring countries, which incidentally are not much different geographically and culturally, will also have different levels of digital literacy (Helaluddin [2019](#Helaluddin)).

Talking about the Southeast Asian region as a region inhabited by developing countries certainly cannot be compared to the European region in terms of digital literacy. However, what is quite interesting is the fact that Singapore is one of the countries with the high digital capabilities in the world. Based on various sources of literature and research results, the digital divide in the Southeast Asia region is caused by two things: (1) government policies that are not on target, thus failing to provide infrastructure for easy digital access; and (2) low per capita income, which causes individuals to afford digital access (Mubah et al. [2017](#Mubah)).

**Conclusion**

In general, universities in Indonesia, both public and private, have taken a step forward in terms of technology-based educational innovation. This policy resulted in several programs, such as (1) an ICT-based selection process; (2) management of electronic scientific journals; (3) online libraries; (4) higher education academic systems; and (5) use of the Internet in learning. This policy has implications for increasing the digital literacy skills of the academic community, which regards ICT as an integral part of their daily activities. They realize that ICT either directly or indirectly influences their behavior and lives.

This research has implications for the importance of the government providing complete and easily accessible ICT-based educational infrastructure. It should not be difficult for educational institutions and the public to finance their digital access. Therefore, it is important for further research to examine the Indonesian government's policy regarding the procurement of ICT-based educational infrastructure and its accessibility for educational institutions and the community, especially those with low economic status.

**Author’s declaration**

**Funding**

This research was independently funded by the author.

**Authors’ Contribution**

The first, second, and third authors as supervisors. They ensure that the contents of the manuscript meet the scientific requirements and guidelines for journal writing. The fourth author is correspondence. She determines the theme, collects data, and prepares the report.

**Disclosure Statement**

The authors declares that there is no conflict of interest in this research.

**Data Availability Statement**

The data that support the results of this study are available from the corresponding author.

**Acknowledgements**

The author would like to thank the library managers of UIM, UNM, and STAI DDI Maros. They provide excellent service and assist authors in preparing references.

**References**

Ahmad, Mazalah, Jamaludin Badusah, Ahmad Zamri Mansor, Aidah Abdul Karim, Fariza Khalid, Mohd Yusof Daud, Rosseni Din, and Diana Fazleen Zulkefle. 2016. “The Application of 21st Century ICT Literacy Model among Teacher Trainees.” *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology-TOJET* 15 (3): 151–61. <http://www.tojet.net/articles/v15i3/15317.pdf>.

Ardiansyah, Ryan, and Dea Diella. 2017. “The Effect of Web Enhanced Course (WEC) and Web Centric Course (WCC) towards Student Learning Results on Reproduction System Concept.” *Jurnal Penelitian dan Pembelajaran IPA* 3 (2): 143–50. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jppi.v3i2.2577>.

Asmad, Chusnul Chatimah, Taufiq Mathar, A Khaidir Akbar, Nur Arifin, Hijrana Hijrana, Haruddin Haruddin, Irmawati Irmawati, Irawati Irawati, and Satriani Satriani. 2018. “Tren Perkembangan Open Access Institutional Repository pada Perguruan Tinggi di Indonesia.” *Khizanah Al-Hikmah: Jurnal Ilmu Perpustakaan, Informasi, dan Kearsipan* 6 (2): 168–80. <https://doi.org/10.24252/kah.v6i2a8>.

Baynham, M. 1995. *Literacy Practices: Investigation Literacy Social Context*. United Kingdom: Longman Group.

Castro, May Portuguez, and Marcela Georgina Gómez Zermeño. 2020. “Educational Innovation Supported by ICT to Identify Entrepreneurial Skills in Students in Higher Education.” In *Eighth International Conference on Technological Ecosystems for Enhancing Multiculturality*, 977–84. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3434780.3436556>.

Celume, Macarena-Paz, and Haïfat Maoulida. 2022. “Developing 21st Century Competencies among Youth through an Online Learning Program: BE a Global Citizen.” *Education Sciences* 12 (3): 148. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12030148>.

Dewi, A Erni Ratna, and Hasmirati Hasmirati. 2022. “Pengaruh Kesiapan Siswa dan Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi Komunikasi terhadap Kebijakan Merdeka Belajar Menyongsong Era Industri 5.0.” *Al-Musannif* 4 (1): 29–42. <https://doi.org/10.56324/al-musannif.v4i1.58>.

Diani, Rahma, and Muhammad Ridho Syarlisjiswan. 2018. “Web-Enhanced Course Based on Problem-Based Learning (PBL): Development of Interactive Learning Media for Basic Physics II.” *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni* 7 (1): 105–16. <https://doi.org/10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v7i1.2849>.

Dwivedi, Vedvyas J, and Yogesh C Joshi. 2021. “ICT Perspectives of Productive and Sustainable Development for 21st Century Higher Education Institutions.” *International Journal of Education and Development Using Information and Communication Technology* 17 (3): 81–96. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1335689>.

Educational Testing Service. 2003. *Succeeding in the 21st Century: What Higher Education Must Do to Address the Gap in Information and Communication Technology Proficiencies*. Princeton, NJ: Author.

Fitriana, Siti. 2019. “Transformasi Pendidikan Tinggi di Era Disrupsi: Dampak dan Konsekuensi Inovasi.” In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pascasarjana (PROSNAMPAS)*, 2: 812–18. <https://proceeding.unnes.ac.id/index.php/snpasca/article/download/375/226>.

Fitriani, Yuni. 2020. “Analisa Pemanfaatan Learning Management System (LMS) sebagai Media Pembelajaran Online Selama Pandemi Covid-19.” *JISICOM (Journal of Information System, Informatics and Computing)* 4 (2): 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.52362/jisicom.v4i2.312>.

Flavin, Michael. 2012. “Disruptive Technologies in Higher Education.” *Research in Learning Technology* 20: 102–11. <https://doi.org/10.3402/rlt.v20i0.19184>.

Flavin, Michael, and Valentina Quintero. 2018. “UK Higher Education Institutions’ Technology-Enhanced Learning Strategies from the Perspective of Disruptive Innovation.” *Research in Learning Technology* 26: 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.25304/rlt.v26.1987>.

Gallardo-Echenique, Eliana E, Luis Marqués-Molias, and Francesc Esteve-Mon. 2015. “Digital Competence in the Knowledge Society.” *MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching* 11 (1): 1–16. <https://jolt.merlot.org/vol11no1/Gallardo-Echenique_0315.pdf>.

Haqqi, Halifa, and Hasna Wijayati. 2019. *Revolusi Industri 4.0 di Tengah Society 5.0: Sebuah Integrasi Ruang, Terobosan Teknologi, dan Transformasi Kehidupan di Era Disruptif*. Yogyakarta: Anak Hebat Indonesia.

Hastini, Lasti Yossi, Rahmi Fahmi, and Hendra Lukito. 2020. “Apakah Pembelajaran Menggunakan Teknologi Dapat Meningkatkan Literasi Manusia pada Generasi Z di Indonesia?” *Jurnal Manajemen Informatika (JAMIKA)* 10 (1): 12–28. <https://doi.org/10.34010/jamika.v10i1.2678>.

Helaluddin, Helaluddin. 2019. “Peningkatan Kemampuan Literasi Teknologi dalam Upaya Mengembangkan Inovasi Pendidikan di Perguruan Tinggi.” *PENDAIS* 1 (01): 44–55. <https://uit.e-journal.id/JPAIs/article/view/218>.

Herawan, Endang. 2022. “Literasi Numerasi di Era Digital Bagi Pendidik.” In *Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Sultan Agung IV*, 3:23–32. Semarang: Unissula. <https://jurnal.unissula.ac.id/index.php/sendiksa/article/view/19826>.

Indrayani, Etin. 2011. “Pengelolaan Sistem Informasi Akademik Perguruan Tinggi Berbasis Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi (TIK).” *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan* 12 (1): 51–67. <http://www.jurnal.upi.edu/file/5.pdf>.

Irwanto, Irwanto. 2021. “Pengembangan E-Book Interaktif untuk Mata Kuliah Literasi ICT Media Pembelajaran Kejuruan.” In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Sastra, Lingua, Dan Pembelajarannya (Salinga)*, 1:91–102. Malang: IKIP Budi Utomo. <http://ejurnal.budiutomomalang.ac.id/index.php/salinga/article/view/1653>.

Iswanto, Rahmat. 2017. “Kebijakan Pengembangan Koleksi dan Pemanfaatannya di Perpustakaan Perguruan Tinggi: Analisis Penerapan Kebijakan Pengembangan Koleksi Perpustakaan Utama Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta.” *Tik Ilmeu: Jurnal Ilmu Perpustakaan dan Informasi* 1 (1): 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.29240/tik.v1i1.206>.

Kasim, Nurul Nadirah Mohd, and Fariza Khalid. 2016. “Choosing the Right Learning Management System (LMS) for the Higher Education Institution Context: A Systematic Review.” *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning* 11 (6): 55–61. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v11i06.5644>.

Kryukov, Vladimir, and Alexey Gorin. 2017. “Digital Technologies as Education Innovation at Universities.” *Australian Educational Computing* 32 (1): 1–16. <http://journal.acce.edu.au/index.php/AEC/article/viewFile/114/pdf>.

Kuleto, Valentin, Monica Stanescu, Marko Ranković, Nevenka Popović Šević, Dan Păun, and Silvia Teodorescu. 2021. “Extended Reality in Higher Education, a Responsible Innovation Approach for Generation Y and Generation Z.” *Sustainability* 13 (21): 11814. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132111814>.

Listiaji, Prasetyo, and Subhan Subhan. 2021. “Pengaruh Pembelajaran Literasi Digital pada Kompetensi Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi (TIK) Calon Guru.” *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan* 6 (1): 107–16. <https://doi.org/10.24832/jpnk.v6i1.1948>.

Martono, Nanang. 2017. *Sekolah Publik vs Sekolah Privat: Dalam Wacana Kekuasaan, Demokrasi dan Liberalisasi Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Yayasan Pustaka Obor Indonesia.

Mubah, Ahmad Safril, Amalia Wardahni, Della Febri Ponsela, and M Ahalla Tsauro. 2017. “Problem Dasar Kesenjangan Digital di Asia Tenggara.” *Global Strategis* 10 (2): 204–220. <https://doi.org/10.20473/jgs.10.2.2016.204-220>.

Nugroho, Badar Agung. 2012. “Analisa Kesadaran Upaya Penerapan Komputasi Hijau di Lingkungan Kerja Perguruan Tinggi.” In *Prosiding Seminar Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi dalam Meningkatkan Nilai Tambah Pelayanan Publik Guna Mewujudkan Masyarakat Berbasis Informasi*, 143. Bandung: BPPKI. <https://balitbangsdm.kominfo.go.id/upt/bandung/publikasi-prosiding-10.htm>.

Ohoitimur, Johanis. 2018. “Disrupsi: Tantangan Bagi Perkembangan Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Peluang Bagi Lembaga Pendidikan Tinggi.” *Respons: Jurnal Etika Sosial* 23 (02): 143–66. <https://ejournal.atmajaya.ac.id/index.php/respons/article/view/553>.

Omiunu, Ojinga G. 2019. “E-Literacy-Adoption Model and Performance of Women-Owned SMEs in Southwestern Nigeria.” *Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research* 9 (1): 26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40497-019-0149-3>.

Oye, N D, N A. Iahad, and N Ab. Rahim. 2014. “The History of UTAUT Model and Its Impact on ICT Acceptance and Usage by Academicians.” *Education and Information Technologies* 19: 251–70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-012-9189-9>.

Phuapan, Piatip, Chantana Viriyavejakul, and Paitoon Pimdee. 2016. “An Analysis of Digital Literacy Skills among Thai University Seniors.” *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Online)* 11 (3): 24. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v11i03.5301>.

Priatna, Tedi. 2018. “Inovasi Pembelajaran PAI di Sekolah pada Era Disruptive Innovation.” *Jurnal Tatsqif* 16 (1): 16–41. <https://doi.org/10.20414/jtq.v16i1.158>.

Putra, Muhammad Soekarno. 2018. “Faktor-faktor Pengembangan Sistem Informasi Akademik Berbasis Web pada Perguruan Tinggi Swasta Palembang.” *Jurnal RESTI (Rekayasa Sistem dan Teknologi Informasi)* 2 (1): 295–300. <https://doi.org/10.29207/resti.v2i1.243>.

Rifqi, Ach Nizam, and Verry Mardiyanto. 2020. “Implementasi Kebijakan dan Proses Pengembangan Koleksi Digital Perpustakaan Perguruan Tinggi Vokasi: Studi Kasus Perpustakaan Politeknik Negeri Malang.” *Tik Ilmeu: Jurnal Ilmu Perpustakaan dan Informasi* 4 (2): 159–78. <https://doi.org/10.29240/tik.v4i2.1896>.

Robinson, G, J Morgan, and W Reed. 2016. “Disruptive Innovation in Higher Education: The Professional Doctorate.” *International Journal of Information and Education Technology* 6 (1): 85–89. <https://doi.org/10.7763/IJIET.2016.V6.664>.

Roe, Betty, Sandra H Smith, and Nancy J Kolodziej. 2018. *Teaching Reading in Today’s Elementary Schools*. Boston: Cengage Learning.

Rozikin, Mochammad, Wa Hesty, and Sulikah Sulikah. 2020. “Kolaborasi dan E-Literacy: Kunci Keberhasilan Inovasi E-Government Pemerintah Daerah.” *Jurnal Borneo Administrator* 16 (1): 61–80. <https://doi.org/10.24258/jba.v16i1.603>.

Saregar, A, E Hadiati, I Syafe’i, and R Septiani. 2019. “Developing Web-Enhanced Course in Basic Electronic Course.” In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1155:12094. IOP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1155/1/012094>.

Siddiq, Fazilat, and Ronny Scherer. 2019. “Is There a Gender Gap? A Meta-Analysis of the Gender Differences in Students’ ICT Literacy.” *Educational Research Review* 27: 205–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2019.03.007>.

Silahuddin, Silahuddin. 2015. “Penerapan E-Learning dalam Inovasi Pendidikan.” *CIRCUIT: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Teknik Elektro* 1 (1): 48–59. <https://doi.org/10.22373/crc.v1i1.310>.

Stukalenko, Nina M, Bariya B Zhakhina, Asiya K Kukubaeva, Nurgul K Smagulova, and Gulden K Kazhibaeva. 2016. “Studying Innovation Technologies in Modern Education.” *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education* 11 (15): 7297–7308. <http://www.ijese.net/makale/1002.html>.

Suragangga, I Made Ngurah. 2017. “Mendidik Lewat Literasi untuk Pendidikan Berkualitas.” *Jurnal Penjaminan Mutu* 3 (02): 154–63. <https://doi.org/10.25078/jpm.v3i2.195>.

Suswandari, Meidawati. 2018. “Membangun Budaya Literasi Bagi Suplemen Pendidikan di Indonesia.” *Jurnal Dikdas Bantara* 1 (1): 20–32. <https://doi.org/10.32585/jdb.v1i1.105>.

Syafaruddin, Syafaruddin, Asrul Asrul, Mesiono Mesiono, Candra Wijaya, and Usiono Usiono. 2016. *Inovasi Pendidikan: Suatu Analisis Terhadap Kebijakan Baru Pendidikan*. Medan: Perdana Publishing.

Syarifuddin, Syarifuddin. 2014. “Information and Communications Technology Literacy.” *Jurnal Penelitian Komunikasi* 17 (2): 153–64. <https://doi.org/10.20422/jpk.v17i2.14>.

Tejedor, Santiago, Laura Cervi, Ana Pérez-Escoda, and Fernanda Tusa Jumbo. 2020. “Digital Literacy and Higher Education during Covid-19 Lockdown: Spain, Italy, and Ecuador.” *Publications* 8 (4): 48. <https://doi.org/10.3390/publications8040048>.

Tohara, Abdul Jalil Toha. 2021. “Exploring Digital Literacy Strategies for Students with Special Educational Needs in the Digital Age.” *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT)* 12 (9): 3345–58. <https://www.turcomat.org/index.php/turkbilmat/article/view/5741>.

Valcke, Martin. 2004. “ICT in Higher Education: An Uncomfortable Zone for Institutes and Their Policies.” In *Beyond the Comfort Zone: Proceedings of the 21st ASCILITE Conference*, 20–35. <https://biblio.ugent.be/publication/313536/file/452113>.

Vista, Alvin. 2020. “Data-Driven Identification of Skills for the Future: 21st-Century Skills for the 21st-Century Workforce.” *Sage Open* 10 (2): 2158244020915904. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020915904>.

Zulham, Muhammad. 2013. “Kesenjangan Digital di Kalangan Guru SMP: Studi Deskriptif Mengenai Kesenjangan Aksesibilitas dan Kapabilitas Teknologi Informasi di Kalangan Guru SMP Kecamatan Krian).” Universitas Airlangga. <https://repository.unair.ac.id/16315/>.