



## Feedback for RRMP Research Proposal Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 323482
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
<b>Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%,</b> Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	Very Good	There is a general very good overview of the topic from the research that you have used to answer your summative question. The assignment is focused from the perspective of what it is as it is represented in the presentation, and also the scope of research that is used to examine the question under discussion. Moving forward I feel if you pool/pull together these strands of research in a more focused manner, your discussion could be more concise and so possibly apply a little more time management to the whole process
<b>Criticality weighted at 25%,</b> Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Very Good	You do reflect the limitations of study, and also expand upon aspects of the research that underpin points that you are making as part of your research content and process. Again, I would just complete this a little more cohesively for future summative. There is a genuine effort to critically discuss aspects of the content, it is just that the summative overall needs to be a little more focused.
<b>Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%:</b> Is the presentation well organised?	Very Good	Generally, very good structure and presentation regarding the power point. There is a genuine attempt to cover most points required by the summative. The structure of the presentation is logical for the most part. You could have added more slides in, in two instances to break up the length of your oral delivery. This would allow for better audience engagement around those parts of the discussion. The presentation is appropriately organised, with the exception of the above.
<b>Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%:</b> Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation	Satisfactory	The presentation should have been 15 minutes long, your presentation was just over 20 minutes long and so for future summative presentations you do need to plan more. There was an overview of the key points of discussion in your presentation, but these needed to be more concise bearing in mind the 15-minute limitation. There was also a tendency to



style lively, fluent and engaging?		linger for a long period of time on a couple of slides as the presentation unfolded. You have to consider your audience when presenting and if you were presenting to a 'live' audience, they would need that visual as well as verbal stimuli to maintain engagement. For future presentations break the audio up by using visual slide support more vigorously – break the visuals up more to keep the presentation moving forward visually as well as orally.
<b>Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%:</b> Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	<b>Satisfactory</b>	Most of the major points are presented in your summative, it is just that some aspects are unnecessarily lengthy and need that time applied to narrow aspects of the discussion down. Please take this point forward for future summative.
<b>Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%:</b> Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	<b>Very Good</b>	This borders on very good here, because you do reflect positives and limitations of research, it is just that your overview of your academic design and methodology of discussion needs to be more precise and concise
<b>Overall</b>		
<b><u>Positives:</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generally, very good knowledge demonstrated</li> <li>• With satisfactory presentation</li> <li>• And a genuine attempt to apply appropriate research</li> </ul>		
<b><u>Points for development:</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More time planning to ensure the presentation comes in for the timeline of 15 minutes</li> <li>• A more concise approach to the discussion is needed</li> <li>• Break your visual presentation up, in line with your oral presentation to ensure you keep your audience engaged</li> </ul>		