

Proof of Proposition 1. 1. By the LLN $I^2/MN \rightarrow 1/(E(M)E(N))$ almost surely and by Lemma 2 $\sum_{i,j} \psi_{ij}/I^2 \rightarrow E\psi_{12}$ almost surely. Conditioning on the sample,

$$\begin{aligned} E\psi(\xi_I, \eta_I) &= E(E(\psi(\xi_I, \eta_I) \mid (X_1, Y_1, M_1, N_1), \dots, (X_I, Y_I, M_I, N_I))) \\ &= E\left(\frac{\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq I} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq M_i, 1 \leq l \leq N_j} \psi(X_{ik}, Y_{jl})}{\sum_{i=1}^I M_i \sum_{i=1}^I N_i}\right) \\ &= E\left(\frac{\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq I} \psi_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^I M_i \sum_{i=1}^I N_i}\right) \rightarrow \frac{E\psi_{12}}{E(M)E(N)} = \theta_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

The limit is justified since $\sum_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}/(\sum_i M_i \sum_i N_i) \leq 1$.

2. The second part follows on showing that $(\xi_I, \eta_I) \rightarrow (\xi_\infty, \eta_\infty)$ setwise. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, by a similar argument as above,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\xi_I < a, \eta_I < b) &= E\left(\frac{\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq I} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq M_i, 1 \leq l \leq N_j} \{X_{ik} < a, Y_{jl} < b\}}{\sum_{i=1}^I M_i \sum_{i=1}^I N_i}\right) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{M_1} \{X_{1k} < a\}\right)}{E(M)} \frac{E\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N_1} \{Y_{1l} < b\}\right)}{E(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

The probability of sampling an element from a cluster of size $M = m$ given an initial segment of I samples $(X_1, Y_1, M_1, N_1), \dots, (X_I, Y_I, M_I, N_I)$, is $\frac{m \sum_{i=1}^I \{M_i = m\}}{\sum_{i=1}^I M_i}$. Along almost any sequence of samples as $I \rightarrow \infty$ this relative frequency tends to $\frac{mP(M=m)}{E(M)}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\xi_\infty < a) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(\xi_\infty < a \mid \xi_\infty \text{ is sampled from a cluster of size } m) \\
&\quad P(\xi_\infty \text{ is sampled from a cluster of size } m) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m P(X_{1k} < a \mid M = m) \frac{mP(M = m)}{E(M)} \\
&= \frac{1}{EM} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^m P(X_{1k} < a \mid M = m) P(M = m) \\
&= \frac{1}{E(M)} E \left(\sum_{k=1}^M \{X_{1k} < a\} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$P(\eta_\infty < a) = \frac{1}{E(N)} E \left(\sum_{l=1}^N \{X_{1l} < a\} \right).$$

The product is the limit of $P(\xi_I < a, \eta_I < b)$ given above.

□

The following lemma gives a convergence result for a two-sample U -statistic with kernel of degree $(1, 1)$ where the data is paired. The corresponding definitions and result for independent samples is given in, e.g., Lee (2019). Let V denote the space of finite sequences.

Lemma 1. *Given a sample $(X_0, Y_0), (X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_I, Y_I)$ on $V \times V$ IID according to P and a function $\psi : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in $L^2(P)$, define*

$$U_I = I^{-2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq I \\ i \neq j}} \psi(X_i, Y_j), \quad V_I = I^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq I} \psi(X_i, Y_j),$$

and

$$\hat{U}_I = I^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^I (E(\psi(X_i, Y_0) \mid X_i, Y_i) + E(\psi(X_0, Y_i) \mid X_i, Y_i)) - 2E\psi(X_1, Y_2).$$

Then

$$E(U_I - EU_I - \hat{U}_I)^2 = O(I^{-2}) \text{ and } E(V_I - EV_I - \hat{U}_I)^2 = O(I^{-2}).$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Define

$$\bar{\psi}_{ij} = \psi(X_i, Y_j) - E(\psi(X_i, Y_0) \mid X_i, Y_i) - E(\psi(X_0, Y_j) \mid X_j, Y_j) + E\psi(X_0, Y_0).$$

Then, for $i \neq j$, $E(\bar{\psi}_{ij} \mid (X_i, Y_i)) = E(\bar{\psi}_{ij} \mid (X_j, Y_j)) = 0$, implying

$$\begin{aligned} E(U_I - EU_I - \hat{U}_I)^2 &= E \left((I)_2^{-1} \sum_{i \neq j} \bar{\psi}_{ij} \right)^2 \\ &= (I)_2^{-2} \sum_{i \neq j} E \bar{\psi}_{ij}^2 + O(I^{-2}) \\ &= O(I^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

For the second equation,

$$\begin{aligned} E(U_I - EU_I - V_I + EV_I)^2 &= I^{-2} E \left((I)_2^{-1} \sum_{i \neq j} \psi_{ij} - E\psi_{11} + E\psi_{12} \right)^2 \\ &\leq I^{-2} \left((I)_2^{-1} \sum_{i \neq j} E(\psi_{ij} - E\psi_{11} + E\psi_{12})^2 \right) \\ &= O(I^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2. *With the same setup as Lemma 1, $U_I - EU_I \rightarrow 0$ a.s. and $\sqrt{I}(U_I - EU_I)/\sqrt{\text{Var}(U_I)} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ in distribution.*

Proof of Corollary 2. By Lemma 1, $U_I - EU_I \rightarrow \hat{U}_I$ a.s. and $\sqrt{I}(U_I - EU_I - \hat{U}_I) \rightarrow 0$ in quadratic mean, and \hat{U}_I is an IID sum subject to the usual LLN and CLT. □

Proof of Proposition 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{11}(P) &= E \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^N \psi(X_{1k}, Y_{1l})}{MN} \right) \\
&= E \left(\frac{1}{MN} E \left(\sum_{k=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^N \psi(X_{1k}, Y_{1l}) \mid M, N \right) \right) \\
&= E \left(\frac{1}{MN} M N E(\psi(X_{11}, Y_{11} \mid M, N)) \right) = E\psi(X_{11}, Y_{11}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the above,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{12}(P) &= \frac{E \left(\sum_{k=1}^{M_1} \sum_{l=1}^{N_2} \psi(X_{1k}, Y_{2l}) \right)}{E(M)E(N)} \\
&= \frac{E(M)E(N)E\psi(X_{11}, Y_{21})}{E(M)E(N)} = E\psi(X_{11}, Y_{21}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3. *Given integrable random variables M, V, X_1, X_2, \dots , such that $M \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(|X_i|; M \geq i) < \infty$,*

$$E \left(\sum_{i=1}^M X_i \mid M, V \right) = \sum_{i=1}^M E(X_i \mid M, V)$$

Proof of Lemma 3.

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left(\sum_{i=1}^M X_i \mid M, V \right) &= E \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \{M = m\} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i \mid M, V \right) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E \left(\{M = m\} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i \mid M, V \right) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \{M = m\} E(X_i \mid M, V) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^M E(X_i \mid M, V),
\end{aligned}$$

the interchange in the second equality allowed since $E \left| \sum_{i=1}^M X_i \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty E(|X_i|; M \geq i) < \infty$. □

Proof of Lemma 4. Define for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ approximations to θ_{11} and θ_{12} by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij}^{(n)} &= \left\{ (x, y) : \frac{i}{2^n} \leq x < \frac{i+1}{2^n}, \frac{j}{2^n} \leq y < \frac{j+1}{2^n} \right\}, \quad -2^{2n} \leq i, j < 2^{2n} - 1 \\ \theta_{11}^{(n)} &= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ij}^{(n)}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \\ \theta_{12}^{(n)} &= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P_{\perp}(A_{ij}^{(n)}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} P_{\perp}(A_{ii}^{(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bigcup_n \bigcup_i \bigcup_{j>i} A_{ij}^{(n)} = \{x < y\}$ and $\bigcap_n \bigcup_i A_{ii}^{(n)} = \{x = y\}$, by continuity of measure $\theta_{11}^{(n)} \rightarrow \theta_{11}$ and $\theta_{12}^{(n)} \rightarrow \theta_{12}$. Therefore, it is enough to establish the inequality (4) for $\theta_{11}^{(n)}$ and $\theta_{12}^{(n)}$.

Fixing n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P_{\perp}(A_{ij}^{(n)}) &= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P_{\perp}(A_{ij}^{(n)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P_{\perp}\left(\frac{i}{2^n} \leq x < \frac{i+1}{2^n}\right) P_{\perp}\left(\frac{j}{2^n} \leq y < \frac{j+1}{2^n}\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} \left(P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) + \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \right) \left(P(A_{jj}^{(n)}) + \sum_{l=-2^{2n}}^{j-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} \left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \sum_{l=-2^{2n}}^{j-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) + P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \sum_{l=-2^{2n}}^{j-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + P(A_{jj}^{(n)}) \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) + P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) P(A_{jj}^{(n)}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We lower bound the first three terms in parentheses.

First term:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \sum_{l=-2^{2n}}^{j-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \\
&= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} \sum_{l=-2^{2n}}^{j-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \\
&= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \sum_{l=i}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=l+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \\
&= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ij}^{(n)}) + \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \sum_{l=i+1}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=l+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ij}^{(n)})^2 + \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=k+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ij}^{(n)}) P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) + \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \sum_{l=i+1}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=l+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i \neq k \text{ or } j \neq l \\ j > i \text{ and } l > k}} P(A_{ij}^{(n)}) P(A_{kl}^{(n)}) + \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ij}^{(n)})^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ij}^{(n)}) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ij}^{(n)})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Middle two terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} \left(P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \sum_{l=-2^{2n}}^{j-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) + P(A_{jj}^{(n)}) \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \sum_{l=i}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=l+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) + \sum_{j=-2^{2n}+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{jj}^{(n)}) \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{j-1} \sum_{k=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ik}^{(n)}) \\
&= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \sum_{l=i}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=l+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) + \sum_{i=-2^{2n}+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \sum_{l=-2^{2n}}^{i-1} \sum_{j=l+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \right) \left(\sum_{l=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=l+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The second-to-last equality is just renaming indices.

With these lower bounds,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{12}^{(n)} &= \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P_{\perp}(A_{ij}^{(n)}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} P_{\perp}(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ij}^{(n)}) \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \right) \left(\sum_{l=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=l+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{lj}^{(n)}) \right) + \\
&\quad \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) P(A_{jj}^{(n)}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ii}^{(n)})^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ij}^{(n)}) + \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta_{11}^{(n)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}-1} P(A_{ii}^{(n)}) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta_{11}^{(n)} + \frac{1}{2} P(X=Y) \right)^2 + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

The upper bound then follows by the same symmetry argument as given in Section 4.

□

Proof of Theorem 3. With

$$\theta_{11} = \frac{1}{mn} E(\psi_{11}) = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i,j} (P(X_{1i} < Y_{1j}) + \frac{1}{2} P(X_{1i} = Y_{1j}))$$

Lemma 4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{12} &= \frac{1}{mn} E(\psi_{12}) = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i,j} (P(X_{1i} < Y_{2j}) + \frac{1}{2} P(X_{1i} = Y_{2j})) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{2} (P(X_{1i} < Y_{1j}) + P(X_{1i} = Y_{1j}))^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i,j} (P(X_{1i} < Y_{1j}) + P(X_{1i} = Y_{1j})) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta_{11} + \frac{1}{2mn} \sum_{i,j} P(X_{1i} = Y_{1j}) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality is Jensen's inequality, which is tight when the pairwise AUCs are all equal. The other bound follows similarly. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. By Lemma 1,

$$\sqrt{I} \left(\frac{(I)_2^{-1} \sum_{i \neq j} \psi_{ij} - E\psi_{12}}{\text{sd}(\sqrt{I}(I)_2^{-1} \sum_{i \neq j} \psi_{ij})}, \frac{I^{-2} \sum_{i,j} M_i N_j - E(M)E(N)}{\text{sd}(I^{-3/2} \sum_{i,j} M_i N_j)}, \frac{I^{-1} \sum_i \psi_{ii}/(M_i N_i) - E(\psi_{11}/M_1 N_1)}{\text{sd}(\psi_{11}/M_1 N_1)} \right)$$

converges to

$$\begin{aligned} I^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^I &\left(\frac{E(\psi_{i0} | W_i) + E(\psi_{0i} | W_i) - 2E\psi_{12}}{\text{sd}(E(\psi_{10} | W_1) + E(\psi_{01} | W_1))}, \frac{M_i E(N) + N_i E(M) - 2E(M)E(N)}{\text{sd}(M_1 E(N) + N_1 E(M))}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\psi_{ii}/(M_i N_i) - E(\psi_{11}/M_1 N_1)}{\text{sd}(\psi_{11}/M_1 N_1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

in mean-square. The latter is an IID sum with finite covariance matrix and is asymptotically normal by the usual CLT. Applying the delta method with the function $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x/y, z)$,

with derivative

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/y & -x/y^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bigg|_{(x,y)=(\theta_{12}, E(M)E(N))}$$

for $y \neq 0$, i.e., $E(M) \neq 0, E(N) \neq 0$, gives the asymptotic normality of $(\theta_{11}, \theta_{12})$. The asymptotic covariance matrix is given by delta method.

□

References

Lee, A. J. (2019). *U-statistics: Theory and Practice*. Routledge.