

X-ray microtomography

David Haberthür

December 20, 2019 | 9256-HS2019-0: Advanced Microscopy

Hello!

- Office B311 | haberthuer@ana.unibe.ch
- Master in Physics
- PhD in high resolution imaging of the lung at the Institute of Anatomy
- Post-Doc
 - TOMCAT, Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institute
 - μ CT-group, Institute of Anatomy (Ruslan Hlushchuk, David Haberthür, Oleksiy-Zakhar Khoma, Fluri Wieland, Carlos Correa Shokiche)
- Biomedical research
 - microangioCT [1]: Tumor vasculature, angiogenesis in the heart, musculature and bones
 - Lung imaging: Tumor detection and classification
 - Cancer research: Melanoma
 - Physiology: Zebrafish musculature and gills [2]
 - SkyScan 1172 & 1272

Contents

Overview

Imaging

Tomography

History

Interaction of x-rays with matter

Tomography today

A scan, from *getting started* to *nice image*

Example of a full study

Overview

Setup

Image processing

Biomedical imaging


- Medical research
- Non-destructive insights into the samples
- (Small) Biological samples




w.wiki/7g4 

Biomedical imaging


- Medical research
- Non-destructive analysis of the samples
- (Small) Biological samples



Wavelength & Scale



Wavelength & Scale




Yury Belyaev, MIC, slide from internal seminar presentation

Imaging methods

- Light microscopy: see lecture of Nadia Mercader Huber
- X-ray imaging
- Electron microscopy: see lectures *Transmission Electron Microscopy* by Dimitri Vanhecke, *Scanning Electron Microscopy* by Michael Stoffel and *Cryoelectron Microscopy & Serial Block Face SEM* by Ioan Iacovache.


CT-Scanner



youtu.be/2CWpZKuy-NE

History


- Long history
 - 1963: Cormack used a collimated ^{60}Co source and a Geiger counter as a detector [3]
 - 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [4]
 - Nice overview by Hsieh [5]
- First, second and third generation of scanners



From [5], Figure 1.12

History


- Long history
 - 1963: Cormack used a collimated ^{60}Co source and a Geiger counter as a detector [3]
 - 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [4]
 - Nice overview by Hsieh [5]
- First, second and third generation of scanners



From [5], Figure 1.13

History

- Long history
 - 1963: Cormack used a collimated ^{60}Co source and a Geiger counter as a detector [3]
 - 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [4]
 - Nice overview by Hsieh [5]
- First, second and third generation of scanners



From [5], Figure 1.14

X-ray interaction


- “X-rays interact with tissue in 2 main ways: photoelectric effect and Compton scatter. To a first approximation, the photoelectric effect contributes to contrast while the Compton effect contributes to noise. Both contribute to dose.” ([6])
 - Photoelectric absorption (τ) is strongly dependent on the atomic number Z of the absorbing material: $\tau \propto \frac{Z^4}{E^{3.5}}$
 - Compton scattering is one of the principle forms of photon interaction and is directly proportional to the (electron & physical) density of the material. It does *not* depend on the atomic number: $\lambda' - \lambda = \frac{h}{m_e c} (1 - \cos \theta)$
- Lowering x-ray energy increases contrast
- X-ray penetration decreases exponentially with sample thickness ([7, i. e. Beer-Lamberts law] $I(t) = I_0 e^{-\alpha z}$




Composition of biological tissues


Tissue: content by mass percentage

Element Atomic number	H 1	C 6	N 7	O 8	Na 11	P 15	S 16	Cl 17	K 19	Ca 20
Fat	11.4	59.8	0.7	27.8	0.1		0.1	0.1		
Water	11.2			88.8						
Blood	10.2	11	3.3	74.5	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	
Liver	10.2	13.9	3	71.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	
Brain	10.7	14.5	2.2	71.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	
Bone	3.4	15.5	4.2	43.5	0.1	10.3	0.3			22.5




From [8], Subject C3L-02465







From [8], Subject C3L-02465






From [8], Subject C3L-02465






From [8], Subject C3L-02465



Why μ CT?



From [8], Subject C3L-02465



Machinery

- Hospital CT
 - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
 - Voxel size around 7 μm (*in vivo*) or 0.5 μm (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
 - Voxel size down to 160 nm



flic.kr/p/D4rbom

Machinery

- Hospital CT
 - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
 - Voxel size around 7 μm (*in vivo*) or 0.5 μm (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
 - Voxel size down to 160 nm



flic.kr/p/fpTrGu cc BY SA

Machinery

- Hospital CT
 - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
 - Voxel size around $7 \mu\text{m}$ (*in vivo*) or $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
 - Voxel size down to 160 nm



bruker.com/skyscan1272

Machinery

- Hospital CT
 - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
 - Voxel size around 7 μm (*in vivo*) or 0.5 μm (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
 - Voxel size down to 160 nm



flic.kr/p/7Xhk2Y


Machinery

No matter what kind of machine, the basic principle is always the same

- an x-ray source
- a sample
- a detector


Machinery

What is happening?




w.wiki/7g3


Examples




Examples



Examples



Examples



Examples




Preparation

- Study design
- Sample preparation

Projections


Image formation: shadow projection



X-ray tube:
nearly point like
photon source

Contrast is given by
absorption of intensity I
by object → negative


$$\text{Contrast} = \frac{I_{IO} - I_B}{I_B}$$




14

Image formation: shadow projection


X-ray projection microscopy



Cosslett V E and Nixon W C X-ray Microscopy
Cambridge University Press 1960



Cosslett V and Nixon W
Nature 170 436–438 , 1952



Tabletop synchrotron
X-ray source Mirrorcle
2010

15


Projections



Projections

- A (micro-focus) x-ray source illuminates the object
- The x-rays penetrate the sample and are attenuated
- A scintillator converts the x-rays to visible light
- A (planar) x-ray detector collects (magnified) projection images.
- The projections are recorded on disk

Reconstructions



Reconstructions

- Based on hundreds of angular views acquired while the object rotates, a computer synthesizes a stack of virtual cross section slices through the object.
- Radon Transformation
- Filtered back projection
- Fan beam reconstruction
- Corrections (beam hardening, etc.)
- Writing to stack

Visualization



Visualization

- Based on reconstructions, a computer synthesizes a three-dimensional view of the scanned sample


What to use?

- ImageJ/Fiji [9]
- Also see *Fundamentals of Digital Image Processing* by Guillaume Witz
- Reproducible research
 -  in Jupyter [10]
 - **git**
 - Script all your things!
 - Data repositories; sharing is caring!

Quantitative data


- Raw numbers instead of just pretty images
- Segmentation
- Characterization

An example: Do gills change with training?



Campbell Biology [11]

Gill anatomy



X-ray microtomography

Gill anatomy




u^b

b
UNIVERSITÄT
BERN

How?

- Training for 5 w, 5 d/w, 6 h/d, at 66 % of critical speed [12]
 - Endurance




How?

- Training for 5 w, 5 d/w, 6 h/d, at 66 % of critical speed [12]
 - Endurance
- Morphology & Physiology
 - Body size & weight
 - O₂ consumption



How?

- Training for 5 w, 5 d/w, 6 h/d, at 66 % of critical speed [12]
 - Endurance
- Morphology & Physiology
 - Body size & weight
 - O₂ consumption
- Scanning electron microscopy
 - Gill structure



How?

- Training for 5 w, 5 d/w, 6 h/d, at 66 % of critical speed [12]
 - Endurance
- Morphology & Physiology
 - Body size & weight
 - O₂ consumption
- Scanning electron microscopy
 - Gill structure
- Critical point drying




How?

- Training for 5 w, 5 d/w, 6 h/d, at 66 % of critical speed [12]
 - Endurance
- Morphology & Physiology
 - Body size & weight
 - O₂ consumption
- Scanning electron microscopy
 - Gill structure
- Critical point drying, µCT imaging

```
Scanner=Skyscan1172
Instrument S/N=12001199
Hardware version=F
Software=Version 1. 5 (build 23)
Filename Prefix=Control05
Number of Files= 3979
Source Voltage (kV)= 49
Source Current (uA)= 167
Number of Rows= 2672
Number of Columns= 4000
Image Pixel Size (um)= 1.66
Object to Source (mm)=40.030
Camera to Source (mm)=212.399
Filter=No Filter
Exposure (ms)= 890
Rotation Step (deg)=0.050
Frame Averaging=ON (6)
Scan duration=08:55:28
Reconstruction Program=NRecon
Program Version=Version: 1.7.1.0
```

How?

- Training for 5 w, 5 d/w, 6 h/d, at 66 % of critical speed [12]
 - Endurance
- Morphology & Physiology
 - Body size & weight
 - O₂ consumption
- Scanning electron microscopy
 - Gill structure
- Critical point drying, µCT imaging, delineation in CTAn



How?

- Training for 5 w, 5 d/w, 6 h/d, at 66 % of critical speed [12]
 - Endurance
- Morphology & Physiology
 - Body size & weight
 - O₂ consumption
- Scanning electron microscopy
 - Gill structure
- Critical point drying, μ CT imaging, delineation in CTAn and analysis
 - Gill volume, structure and complexity




gph.is/2nqkple


Gill volume



Gill volume




Gill volume



Gill volume



Gill volume



Gill complexity



Gill complexity




Gill complexity



X-ray microtomography


Gill complexity



u^b

UNIVERSITÄT
IN

Gill complexity



Thanks!

- Thanks for listening to me!
- What questions do you have for me?

Colophon

- This BEAMER presentation was crafted in \LaTeX with the (slightly adapted) template from *Corporate Design und Vorlagen* of the University of Bern.
 - Complete source code: git.io/fjpP7
 - The \LaTeX code is automatically compiled with a GitHub action [1] to a (handout) PDF which you can access here: git.io/JeQxO
- Did you spot an error?
 - File an issue: git.io/fjpPb
 - Submit a pull request: git.io/fjpPN
 - Send me an email: haberthuer@ana.unibe.ch

[1] Details on how this works are specified in a small test repository here: git.io/JeOOj

References

- [1] Ruslan Hlushchuk et al. "Ex vivo microangioCT: Advances in microvascular imaging". DOI: [10.1016/j.vph.2018.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vph.2018.09.003).
- [2] Matthias Messerli et al. "Adaptation mechanism of the adult zebrafish respiratory organ to endurance training". DOI: [10.1101/744300](https://doi.org/10.1101/744300).
- [3] A. M. Cormack. "Representation of a Function by Its Line Integrals, with Some Radiological Applications". DOI: [10.1063/1.1729798](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1729798).
- [4] Godfrey Newbold Hounsfield. "Historical notes on computerized axial tomography.".
- [5] J Hsieh. *Computed tomography: principles, design, artifacts, and recent advances*. Society of Photo Optical.
- [6] Mark Hammer. *X-Ray Physics: X-Ray Interaction with Matter, X-Ray Contrast, and Dose*.
- [7] Wikipedia contributors. *Beer–Lambert law — Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*.
- [8] Kenneth Clark et al. "The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA): Maintaining and Operating a Public Information Repository". DOI: [10.1007/s10278-013-9622-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10278-013-9622-7).
- [9] Johannes Schindelin et al. "Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis". DOI: [10.1038/nmeth.2019](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019).
- [10] Thomas Kluyver et al. "Jupyter Notebooks – a publishing format for reproducible computational workflows". DOI: [10.3233/978-1-61499-649-1-87](https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-649-1-87).
- [11] Martha R. Taylor et al. *Campbell Biology: Concepts and Connections (9th Edition)*. ISBN: 9780134296012.
- [12] Arjan P. Palstra et al. "Establishing Zebrafish as a Novel Exercise Model: Swimming Economy, Swimming-Enhanced Growth and Muscle Growth Marker Gene Expression". DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0014483](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014483).