

u^b

b

**UNIVERSITY
OF BERN**

u^b X-ray microtomography

485018-HS2025-0: Advanced Course II Ultraprecision Engineering

David Haberthür

Institute of Anatomy, September 16, 2025

u^b

Grüessech mitenang!

- David Haberthür
 - Physicist by trade
 - PhD in high resolution imaging of the lung, Institute of Anatomy, University of Bern, Switzerland
 - Post-Doc I: TOMCAT, Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland
 - Post-Doc II: µCT group, Institute of Anatomy, University of Bern, Switzerland

u^b

Grüessech from the µCT group




David.Haberthuer@unibe.ch

Ruslan.Hlushchuk@unibe.ch

Oleksiy.Khoma@unibe.ch

μ CT-group

- microangioCT [1]
 - Angiogenesis: heart, musculature [2] and bones
 - Vasculature: (mouse) brain [3], (human) nerve scaffolds [4], (human) skin flaps [5] and tumors
- Zebrafish musculature and gills [6]
- (Lung) tumor detection and metastasis classification [7]
- Collaborations with museums [8] and scientist at UniBe [9] to scan a wide range of specimens
- Automate *all* the things! [10, 11]



bruker.com/skyscan1272

Contents

Overview & Imaging methods

Tomography

History

Interaction of x-rays with matter

Tomography today

A scan, from *getting started* to *nice image*

Examples

A study about teeth

Overview

Materials & Methods

Results

Metal foam analysis


A study on fish

- Dense and/or non-transparent samples
- Calibrated & isotropic 3D images at micron resolutions
- Covers a very large range of sample sizes
- Gives information at different length scales
- Nondestructive imaging, thus compatible with routine sample preparation.
Enables correlative imaging pipelines, scanning of museum & collection material

u^b

Biomedical imaging

- Medical research
- Non-destructive insights into the samples
- (Small) Biological samples




w.wiki/7g4 CC BY-NC-SA

Biomedical imaging

- Medical research
- Non-destructive
- (Small) Biology




u^b Wavelengths & Scales



w.wiki/7fz @①②

u^b Wavelengths & Scales



Introduction to Microscopy by Stefan Tschanz, Slide 1

Imaging methods

- Light (sheet) microscopy: see lecture of Nadia Mercader Huber
- X-ray imaging
- Electron microscopy
 - *Analytical electron microscopy* by Dimitri
 - *SEM Grundlagen* by Sabine Kässmeyer and Ivana Jaric
 - *Cryoelectron Microscopy & Serial Block Face SEM* by Ioan

u^b

CT-Scanner



youtu.be/2CWpZKuy-NE

u^b

CT History


- 1895: Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen discovers X-rays



w.wiki/BHAN ©

CT History

- 1895: Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen discovers X-rays
- 1963: Cormack used a collimated ^{60}Co source and a Geiger counter as a detector [12]
- 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [13]





From [14], Figure 5

CT History


- 1895: Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen discovers X-rays
- 1963: Cormack used a collimated ^{60}Co source and a Geiger counter as a detector [12]
- 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [13]
- Nobel Prize in 1979, jointly for Allan Cormack and Godfrey Hounsfield

U.S. Patent Feb. 24, 1976 Sheet 1 of 2 3,940,625



CT History


- 1895: Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen discovers X-rays
- 1963: Cormack used a collimated ^{60}Co source and a Geiger counter as a detector [12]
- 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [13]
- Nobel Prize in 1979, jointly for Allan Cormack and Godfrey Hounsfield
- CT scanner generations
 - First generation



From [16], Figure 1.12

CT History


- 1895: Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen discovers X-rays
- 1963: Cormack used a collimated ^{60}Co source and a Geiger counter as a detector [12]
- 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [13]
- Nobel Prize in 1979, jointly for Allan Cormack and Godfrey Hounsfield
- CT scanner generations
 - First generation
 - Second generation



From [16], Figure 1.13

CT History

- 1895: Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen discovers X-rays
- 1963: Cormack used a collimated ^{60}Co source and a Geiger counter as a detector [12]
- 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [13]
- Nobel Prize in 1979, jointly for Allan Cormack and Godfrey Hounsfield
- CT scanner generations
 - First generation
 - Second generation
 - Third generation



From [16], Figure 1.14

μ CT History I

- X-ray computed tomography began to replace analog focal plane tomography in the early 1970s [17]
- Non-medical use in the late 1970s, for detection of internal defects in fabricated parts and equipment
- Lee Feldkamp [18] developed an early laboratory microCT system by assembling a micro-focus cone beam x-ray source, specimen holder and stages, and an image intensifier at Ford Motor Company's Scientific Research Laboratory to nondestructively detect damage in ceramic manufactured automobile parts
- Feldkamp met with scientists at Henry Ford Hospital and University of Michigan interested in understanding the relationship between the microstructure and biomechanical function of trabecular bone to study osteoporotic fractures [19]

μ CT History II

- μ CT was first reported in the 1980s, for scanning gemstones
- Early 1990s: Manufacturers like SkyScan and Scanco Medical made μ CT systems commercially available
- Today: Nondestructive imaging for quantifying the (micro)structure of (organic) materials
 - Mineralized bone tissue and the relationships between the mechanical behavior of bone to its structural and compositional properties
 - Teeth and their internal details
 - Tissues, small animals, and medical devices like stents and implants
 - Soft tissues and vasculature using radio-opaque contrast agents
 - Characterization of anatomical details in high resolution
- \approx 2500 μ CT systems are in use worldwide with over 1000 publications annually

X-ray interaction

- “X-rays interact with tissue in 2 main ways: photoelectric effect and Compton scatter. To a first approximation, the photoelectric effect contributes to contrast while the Compton effect contributes to noise. Both contribute to dose.” ([20])
 - Photoelectric absorption (τ) is strongly dependent on the atomic number Z of the absorbing material: $\tau \propto \frac{Z^4}{E^{3.5}}$
 - Compton scattering is one of the principle forms of photon interaction and is directly proportional to the (electron & physical) density of the material. It does *not* depend on the atomic number: $\lambda' - \lambda = \frac{h}{m_e c} (1 - \cos \theta)$
- Lowering x-ray energy increases contrast
- X-ray penetration decreases exponentially with sample thickness [21, i. e. Beer-Lambers law]: $I(t) = I_0 e^{-\alpha z}$

Composition of biological tissues

Tissue: content by mass percentage


| Element Atomic number | H 1 | C 6 | N 7 | O 8 | Na 11 | P 15 | S 16 | Cl 17 | K 19 | Ca 20 |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| Fat | 11.4 | 59.8 | 0.7 | 27.8 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |
| Water | 11.2 | | | 88.8 | | | | | | |
| Blood | 10.2 | 11 | 3.3 | 74.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | |
| Liver | 10.2 | 13.9 | 3 | 71.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | |
| Brain | 10.7 | 14.5 | 2.2 | 71.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Bone | 3.4 | 15.5 | 4.2 | 43.5 | 0.1 | 10.3 | 0.3 | | | 22.5 |

u^b

Why μ CT?



From [22], Subject C3L-02465



u^b

Why μ CT?



From [22], Subject C3L-02465




u^b

Why μ CT?




From [22], Subject C3L-02465




u^b

Why μ CT?





From [22], Subject C3L-02465



u^b




Why μ CT?



Maximum intensity projection

Projections

Reducing the dimensions of a dataset. For example projecting a volume (3D) to a surface by taking the maximum value across planes for each pixel.



Machinery

- Hospital CT
 - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
 - Voxel size around 7 μm (*in vivo*)
 - Voxel size around 0.5 μm (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
 - Voxel size down to 160 nm



flic.kr/p/D4rbom @①②③

Machinery


- Hospital CT
 - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
 - Voxel size around 7 μm (*in vivo*)
 - Voxel size around 0.5 μm (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
 - Voxel size down to 160 nm



flic.kr/p/fpTrGu @@@

Machinery


- Hospital CT
 - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
 - Voxel size around 7 μm (*in vivo*)
 - Voxel size around 0.5 μm (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
 - Voxel size down to 160 nm



bruker.com/skyscan1272

Machinery

- Hospital CT
 - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
 - Voxel size around 7 μm (*in vivo*)
 - Voxel size around 0.5 μm (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
 - Voxel size down to 160 nm




flic.kr/p/7Xhk2Y @CC BY-NC-SA

u^b

What is happening?

No matter what kind of machine, the basic principle is always

- an x-ray source
- a sample
- a detector




w.wiki/7g3

u^b

Machinery


u^b

Examples




u^b

Examples



u^b


Examples



From [8], *Diancta phoenix*

u^b

Examples



u^b

Examples



u^b


Preparation

- Study design
- Sample preparation

Projections


X-ray generation and contrast

X-ray tube:
nearly point like
photon source



Contrast is given by
absorption of intensity I


Note that contrast is negative
X-ray shadowgraphy
is a bright field technique



Contrast, Magnification and Resolution—Laws of Physics for Microscopists (1, 2022) by Martin Frenz, Slide 21

u^b

Projections



Projections

- A (micro-focus) x-ray source illuminates the object
- The x-rays penetrate the sample and are attenuated
- A scintillator converts the x-rays to visible light
- A (planar) x-ray detector collects (magnified) projection images.
- The projections are recorded on disk

u^b

Reconstructions



Reconstructions

- Based on hundreds of angular views acquired while the object rotates, a computer synthesizes a stack of virtual cross section slices through the object.
- Radon Transformation
- Filtered back projection
- Cone beam reconstruction [18]
- Corrections (beam hardening, etc.)
- Writing to stack

u^b


Visualization



- Based on the reconstructions, a computer synthesizes a three-dimensional view of the scanned sample


u^b

Demo: Acquisition




u^b

Demo: Reconstruction




u^b

Demo: Reconstruction



u^b

Demo: Visualization



u^b

What to use?

- ImageJ/Fiji [**Schindelin2012**]
- Also see *Fundamentals of Digital Image Processing* by Guillaume Witz
- Reproducible research
 -  in Jupyter [**Kluyver2016**]
 - **git**
 - Script all your things!
 - Data repositories; i. e. sharing is caring!

Quantitative data


- Pretty images are nice to have, but science is built on quantitative data.
- Segmentation
- Characterization

u^b

Internal morphology of human teeth

Collaboration with zmk bern – Zahnmedizinische Kliniken

- Number
- Surface
- Internal structure
- Analytical




How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- μ CT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to **Briseno-Marroquin2015** [Briseno-Marroquin2015]
- *Reproducible* analysis [**Haberthuer2020a**], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!



How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- µCT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to **Briseno-Marroquin2015 [Briseno-Marr]**
- *Reproducible* analysis [**Haberthuer2020a**], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!



bruker.com/skyscan1272

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- µCT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to **Briseno-Marroquin2015** [Briseno-Marroquin2015]
- *Reproducible* analysis [Haberthuer2020a], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!

```
Scanner=SkyScan1272
Instrument S/N=15G09089-B
Software Version=1.1.19
Filename Prefix=Tooth045~00
Number Of Files= 482
Number Of Rows= 1092
Number Of Columns= 1632
Source Voltage (kV)= 80
Source Current (uA)= 125
Image Pixel Size (um)=9.999986
Exposure (ms)=950
Rotation Step (deg)=0.400
Frame Averaging=ON (3)
Filter=Al 1mm
Study Date and Time=02 Jul 2020 08h:23m:34
s
Scan duration=0h:39m:51s
```


- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- μ CT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to **Briseno-Marroquin2015** [Briseno-Marroquin2015]
- *Reproducible* analysis [Haberthuer2020a], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!

Sample changer on the SkyScan 1272
In total:

- 13 days of *continuous* μ CT scanning
- 819 GB of raw data
- 230 648 TIFF projections
- 326 GB data as input for analysis
- 282 062 PNG reconstructions

How?


- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- μ CT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to **Briseno-Marroquin2015 [Briseno-Mai]**
- *Reproducible* analysis [**Haberthuer2020a**], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!



From [Briseno-Marroquin2015], Fig. 2

How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- μ CT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to **Briseno-Marroquin2015 [Briseno-Marroquin2015]**
- *Reproducible* analysis [**Haberthuer2020a**], e.g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!



From [Wolf2017], Fig. 1

How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- µCT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to **Briseno-Marroquin2015 [Briseno-Marroquin2015]**
- *Reproducible* analysis [**Haberthuer2020a**], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!



gph.is/2nqkple

u^b

How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- µCT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to **Briseno-Marroquin2015 [Briseno-Marroquin2015]**
- *Reproducible* analysis [**Haberthuer2020a**], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!

The screenshot shows a GitHub repository interface. At the top, there are buttons for 'master', 'branch', 'tag', 'Go to file', 'Add file', and 'Code'. Below this is a table of files with their last commit details:

| File | Last Commit |
|----------------------------|--|
| habi Update actions file | adilus 20 days ago 87 commits |
| ghfus/workflows | Update actions file 30 days ago |
| ghfzne | Only 'moder' changes 2 months ago |
| DownloadFromOSF.ipynb | Clean run of download script 32 days ago |
| README.md | Type in Binder badge & link to full repo on Binder 32 days ago |
| Tooth.Border.jpg | Only 'moder' changes 3 months ago |
| Tooth.Characterization.jpg | Only 'moder' changes 2 months ago |
| ToothAnalysis.ipynb | Only select a subset if we actually have data req 32 days ago |
| ToothDatabase.sqlite | Clean run of notebook 32 days ago |
| ToothDisplay.ipynb | Display ToothD45 for manuscript 32 days ago |
| requirements.txt | We also need this 2 months ago |
| treeboard.yaml | Add treeboard configuration 20 days ago |

Below the table is a 'README.md' file content box:

```
HEADME.md
DOI: 10.5281/reviews.3999443 | Treeboard.pdf | Index
Search | Help


A big tooth cohort

We scanned a big bunch of teeth for a team of the dental clinic of the University of Bern.

To get an overview of the samples while we scanned the whole tooth cohort we generated a preview and analysis notebook. The analysis notebook (with download possibility for two of the +100 teeth) can be started in your browser by clicking on the 'Binder' badge above, without installation of any software. If you'd like to start a Binder Instance with the full repository, you can click here.
```

μ b


μ CT imaging



u^b

Dataset cropping


- Full datasets: 326 GB
- Cropped datasets: 115 GB



u^b

Dataset cropping

- Full datasets: 326 GB
- Cropped datasets: 115 GB




u^b

Tooth morphology



u^b


Tooth morphology



From [Briseno-Marroquin2015], Fig. 2




u^b

Tooth morphology




u^b

Detection of enamel-dentin border



u^b

Detection of enamel-dentin border




u^b

Classification of root canal configurations

| Roots | RCC | # | % | |
|---------------|---------|---------|------|------|
| Single (N=98) | 1-1-1/1 | 73 | 74.5 | |
| | 1-1-1/2 | 14 | 14.3 | |
| | 1-1-1/3 | 1 | 1.0 | |
| | 1-1-1/4 | 2 | 2.1 | |
| | 1-1-2/1 | 1 | 1.0 | |
| | 1-2-1/1 | 4 | 4.1 | |
| | 1-2-1/2 | 1 | 1.0 | |
| | 1-2-2/2 | 1 | 1.0 | |
| | 2-3-1/1 | 1 | 1.0 | |
| | | | | |
| Double (N=3) | Buccal | 1-1-1/1 | 2 | 66.6 |
| | | 1-2-1/1 | 1 | 33.3 |
| | Lingual | 1-1-1/1 | 2 | 66.6 |
| | | 1-1-1/2 | 1 | 33.3 |


u^b

Extraction of root canal space




u^b

Results of root canal space extraction



u^b


Physiological foramen geometry



From [Wolf2017], Fig. 1

u^b

Physiological foramen geometry




Conclusion ZMK

- Efficient use of time, e. g. more teeth does not mean more (human) work
- Reproducible analysis with *free and open-source* software, usable by *anyone*
- Objective analysis, e. g. no operator bias

u^b


Metal foam



Data wrangling by example: Cichlids

Collaboration with the Institute of Ecology and Evolution [11]


- 133 Cichlids from Lake Victoria, East Africa
 - Functional anatomy of the skulls and jaws
 - 6–18 cm in size
- 375 scans in total
 - Voxelsizes from 3.5–50 μm
 - 46 days of scanning time
 - 9.8 TB of raw data
 - 1.5 TB/+1 000 000 reconstructions



DOI:gsst8t, Fig. 1


u^b

Visualization of cichlid head




u^b

Visualization of cichlid head





u^b

Visualization of segmented pharyngeal jaw







u^b

Data wrangling by example: Cichlids



u^b

Data wrangling by example: Cichlids



u^b

Thanks!

- Thanks for listening to me!
- What questions do you have for me?

References I

- [1] Ruslan Hlushchuk et al. "Cutting-Edge Microangio-CT: New Dimensions in Vascular Imaging and Kidney Morphometry". (Mar. 2018). DOI: [10.1152/ajprenal.00099.2017](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.00099.2017) (slide 5).
- [2] Henry Nording et al. "The C5a/C5a Receptor 1 Axis Controls Tissue Neovascularization through CXCL4 Release from Platelets". (Dec. 2021). DOI: [10.1038/s41467-021-23499-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23499-w) (slide 5).
- [3] Ruslan Hlushchuk et al. "Innovative High-Resolution microCT Imaging of Animal Brain Vasculature". (Oct. 2020). DOI: [10.1007/s00429-020-02158-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-020-02158-8) (slide 5).
- [4] Tsering Wüthrich et al. "Development of Vascularized Nerve Scaffold Using Perfusion-Decellularization and Recellularization". (Aug. 2020). DOI: [10.1016/j.msec.2020.111311](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2020.111311) (slide 5).
- [5] Cédric Zubler et al. "The Anatomical Reliability of the Superficial Circumflex Iliac Artery Perforator (SCIP) Flap". (Mar. 2021). DOI: [10.1016/j.aanat.2020.151624](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aanat.2020.151624) (slide 5).

References II

- [6] Matthias Messerli et al. "Adaptation Mechanism of the Adult Zebrafish Respiratory Organ to Endurance Training". (Feb. 2020). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228333 (slide 5).
- [7] Verdiana Trappetti et al. "Synchrotron Microbeam Radiotherapy for the Treatment of Lung Carcinoma: A Pre-Clinical Study". (Aug. 2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.07.1717 (slide 5).
- [8] Estée Bochud et al. "A New Diancta Species of the Family Diplommatinidae (Cyclophoroidea) from Vanua Levu Island, Fiji". (Nov. 2021). DOI: 10.3897/zookeys.1073.73241 (slide 5, 38).
- [9] Sebastian Halm et al. "Micro-CT Imaging of Thiel-embalmed and Iodine-Stained Human Temporal Bone for 3D Modeling". (2021). DOI: 10.1186/s40463-021-00522-0 (slide 5).
- [10] David Haberthür et al. "Automated Segmentation and Description of the Internal Morphology of Human Permanent Teeth by Means of Micro-CT". (Apr. 2021). DOI: gjpw2d (slide 5, 55).

References III

- [11] David Haberthür et al. "Microtomographic Investigation of a Large Corpus of Cichlids". (Sept. 2023). DOI: gsst8t (slide 5, 79).
- [12] A. M. Cormack. "Representation of a Function by Its Line Integrals, with Some Radiological Applications". (Sept. 1963). DOI: 10.1063/1.1729798 (slide 14).
- [13] Godfrey Newbold Hounsfield. "Historical Notes on Computerized Axial Tomography.". (1976) (slide 14).
- [14] E C Beckmann. "CT Scanning the Early Days.". (Jan. 2006). DOI: 10.1259/bjr/29444122 (slide 15).
- [15] *US3940625A - Apparatus for Examining Objects by Means of Penetrating Radiation - Google Patents.* <https://patents.google.com/patent/US3940625A/> (slide 16).
- [16] J Hsieh. *Computed Tomography: Principles, Design, Artifacts, and Recent Advances.* 2003 (slide 17, 18, 19).
- [17] Angela S.P. Lin et al. "Microcomputed Tomography". *Springer Handbook of Microscopy.* Cham, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-00069-1_24 (slide 20).

References IV

- [18] L. A. Feldkamp et al. “Practical Cone-Beam Algorithm”. (June 1984). DOI: 10.1364/JOSAA.1.000612 (slide 20, 46).
- [19] LA Feldkamp et al. “Investigation of 3-Dimensional Structure of Trabecular Bone by Computed-Tomography of Iliac Biopsy Samples”. *Calcified Tissue International*. 1983 (slide 20).
- [20] Mark Hammer. *X-Ray Physics: X-Ray Interaction with Matter, X-Ray Contrast, and Dose*. <http://xrayphysics.com/attenuation.html> (slide 22).
- [21] Wikipedia contributors. *Beer–Lambert Law — Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. 2019 (slide 22).
- [22] Kenneth Clark et al. “The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA): Maintaining and Operating a Public Information Repository”. (Dec. 2013). DOI: 10.1007/s10278-013-9622-7 (slide 24).

Colophon

- This BEAMER presentation was crafted in \LaTeX with the (slightly adapted) template from *Corporate Design und Vorlagen* of the University of Bern.
 - Complete source code: git.io/fjpP7
 - The \LaTeX code is automatically compiled with a GitHub action to a (handout) PDF which you can access here: git.io/JeQxO
- Did you spot an error?
 - File an issue: git.io/fjpPb
 - Submit a pull request: git.io/fjpPN
 - Send me an email: david.haberthuer@unibe.ch