

*u*<sup>b</sup>

---

*b*

**UNIVERSITÄT  
BERN**

# X-ray microtomography

**David Haberthür**

December 22, 2023 | 9256-HS2023-0: Advanced Microscopy

# Grüessech mitenang!

- David Haberthür
  - Physicist by trade
  - PhD in high resolution imaging of the lung, Institute of Anatomy, University of Bern, Switzerland
  - Post-Doc I: TOMCAT, Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland
  - Post-Doc II: µCT group, Institute of Anatomy, University of Bern, Switzerland.

# Grüessech from the $\mu$ CT-group




[David.Haberthuer@unibe.ch](mailto:David.Haberthuer@unibe.ch)

[Ruslan.Hlushchuk@unibe.ch](mailto:Ruslan.Hlushchuk@unibe.ch)

[Oleksiy.Khoma@unibe.ch](mailto:Oleksiy.Khoma@unibe.ch)

# $\mu$ CT-group

- microangioCT [1]
  - Angiogenesis: heart, musculature [2] and bones
  - Vasculature: (mouse) brain [3], (human) nerve scaffolds [4], (human) skin flaps [5] and tumors
- Zebrafish musculature and gills [6]
- (Lung) tumor detection and metastasis classification [7]
- Collaborations with museums [8] and scientist at UniBe [9, 10] to scan a wide range of specimens, from human hearing bones to meteorites
- Automate *all* the things! [11, 12]



[bruker.com/skyscan1272](http://bruker.com/skyscan1272)

# Contents

## Overview

Imaging methods

## Tomography

History

Tomography today

Interaction of x-rays with matter

## A scan, from *getting started* to *nice image*

## Example: A study about teeth


Overview

Materials & Methods

Results

# micro-Computed tomography


- Allows for imaging dense and non-transparent samples
- Non-destructive imaging
- Results in three-dimensional images
- Covers a very large range of sample sizes




From [13], Subject C3L-02465

# micro-Computed tomography

- Allows for imaging dense and non-transparent samples
- Non-destructive imaging, thus compatible with routine sample preparation
- Results in three-dimensional images with  $\mu\text{m}$  resolution
- Covers a very large range of sample sizes
- (Small) biological samples
- Enables correlative imaging pipelines, scanning of precious biological samples, as well as museum & collection material



# Imaging methods



- *Light Sheet Microscopy* by Nadia Mercader Huber
- X-ray imaging
- Electron microscopy
  - *Transmission Electron Microscopy* by Dimitri
  - *Scanning Electron Microscopy* by Sabine Kässmeyer and Ivana Jaric
  - *Cryoelectron Microscopy & Serial Block Face SEM* by Ioan

Stefan Tschanz, with permission


# CT-Scanner



[youtu.be/2CWpZKuy-NE](https://youtu.be/2CWpZKuy-NE)

# CT History


- 1963: Cormack used a collimated  $^{60}\text{Co}$  source and a Geiger counter as a detector [14]
- 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [15]



From [16], Figure 5

# CT History


- 1963: Cormack used a collimated  $^{60}\text{Co}$  source and a Geiger counter as a detector [14]
- 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [15]
- CT scanner generations
  - First generation



From [17], Figure 1.12

# CT History


- 1963: Cormack used a collimated  $^{60}\text{Co}$  source and a Geiger counter as a detector [14]
- 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [15]
- CT scanner generations
  - First generation
  - Second generation



From [17], Figure 1.13

# CT History

- 1963: Cormack used a collimated  $^{60}\text{Co}$  source and a Geiger counter as a detector [14]
- 1976: Hounsfield worked on first clinical scanner [15]
- CT scanner generations
  - First generation
  - Second generation
  - Third generation



From [17], Figure 1.14


# $\mu$ CT History I

- X-ray computed tomography began to replace analog focal plane tomography in the early 1970s [18]
- Non-medical use in the late 1970s, for detection of internal defects in fabricated parts and equipment
- Lee Feldkamp [19] developed one of the early laboratory microCT systems by assembling a micro-focus cone beam x-ray source, specimen holder and stages, and an image intensifier at Ford Motor Company's Scientific Research Laboratory to nondestructively detect damage in ceramic manufactured automobile parts
- Feldkamp met with scientists at Henry Ford Hospital and University of Michigan interested in understanding the relationship between the microstructure and biomechanical function of trabecular bone to study osteoporotic fractures [20]


## $\mu$ CT History II

- $\mu$ CT was first reported in the 1980s, for scanning gemstones to cut out the largest possible one
- Today: Nondestructive imaging for quantifying the (micro)structure of organic materials
  - Mineralized bone tissue and the relationships between the mechanical behavior of bone to its structural and compositional properties
  - Teeth and their internal details
  - Soft tissues and vasculature using radio-opaque contrast agents
  - Characterization of anatomical details in high resolution
- $\approx$ 2500  $\mu$ CT systems are in use worldwide with over 1000 publications annually

# Why $\mu$ CT?



From [13], Subject C3L-02465




# Why $\mu$ CT?




From [13], Subject C3L-02465




# Why $\mu$ CT?




From [13], Subject C3L-02465



# Why $\mu$ CT?




From [13], Subject C3L-02465



# Why $\mu$ CT?



From [13], Subject C3L-02465



# Maximum intensity projection


## Projections

$u^b$   

---

b  
UNIVERSITÄT  
BERN

Reducing the dimensions of a dataset. For example projecting a volume (3D) to a surface by taking the maximum value across planes for each pixel.



# Machinery

- Hospital CT
  - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
  - Voxel size around 7  $\mu\text{m}$  (*in vivo*)
  - Voxel size around 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
  - Voxel size down to 160 nm



flic.kr/p/D4rbom

# Machinery


- Hospital CT
  - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
  - Voxel size around 7  $\mu\text{m}$  (*in vivo*)
  - Voxel size around 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
  - Voxel size down to 160 nm



[flic.kr/p/fpTrGu](https://flic.kr/p/fpTrGu)

# Machinery

- Hospital CT
  - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
  - Voxel size around 7  $\mu\text{m}$  (*in vivo*)
  - Voxel size around 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
  - Voxel size down to 160 nm



[bruker.com/skyscan1272](http://bruker.com/skyscan1272)

# Machinery

- Hospital CT
  - Voxel size around 0.5 mm
- Lab/Desktop CT
  - Voxel size around 7  $\mu\text{m}$  (*in vivo*)
  - Voxel size around 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  (*ex vivo*)
- Synchrotron CT
  - Voxel size down to 160 nm




[flic.kr/p/7Xhk2Y](https://flic.kr/p/7Xhk2Y)

# What is happening?

No matter what kind of machine, the basic principle is always the same

- an x-ray source
- a sample
- a detector




(hier: Flachbilddetektor)


w.wiki/7g3

# Machinery


## Examples



## Examples




## Examples



From [8], *Diancta phoenix*

## Examples



# Examples



# X-ray interaction

- “X-rays interact with tissue in 2 main ways: photoelectric effect and Compton scatter. To a first approximation, the photoelectric effect contributes to contrast while the Compton effect contributes to noise. Both contribute to dose.” ([21])
  - Photoelectric absorption ( $\tau$ ) is strongly dependent on the atomic number  $Z$  of the absorbing material:  $\tau \propto \frac{Z^4}{E^{3.5}}$
  - Compton scattering is one of the principle forms of photon interaction and is directly proportional to the (electron & physical) density of the material. It does *not* depend on the atomic number:  $\lambda' - \lambda = \frac{h}{m_e c} (1 - \cos \theta)$
- Lowering x-ray energy increases contrast
- X-ray penetration decreases exponentially with sample thickness [22, i. e. Beer-Lamberts law]:  $I(t) = I_0 e^{-\alpha z}$

# Composition of biological tissues

Tissue: content by mass percentage

Element	H	C	N	O	Na	P	S	Cl	K	Ca
Atomic number	1	6	7	8	11	15	16	17	19	20
Fat	11.4	59.8	0.7	27.8	0.1		0.1	0.1		
Water	11.2			88.8						
Blood	10.2	11	3.3	74.5	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	
Liver	10.2	13.9	3	71.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	
Brain	10.7	14.5	2.2	71.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	
Bone	3.4	15.5	4.2	43.5	0.1	10.3	0.3			22.5


# Preparation

- Study design
- Sample preparation

# Projections


## X-ray generation and contrast

X-ray tube:  
nearly point like  
photon source




Contrast is given by  
absorption of intensity I


Note that contrast is negative  
X-ray shadowgraphy  
is a bright field technique



# Projection acquisition




# Projections




# Projections

- A (micro-focus) x-ray source illuminates the object
- The x-rays penetrate the sample and are attenuated
- A scintillator converts the x-rays to visible light
- A (planar) x-ray detector collects (magnified) projection images.
- The projections are recorded on disk

# Reconstructions



# Reconstructions



# Reconstructions



# Reconstructions

- Based on hundreds of angular views acquired while the object rotates, a computer synthesizes a stack of virtual cross section slices through the object.
- Radon Transformation
- Filtered back projection
- Cone beam reconstruction [19]
- Corrections (beam hardening, etc.)
- Writing to stack

# Visualization



# Visualization

- Based on reconstructions, a computer synthesizes a three-dimensional view of the scanned sample

# What to use?

- ImageJ/Fiji [23]
- Also see *Fundamentals of Digital Image Processing* by Guillaume Witz
- Reproducible research
  -  in Jupyter [24]
  - git
  - Script all your things!
  - Data repositories; i. e. sharing is caring!

# Quantitative data

- Pretty images are nice, but we need quantitative numbers
- Segmentation
- Characterization

# Internal morphology of human teeth

Collaboration with zmk bern – Zahnmedizinische Kliniken

- Numbers instead of just pretty images
- Segmentation of teeth and root canal
- (Unbiased) Characterization
- Reproducible and automated image analysis ( in Jupyter [24])
- Two publications:
  - [11]: doi.org/gjpw2d
  - [25]: doi.org/g7r8


# How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- $\mu$ CT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to Briseño-Marroquín et al. [26]
- *Reproducible* analysis [27], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!



# How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- $\mu$ CT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to Briseño-Marroquín et al. [26]
- *Reproducible* analysis [27], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!



[bruker.com/skyscan1272](http://bruker.com/skyscan1272)

# How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- µCT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to Briseño-Marroquín et al. [26]
- *Reproducible* analysis [27], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!

Scanner=SkyScan1272  
Instrument S/N=15G09089-B  
Software Version=1.1.19  
Filename Prefix=Tooth045~00  
Number Of Files= 482  
Number Of Rows= 1092  
Number Of Columns= 1632  
Source Voltage (kV)= 80  
Source Current (uA)= 125  
Image Pixel Size (um)=9.999986  
Exposure (ms)=950  
Rotation Step (deg)=0.400  
Frame Averaging=ON (3)  
Filter=A1 1mm  
Study Date and Time=02 Jul 2020  
08h:23m:34s  
Scan duration=0h:39m:51s

# How?


- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- µCT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to Briseño-Marroquín et al. [26]
- *Reproducible* analysis [27], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!

*Sample changer* on the SkyScan 1272  
In total:

- 13 days of *continuous* µCT scanning
- 819 GB of raw data  
230 648 TIFF projections
- 326 GB data as input for analysis  
282 062 PNG reconstructions

# How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- $\mu$ CT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to Briseño-Marroquín et al. [26]
- *Reproducible* analysis [27], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!



From [26], Fig. 2

# How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- $\mu$ CT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to Briseño-Marroquín et al. [26]
- *Reproducible* analysis [27], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!



[gph.is/2nqkple](https://gph.is/2nqkple)

# How?

- 104 extracted human permanent mandibular canines
- µCT imaging
- Root canal configuration, according to Briseño-Marroquín et al. [26]
- *Reproducible* analysis [27], e. g. you can click a button to double-check or recalculate the results yourself!

The screenshot shows a GitHub repository page for a project named 'habi'. The repository has 87 commits. The commits are listed below:

File	Description	Date
.github/workflows	Update actions file	20 days ago
.gitignore	Only 'mode' changes	2 months ago
DownloadFromOSF.ipynb	Clean run of download script	22 days ago
README.md	Typo in Binder badge & link to full repo on Binder	22 days ago
Tooth.Border.ipg	Only 'mode' changes	2 months ago
Tooth.Characterization.ipnb	Only 'mode' changes	2 months ago
ToothAnalysis.ipynb	Only select a subset if we actually have data:wq	22 days ago
ToothAxisSize.ipynb	Clean run of notebook	22 days ago
ToothDisplay.ipynb	Display Tooth045 for manuscript	22 days ago
requirements.txt	We also need this	2 months ago
treebeard.yaml	Add treebeard configuration	20 days ago

Below the commits is a 'README.md' file with the following content:

```
DOE 10.5281/zenodo.3999402 treebeard.yaml failing
```


[Launch Binder](#)

**A big tooth cohort**

We scanned a big bunch of teeth for a team of [the dental clinic of the University of Bern](#).


To get an overview of the samples while we scanned the whole tooth cohort we generated a [preview](#) and [analysis notebook](#). The analysis notebook (with download possibility for two of the ~100 teeth) can be started in your browser by clicking on the 'Binder' badge above, without installation of any software. If you'd like to start a Binder instance with the full repository, you can click [here](#).

# $\mu$ CT imaging




# Dataset cropping

- Full datasets: 326 GB
- Cropped datasets: 115 GB



# Dataset cropping


- Full datasets: 326 GB
- Cropped datasets: 115 GB



# Tooth morphology




# Tooth morphology




From [26], Fig. 2

# Tooth morphology



# Detection of enamel-dentin border



# Detection of enamel-dentin border


Tooth045




# Outcome root canal configuration classification

Roots	RCC	#	%
Single (N=98)	1-1-1/1	73	74.5
	1-1-1/2	14	14.3
	1-1-1/3	1	1.0
	1-1-1/4	2	2.1
	1-1-2/1	1	1.0
	1-2-1/1	4	4.1
	1-2-1/2	1	1.0
	1-2-2/2	1	1.0
	2-3-1/1	1	1.0
Double (N=3)	Buccal	1-1-1/1	2
		1-2-1/1	1
	Lingual	1-1-1/1	2
		1-1-1/2	1

# Extraction of root canal space



# Results of root canal space extraction



# Conclusion ZMK

- Efficient use of time, e. g. more teeth does not mean more (human) work
- Reproducible analysis with *free and open-source* software, usable by *anyone*
- Objective analysis, e. g. no operator bias

# Thanks!

- Thanks for listening to me!
- What questions do you have for me?

# Colophon

- This BEAMER presentation was crafted in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X with the (slightly adapted) template from *Corporate Design und Vorlagen* of the University of Bern.
  - Complete source code: [git.io/fjpP7](https://git.io/fjpP7)
  - The L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X code is automatically compiled with a GitHub action onto the (handout) PDF linked on ILIAS ([git.io/JeQxO](https://git.io/JeQxO))
- Did you spot an error?
  - File an issue: [git.io/fjpPb](https://git.io/fjpPb)
  - Submit a pull request: [git.io/fjpPN](https://git.io/fjpPN)
  - Send me an email: [david.haberthuer@unibe.ch](mailto:david.haberthuer@unibe.ch)

# References I

- [1] Ruslan Hlushchuk et al. "Ex Vivo microangioCT: Advances in Microvascular Imaging". DOI: 10.1016/j.vph.2018.09.003.
- [2] Henry Nording et al. "The C5a/C5a Receptor 1 Axis Controls Tissue Neovascularization through CXCL4 Release from Platelets". DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-23499-w.
- [3] Ruslan Hlushchuk et al. "Innovative High-Resolution microCT Imaging of Animal Brain Vasculature". DOI: 10.1007/s00429-020-02158-8.
- [4] Tsering Wüthrich et al. "Development of Vascularized Nerve Scaffold Using Perfusion-Decellularization and Recellularization". DOI: 10.1016/j.msec.2020.111311.
- [5] Cédric Zubler et al. "The Anatomical Reliability of the Superficial Circumflex Iliac Artery Perforator (SCIP) Flap". DOI: 10.1016/j.aanat.2020.151624.
- [6] Matthias Messerli et al. "Adaptation Mechanism of the Adult Zebrafish Respiratory Organ to Endurance Training". DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228333.
- [7] Verdiana Trappetti et al. "Synchrotron Microbeam Radiotherapy for the Treatment of Lung Carcinoma: A Pre-Clinical Study". DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.07.1717.

## References II

- [8] Estée Bochud et al. "A New Diancta Species of the Family Diplommatinidae (Cyclophoroidea) from Vanua Levu Island, Fiji". DOI: 10.3897/zookeys.1073.73241.
- [9] Sebastian Halm et al. "Micro-CT Imaging of Thiel-embalmed and Iodine-Stained Human Temporal Bone for 3D Modeling". DOI: 10.1186/s40463-021-00522-0.
- [10] Yogita Kadlag et al. "Physical Properties and Average Atomic Numbers of Chondrules Using Computed Tomography". DOI: 10.1016/j.pss.2023.105799.
- [11] David Haberthür et al. "Automated Segmentation and Description of the Internal Morphology of Human Permanent Teeth by Means of Micro-CT". DOI: gjpw2d.
- [12] David Haberthür et al. "Microtomographic Investigation of a Large Corpus of Cichlids". DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0291003.
- [13] Kenneth Clark et al. "The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA): Maintaining and Operating a Public Information Repository". DOI: 10.1007/s10278-013-9622-7.
- [14] A. M. Cormack. "Representation of a Function by Its Line Integrals, with Some Radiological Applications". <http://is.gd/b0Bnr>. DOI: 10.1063/1.1729798.

## References III

- [15] Godfrey Newbold Hounsfield. "Historical Notes on Computerized Axial Tomography.". *BJR*
- [16] E C Beckmann. "CT Scanning the Early Days.". DOI: 10.1259/bjr/29444122.
- [17] J Hsieh. *Computed Tomography: Principles, Design, Artifacts, and Recent Advances*. Society of Photo Optical.
- [18] Angela S.P. Lin et al. "Microcomputed Tomography". *Springer Handbook of Microscopy*. Springer Handbooks. Cham: Springer International Publishing. ISBN: 978-3-030-00069-1. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-00069-1\_24.
- [19] L. A. Feldkamp et al. "Practical Cone-Beam Algorithm". DOI: 10.1364/JOSAA.1.000612.
- [20] LA Feldkamp et al. "Investigation of 3-Dimensional Structure of Trabecular Bone by Computed-Tomography of Iliac Biopsy Samples". *Calcified Tissue International*. SPRINGER VERLAG 175 FIFTH AVE, NEW YORK, NY 10010.
- [21] Mark Hammer. *X-Ray Physics: X-Ray Interaction with Matter, X-Ray Contrast, and Dose*.
- [22] Wikipedia contributors. *Beer–Lambert Law — Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. [Online; accessed 6-December-2019].
- [23] Johannes Schindelin et al. "Fiji: An Open-Source Platform for Biological-Image Analysis". DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.2019.

## References IV

- [24] Thomas Kluyver et al. "Jupyter Notebooks – a Publishing Format for Reproducible Computational Workflows". DOI: 10.3233/978-1-61499-649-1-87.
- [25] Thomas Gerhard Wolf et al. "Internal Morphology of 101 Mandibular Canines of a Swiss-German Population by Means of Micro-CT: An Ex Vivo Study". DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-00758-w.
- [26] Benjamín Briseño-Marroquín et al. "Root Canal Morphology and Configuration of 179 Maxillary First Molars by Means of Micro-Computed Tomography: An Ex Vivo Study". DOI: 10.1016/j.joen.2015.09.007.
- [27] David Haberthür. "Habi/Zmk-Tooth-Cohort: Used for Manuscript about Method". DOI: 10.5281/ZENODO.3999402.
- [28] Thomas Gerhard Wolf et al. "Three-Dimensional Analysis of the Physiological Foramen Geometry of Maxillary and Mandibular Molars by Means of Micro-CT". DOI: 10.1038/ijos.2017.29.