Coursera Beta Louis Chatriot Preferences All Courses About Contact Us Logout



Stanford University Natural Language Processing

Dan Jurafsky, Professor of Linguistics Chris Manning, Associate Professor of Computer Science

Home

Syllabus

Course Logistics

FAQ List

Problem Sets

Programming Assignments

Video Lectures

Lecture Slides

Discussion Forums

About Us

Subtitling: How to Help

Subtitling: Our Videos

PA6 Parsing — View Assignment

Instructions	Hamayyark Gulmanlamanting
Submission	Go to Assignments List page to submit your solutions.
Hard Deadline	Tue 22 May 2012 11:59:00 PM PDT
Due Date	Tue 8 May 2012 11:59:00 PM PDT
Assignment Name	PA6 Parsing

Homework 6: Implementing CKY [starter code]

Due: Tuesday May 1 11:59pm Pacific Daylight Time

Introduction

For this project, you will build a probabilistic parser by implementing the CKY parser. We will be using the Manually Annotated Sub-Corpus (MASC) from the American National Corpus (ANC): http://www.anc.org/MASC/Home.html. The data is provided in the code (downloadable at the top of this page as usual).

The Code

At the beginning of the main method in PCFGParserTester. {java,py} some and test trees are read in. Currently, the training trees are used to construct a baseline parser (aptly named BaselineParser) that implements the Parser interface (which only has two methods: train and {getBestParse, get_best_parse} . The parser is then used to predict trees for the sentences in the test set. For each test sentence the parse given by your parser is evaluated by comparing the constituents it generates with the constituents in the hand-parsed version. From this, precision, recall, and the F1 score are calculated.

This baseline parser is really quite poor — it takes a sentence, tags each word with its most likely tag (i.e., a unigram tagger), then looks for occurrences of the tag sequence in the training set. If it finds an exact match, it answers with the training parse of the matching training sentence. If no match is found, it constructs a right-branching tree, with nodes' labels chosen independently, conditioned only on the length of the span of a node. If this sounds like a strange (and terrible) way to parse, it should, and you're going to provide a better solution.

You should familiarize yourself with these basic classes:

- ling.Tree: CFG tree structures (pretty-print with ling.Trees.PennTreeRenderer)
- Lexicon: Pre-terminal productions and probabilities
- Grammar, UnaryRule, BinaryRule: CFG rules and accessors

(For those wondering about ling.Trees.PennTreeRenderer, we will not be reading in Penn Treebank data but this Renderer is a good format for displaying any trees, the MASC included.) Tree is a linguistic tree class that you will use no matter what kind of parser you implement. Lexicon is a minimal lexicon, but it handles rare and unknown words adequately for the present purposes. You can use it to determine the pre-terminal productions for your parser if you like. Grammar is a class you can use to learn a PCFG from the training trees. Since the training

set is hand-parsed this learning is very easy. We simply set

$$\hat{P}(N^j
ightarrow \zeta) = rac{C(N^j
ightarrow \zeta)}{\sum_{\gamma} C(N^j
ightarrow \gamma)}$$

where $C(N^j \to \gamma)$ is the count observed for that production in the data set. While you could consider smoothing rule rewrite probabilities, it is sufficient for this assignment to just work with unsmoothed MLE probabilities for rules. (Doing anything else makes things rather more complex and slow, since every rewrite will have a nonzero probability, so you should definitely get things working with an unsmoothed grammar before considering adding smoothing!)

UnaryRule and BinaryRule are simply the classes the grammar uses to store these learned productions. They each bear the frequency estimated probabilities from the training set. Although it is not required, we strongly recommend that you get your parser working on the miniTest dataset before you attempt the treebank datasets. The miniTest data set consists of 3 training sentences and 1 test sentence from a toy grammar. There are just enough productions in the training set for the test sentence to have an ambiguity due to PP-attachment. There are unary, binary, and ternary grammar rules in training sentences.

As discussed in the lecture videos, most parsers require grammars in which the rules are at most binary branching. You can binarize and unbinarize trees using the TreeAnnotations class. The implementation we give you binarizes the trees in a way that doesn't generalize the grammar at all. You should run some trees through the binarization process to get the idea of what's going on. If you annotate/binarize the training trees, you should be able to construct a Grammar out of them using the constructor provided.

Running the Code

Make sure you can run the main method of the PCFGParserTester class. You can either run it from the data directory, or pass that directory in as the --path value. Use the --data value to specify which dataset to use (miniTest or masc). In Java, you will need to compile the project first, which you can do by calling ./ant from the java/ directory. Running:

```
java -cp classes -mx500m
nlpclass.assignments.PCFGParserTester --path ../data/ --
data miniTest
```

Ol

```
python PCFGParserTester.py --path ../data/ --data
miniTest
```

from the <code>java/</code> or <code>python/</code> directories, respectively, will train and test your parser on a few sentences from a toy grammar. Running:

```
java -cp classes -mx500m
nlpclass.assignments.PCFGParserTester --path ../data/ --
data masc
```

or

```
python PCFGParserTester.py --path ../data/ --data masc
```

will train and test your parser on the MASC dataset.

Notice we also provide you with run scripts in the python/ and java/ directories that have the commands required to run the program. You can run this script by calling ./run in the java/ or python/ directory (depending on your language of choice).

Your Task

Your first job is to build a parser using this grammar. For the miniTest dataset your parser should match the given parse of the test sentence exactly. Once you've got this working you can move on to the MASC dataset.

Scan through a few of the training trees in the MASC dataset to get a sense of the range of inputs. Something you'll notice is that the grammar has relatively few non-terminal symbols but thousands of rules, many ternary-branching or longer. Currently there are 38 MASC train files and 11 test files. (You can look in the data directory if you're curious about the native format of these files.) The static integer MAX_LENGTH determines the maximum length of sentences to test on (it does not affect the training set). You can lower MAX_LENGTH for preliminary experiments, but your final parser should work on sentences of at least length 20 in a reasonable time (5 seconds per 20-word sentence in Java is achievable with some optimization, although it will be considerably slower in Python).

Once you have a parser working on the treebank, your next task is improve upon the supplied grammar by adding 2nd-order vertical markovization. This means using parent annotation symbols like NP^S to indicate a subject noun phrase instead of just NP. You can test your new grammar on the miniTest data set if you want, though the results won't be very interesting. When you test it on the treebank the results will be minimal but substantive (a 2% improvement over the parser using the original grammar). At this point an F1 performance in the mid-70% range is achievable.

All in all, there are only 3 places in the code (all in PCFGParserTester) that you will have to fill in your own code. Those places are marked with the usual TODO markers.

Grading

For this assignment we will use your average F1 score to evaluate the correctness of your CKY parser, although in essence you ought to know from the output on the development set (devtest) whether your parser is implemented correctly.

We will grade your assignment based on the F1 you achieve on the development set (devtest) as well as the F1 you achieve on a held out set of sentences and their hand-parsed trees.

Submitting

It is **crucial** that you implement the PCFGParser, as that is the class that we try to run when submitting. This also means that if you try to submit before implementing something for the PCFGParser, it will fail due to <code>getBestParse</code> (<code>get_best_parse</code>) returning <code>null</code> (<code>None</code>). In order to submit, run

```
$ java -server -mx500m -cp classes
nlpclass.assignments.Submit
```

from the java/ directory, or

```
$ python submit.py
```

from the python/ directory. You will be prompted with the options to submit for either parts (the development set given to you and a test set of sentences that are hidden to you).

Again, you may find the submit scripts helpful in the <code>java/</code> and <code>python/</code> directories.