

Automatic correction of the location of web elements in automated test cases with the help of the FTPK tool

test-automation group

ELTE

April 2023

Outline

Introduction

- Automated tests

- Motivation & Approach

Related works

- Test script repair

- Robust script generators

- AI based

- Shortcomings

Methodology

Results

Discussion

Q&A

Automated tests

- ▶ improve quality
- ▶ quicker
- ▶ less expensive
- ▶ increased test coverage
- ▶ better accuracy

Motivation & Approach

Motivation:

- ▶ Maintaining automated test cases is time-consuming
- ▶ Test oracle problem

Our approach:

- ▶ GUI testing
- ▶ more robust
- ▶ relocalize the changed web element by its attributes
- ▶ correct behaviour or not
- ▶ adjust automated test cases

Test script repair

- ▶ ATER
- ▶ WATERFALL
- ▶ COLOR
- ▶ Erratum
- ▶ Similo

Robust script generators

- ▶ ROBULA
- ▶ ATA
- ▶ ATA-QW
- ▶ SIDEREAL
- ▶ Leotta's Multi-Locator (LML)

AI based

- ▶ Fuzzy-DEMATEL
- ▶ Neuro-Fuzzy Logic
- ▶ Dalia Alamleh's approach

Shortcomings

Handicaps of Similo:

- ▶ threshold value
- ▶ test oracle
- ▶ can be expensive
- ▶ not accurate weights

Methodology

- ▶ combine Similo & Dalia Alamleh's approach
- ▶ fix Similo weights by ELTE study
- ▶ run tests
- ▶ get failed tests
- ▶ classify failed tests by the not found web elem.
- ▶ run tests
- ▶ run DAA fuzzy logic to distinguish correct and incorrect code changes
- ▶ put aside the tests for incorrect code changes → FAILED
- ▶ run Similo on one test from each group with (correct changes)
- ▶ rerun failed tests
- ▶ show results to human

Results

- ▶ 90% repair
- ▶ 120% performance increase
- ▶ 200% classification increase
- ▶ 160% accuracy increase

Discussion

- ▶ new tool (FTPK)
- ▶ ELTE
- ▶ We are awesome!

Q&A