BIOSTAT 650 SEC 002 HW5

Jaehoon Kim

2024-10-16

Problem 1

(a)

Let the full model be:

$$Y_{dep} = \beta_0 + \beta_{age} X_{age} + \beta_{sex} X_{sex} + \beta_{RE} X_{RE} + \beta_{edu} X_{edu} + \beta_{hty} X_{hty} + \beta_{hch} X_{hch} + \beta_{AF} X_{AF} + \beta_{CAD} X_{CAD} + \beta_{dia} X_{dia} + \beta_{str} X_{str} + \beta_{NIH} X_{NIH} + \beta_{com} X_{com} + \beta_{fat} X_{fat} + \epsilon$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = SSE(\beta_0, \beta_{age}, \beta_{sex}, \beta_{RE}, \beta_{edu}, \beta_{hty}, \beta_{hch}, \beta_{AF}, \beta_{CAD}, \beta_{dia}, \beta_{str}, \beta_{NIH}, \beta_{com}, \beta_{fat})/(n-15)$$

The sequence of hypothesis is

1.
$$H_0: \beta_{age}=\beta_{sex}=\beta_{RE}=0$$
 vs. $H_1: \beta_{age}\neq 0, \, \beta_{sex}\neq 0$ or $\beta_{RE}\neq 0.$

$$F = \frac{SS(\beta_{age}, \beta_{sex}, \beta_{RE}|\beta_0)/3}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim F_{3,n-15}$$

If the null hypothesis is rejected, go to the next step; otherwise, stop.

2. $H_0: \beta_{edu} = 0$ vs. $H_1: \beta_{edu} \neq 0$, adjusting for age, sex and race/ethnicity.

$$F = \frac{SS(\beta_{edu}|\beta_{age}, \beta_{sex}, \beta_{RE}, \beta_0)/1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim F_{1,n-15}$$

If null hypothesis is rejected, go the next step. Otherwise, stop.

3. $H_0: \beta_{hty} = \beta_{hch} = \beta_{AF} = \beta_{CAD} = \beta_{dia} = \beta_{str} = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1: \beta_{hty} \neq 0, \beta_{hch} \neq 0, \beta_{AF} \neq 0, \beta_{CAD} \neq 0, \beta_{dia} \neq 0, or\beta_{str} \neq 0, \text{adjusting for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and education.}$

$$F = \frac{SS(\beta_{hty}, \beta_{hch}, \beta_{AF}, \beta_{CAD}, \beta_{dia}, \beta_{str} | \beta_{edu}, \beta_{age}, \beta_{sex}, \beta_{RE}, \beta_0) / 7}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim F_{7, n-15}$$

If the null hypothesis is rejected, go to the next step. Otherwise, stop.

4. $H_0: \beta_{NIH} = \beta_{com} = 0$ vs. $H_1: \beta_{NIH} \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_{com} \neq 0$, adjusting for age, sex, race/ethnicity,education and stroke risk factors.

$$F = \frac{SS(\beta_{NIH}, \beta_{com} | \beta_{hty}, \beta_{hch}, \beta_{AF}, \beta_{CAD}, \beta_{dia}, \beta_{str}, \beta_{edu}, \beta_{age}, \beta_{sex}, \beta_{RE}, \beta_0)/2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim F_{2,n-15}$$

If the null hypothesis is rejected, go to the next step. Otherwise, stop.

 $5.H_0: \beta_{fat} = 0$ vs. $H_1: \beta_{fat} \neq 0$, adjusting for age, sex, race/ethnicity,education and stroke risk factors, stroke severity, and comorbity

$$F = \frac{SS(\beta_{fat}|\beta_{NIH},\beta_{com},\beta_{hty},\beta_{hch},\beta_{AF},\beta_{CAD},\beta_{dia},\beta_{str},\beta_{edu},\beta_{age},\beta_{sex},\beta_{RE},\beta_{0})/1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \sim F_{2,n-15}$$

If null hypothesis is rejected, go to the next step. Otherwise, stop.

(b)

Let the following vector notations of beta coeffecients be defined as such:

Let A, B denote the SS numerator and SSE denominator for the F test respectively.

Step	Var. Tested	SS(num.)	SS(Denom.)	TestStatistics
Ι.	$oldsymbol{eta}_{demo}$	$SS(oldsymbol{eta_{demo}} eta_0)$	$SSE(\beta_{Fatalism}, \beta_{Stroke}, \beta_{Risk}, \beta_{Edu}, \beta_{demo}, \beta_0)$	$F = \frac{A/3}{B/597}$
II.	$oldsymbol{eta}_{Edu}$	$SS(oldsymbol{eta}_{Edu} oldsymbol{eta}_{demo},eta_0)$	$SSE(\beta_{Fatalism}, \beta_{Stroke}, \beta_{Risk}, \beta_{Edu}, \beta_{demo}, \beta_0)$	$F = \frac{A/1}{B/597}$
III.	$oldsymbol{eta}_{Risk}$	$SS(oldsymbol{eta}_{Risk} oldsymbol{eta}_{Edu},oldsymbol{eta}_{demo},eta_0)$	$SSE(\beta_{Fatalism}, \beta_{Stroke}, \beta_{Risk}, \beta_{Edu}, \beta_{demo}, \beta_0)$	$F = \frac{A/7}{B/597}$
IV.	$oldsymbol{eta}_{Stroke}$	$SS(oldsymbol{eta}_{Stroke} oldsymbol{eta}_{Risk},oldsymbol{eta}_{Edu},oldsymbol{eta}_{demo},eta_0)$	$SSE(\beta_{Fatalism}, \beta_{Stroke}, \beta_{Risk}, \beta_{Edu}, \beta_{demo}, \beta_0)$	$F = \frac{A/2}{B/597}$
V.	$oldsymbol{eta}_{Fatalism}$	$SS(\beta_{Fatalism} \beta_{Stroke},\beta_{Risk},\beta_{Edu},\beta_{demo},\beta_0)$	$SSE(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{Fatalism}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{Stroke}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{Risk}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{Edu}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{demo}, \beta_0)$	$F = \frac{A1}{B/597}$

Result table below

Response: Depression

```
mySASData = read.sas7bdat("C:/Users/aquil/Desktop/STAT 650/completedata.sas7bdat")
var = c(
"Depression",
"Age",
"Sex",
"R_E",
"Education",
"Htn",
"HiChol",
"Afib",
"Cad",
"Db",
"CurrentSmoker",
"HxStroke",
"NIHScore",
"Comorbidity1",
"Fatalism"
)
newData = mySASData[,var]
n = nrow(newData)
full_model = paste0(var[-1], collapse = ' + ')
full_model = paste0("Depression",' ~ ', full_model)
design = model.matrix(as.formula(full_model), data = newData)
full.lm = lm(formula = as.formula(full_model),data = newData)
#Analysis of Variance Table
aov = anova(full.lm)
aov
## Analysis of Variance Table
```

```
##
                Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
                                           Pr(>F)
## Age
                 1 640.1 640.10 26.2341 4.087e-07 ***
                      87.6 87.59 3.5899 0.058616 .
## Sex
                            9.35 0.3831 0.536168
## R_E
                      9.3
                 1
## Education
                     108.0 107.95 4.4244 0.035846 *
                 1
## Htn
                1 561.3 561.34 23.0062 2.042e-06 ***
## HiChol
                1 16.5 16.47 0.6750 0.411656
                           22.66 0.9286 0.335606
## Afib
                 1
                      22.7
## Cad
                 1
                      4.2
                            4.22 0.1729 0.677737
## Db
                 1 186.5 186.47 7.6424 0.005877 **
## CurrentSmoker 1 41.6 41.59 1.7046 0.192194
                      48.0 48.01 1.9678 0.161200
## HxStroke
                 1
                1
## NIHScore
                           42.55 1.7440 0.187136
                      42.6
                      20.2
## Comorbidity1 1
                           20.16 0.8264 0.363687
## Fatalism
               1
                     812.9 812.85 33.3143 1.261e-08 ***
## Residuals
               597 14566.5
                             24.40
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
SSY = sum(aov$`Sum Sq`)
SSQ = aov$`Sum Sq`
MSE = aov$`Mean Sq`[15]
#Sum of Squares for each step:
ss1 = sum(SSQ[1:3])
print(ss1)
## [1] 737.0381
ss2 = sum(SSQ[4])
print(ss2)
## [1] 107.9535
ss3 = sum(SSQ[5:11])
print(ss3)
## [1] 880.7591
ss4 = sum(SSQ[12:13])
print(ss4)
## [1] 62.71662
ss5 = sum(SSQ[14])
print(ss5)
## [1] 812.8529
#Test Statistics for each step:
fstat1 = ss1/3/MSE
pval1 = 1-pf(q = fstat1, df1 = 3, df2 = n-15)
print(c(fstat1, pval1))
## [1] 1.006902e+01 1.764708e-06
fstat2 = ss2/1/MSE
pval2 = 1-pf(q = fstat2, df1 = 1, df2 = n-15)
print(c(fstat2, pval2))
```

[1] 4.42441053 0.03584616

```
fstat3 = ss3/7/MSE
pval3 = 1-pf(q = fstat3, df1 = 7, df2 = n-15)
print(c(fstat3, pval3))

## [1] 5.156769e+00 1.028334e-05
fstat4 = ss4/2/MSE
pval4 = 1-pf(q = fstat4, df1 = 2, df2 = n-15)
print(c(fstat4, pval4))

## [1] 1.2852016 0.2773589
```

```
fstat5 = ss5/1/MSE
pval5 = 1-pf(q = fstat5, df1 = 1, df2 = n-15)
print(c(fstat5, pval5))
```

[1] 3.331429e+01 1.260721e-08

Step	Tested Var.	SS(Num.)	SS(Denom.)	Test Stat.	Dist.	p-value	Decision	Stopping Rule	Decision
I	$oldsymbol{eta}_{Demo}$	737.0381	14566.5	1.006902e+01	$F_{3,597}$	1.764708e- 06	Reject	Do not stop	Collect
II	$oldsymbol{eta}_{Edu}$	107.9535	14566.5	4.42441053	$F_{1,597}$	0.03584616	Reject	Do not stop	Collect
III	$oldsymbol{eta}_{Risk}$	880.7591	14566.5	5.156769e+00	$F_{7,597}$	1.028334e- 05	Reject	Do not stop	Collect
IV	$oldsymbol{eta}_{Stroke}$	62.71662	14566.5	1.2852016	$F_{2,597}$	0.2773589	Fail to Reject	Stop	Not Collect
V	$oldsymbol{eta}_{Fatalism}$	812.8529	14566.5	3.331429e+01	$F_{1,597}$	1.260721e- 08	Reject	NA	Not Collect

If we use the stopping rule as shown above, We would not be able to test whether fatalism is associated with depression after adjusting for all other covariates previously tested, even though we are able to see that the p-value for the test would have been very small had we been able to test it.