Article Title

Oliver Hümbelin

Bern University of Applied Sciences oliver.huembelin@bfh.ch

RUDOLF FARYS

University of Bern rudolf.farys@soz.unibe.ch

Abstract

Maximal 200 Wörter

I. Introduction

The purpose of the following paper is threefold. First of all, information about economic inequality in Switzerland is sparse. E.g. the well known Worldbank data do not contain any Gini-coefficient for Switzerland which is a rare exception within Europe. We try to fill this gap with official data from the Swiss Federal Tax Administration (FTA). Second, we assess the suitability of the FTA data to report inequality and its changes over time. An important aspect here is that the data do not contain all income earning persons in Switzerland but are left censored as federal taxes are payable only above a certain threshold. We report magnitude and variance of this bias. Third, we integrate the development of swiss inequality into the international picture. We can show similarities and differences to the worldwide trends and therefore contribute to the classical theories ([7], [8]).

II. How did inequality change over time

I. Previous evidence (Literatursammlung haben wir)

Information about inequality is sparse in Switzerland. For example Gini coefficients have been reported for certain periods, e.g. [1],

[3], [4], [5], [9], [6], [2]. While most studies report Gini coefficients for isolated or very view points of time, [1] provide the longest and most consistent time series of inequality in Switzerland which is however outdated by years. We use the same source, that is data from the Federal Tax Administration (FTA) but expand the time series to 2010.

Oli: du kannst französisch oder? Könntest du Abele (1977) mal überfliegen, ob das so stimmt?

II. Data quality (previous data)

Evtl. überflüssig

III. Kuznets, Great-U-Turn, Welfare State

Literature on inequality and its development over time is very extensive for most developed countries. One branch of theory focusses on [7] ideas about sectoral change, that is the transition from an agrarian (primary sector) to an industrial country (secondary sector) and with the extensions of [8] the emergence of a tertiary sector within which incomes are varying more. What can be seen from international comparative research is that patterns of changing inequality are similar for many developed countries which strenghens arguments like the one Kuznets made but parallel other ideas exist why patterns are similar to each other. One

concurrent argument is that the developments and downsizings of welfare states occured in the same periods of history for many countries (e.g. after the oil crisis). Therefore we want to contribute the information from Switzerland to the "big picture".

IV. Switzerland compared to the rest of the world

Hier könnten wir gleich mal eine Gesamtschweizer Grafik droppen, Vergleich zu Europa/USA. Sowas wie du in den SGS Slides hattest.

Die ganze Sektion gehört aber evtl. zu "Results"

III. Hypotheses, Methods and Data

I. Hypotheses

Based on the theories we test the following hypotheses:

- H1: Develpment of inequality is driven by sectoral change
- H2: Development of inequality is driven by political change, i.e. economic crisis contribute to inequality because welfare states tend to be downsized

II. Data and Variables

We use data from the Swiss Federat Tax Administration (FTA) where our data about incomes ranges from the years 1941/42 to 2010. While the data results in a long and consistent time series to illustrate swiss inequality development, there are a few pitfalls we want to adress which might be of interest for other research on this topic (be it in Switzerland or other countries).

II.1 Left censored data

The FTA provides data about all tax units in Switzerland that are liable to pay federal taxes. A tax unit may be a single person or a household. The taxable population however is not identical to the population which should be

used to calculate measures of inequality. Precisely, the data do not contain tax units with very little incomes so calculations based on these data treat the lowest percentiles equally to tax units with zero income. Figure X shows the threshold to be hit to enter the statistic.

[FIGURE X ABOUT HERE] soll zeigen: Zeitreihe der Untergrenze von 1941-2010

So first of all, there is a bias in the level of an inequality measure one could calculate with the FTA data. Furthermore, also the changes over time might not be interpreted savely as over time the number of tax unit within this "hidden range" might vary or might even have a certain trend. We will adress this issue in detail in the methods chapter.

II.2 Different measures, different populations

The FTA data makes two kinds of distinctions. First, data was collected for so called "normal cases" and "special cases", i.e. a "normal case" is a taxable (for the complete tax period) person or household domiciled in a swiss canton without income from outside of Switzerland. A "special case" therefore is a diffuse reference category that contains tax units that are taxed at source, were not taxable for the complete tax period or generated additional income in another country. Second, the FTA reports two measures, that is taxable income and absolute income (meine vorläufige Übersetzung von Reineinkommen). Absolute income is the sum of all incomes (earnings, interest income, rental incomes) minus expenses (e.g. from selfemployment or credit cost). The taxable income is calculated as the difference of absolute income and deductions (e.g. children, insurance rates). The longest consistent time series exists for the taxable income of normal cases. So all statements we make with our data only apply to this subpopulation.

II.3 Changes in taxation and measurement

The swiss tax system is highly federal. That means, communities raise taxes which then go to the communities, the canton and the state. If we want to calculate overall swiss measures, we need to take into account, that cantons vary (between cantons and over time) with regard to the tax deductions that are possible and also the mechanism how taxes are collected. The latter adresses a comprehensive reorganization of the swiss tax system where between 1995 and 2003 cantons changed from taxing the past two years of income (postnumerando system) to taxing the present single year (praenumerando system). For details see Martinez (xxxx) or some other author (xxxx). Aggregate measures of inequality therefore have to be estimated for the periods 1995 to 2003 which we address shortly in the methods chapter.

III. Methodology Used

There are two steps of data analyses which need to be described to the reader. First, the estimation of the bias we introduce by estimating measures of inequality when tax units with too little income are not observed. Second, the steps undertaken to estimate aggregate swiss measures by imputing taxable income for those cantons and periods where the change of the tax system produced a gap (1995 to 2003, depending on the canton).

III.1 Imputing the gap

The imputation is not a focus of the paper so we basically follow the most simple approach of Martinez (xxxx). That is estimating the missing taxable income statistics via OLS using information from time trends and cantons. Our imputation model therefore includes canton inequality measures and periods dummies to explain aggregate swiss inequality.

III.2 Estimating the bias

For most of the observed range (1941 to 2010) we do not have any information how many tax units fall into the category of having income that is not zero but is too little to qualify for federal taxation. However starting 1995, the FTA provides exactly this information for each canton. This enables us to estimate the

bias we introduce for each canton and each period between 1995 and 2010. Consequently we can obtain information whether the bias is stable over time (which maes it possible to safely interpret the changes of inequality over time) and whether the bias is different for each canton. Unterschiede zwischen Kantonen wären gut um zu argumentieren, dass andere Länder auch davon betroffen sind, in etwa sowas wie "je höher der Steuerfreibetrag, umso stärker der Bias". Länder de erst sehr spät besteuern (und über nicht Besteuerte dann auch nicht Buch führen) haben einen krassen Bias. Wir könnten dann empfehlungen geben, ab welchem Perzentil man save interpretieren kann oder so.

IV. RESULTS

Gesamtschweizer Grafik (mit imputierten Daten) einmal eine Linie mit Bias, einmal ohne Kantonsweise Grafiken Kuznets / U-Turn, Test der Hypothesen mit den final Daten. Der link zwischen rein deskriptiv und Theorie plus Modell ist aktuell noch ein krasser Drahtseilakt...

V. Discussion

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

VI. Acknowledgements

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetuer.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hanns Abele and Ambros Luethi. La repartition personnelle des revenus en suisse entre 1941 et 1972. In *La politique économique de la Suisse*. Editions Universitaires, 1977.
- [2] Anthony B. Atkinson. *Income distribution in advanced economies : the evidence from the Luxembourg income study (LIS)*. Working paper series / Luxembourg Income Study. 1995.
- [3] Brigitte I. Buhmann. Wohlstand und Armut in der Schweiz: eine empirische Analyse für 1982. Basler sozialökonomische Studien. Grüsch: Rüegger, 1988.
- [4] Urs Ernst. *Die Wohlstandsverteilung in der Schweiz*. Basler sozialökonomische Studien. Rüegger, 1983.

- [5] Michael F. Förster and Marco Mira d'Ercole. Income distribution and poverty in oecd countries in the second half of the 1990s. OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers 22, OECD Publishing, March 2005.
- [6] Bettina Isengard. Umverteilung als staatliches regulierungsinstrument: Eine vergleichende analyse für deutschland und die schweiz. *Schweizerische Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 35(3), 2009.
- [7] Simon Kuznets. Economic growth and income inequality. *The American Economic Review*, 45(1):1–28, 1955.
- [8] Francois Nielsen and Arthur S. Alderson. The kuznets curve and the great u-turn: Income inequality in U.S. counties, 1970 to 1990. *American Sociological Review*, 62(1):12, February 1997.
- [9] Christian Suter and Marie-Claire Mathey. Wirksamkeit und Umverteilungseffekte staatlicher Sozialleistungen: Zusatzauswertungen zur nationalen Armutsstudie. Bundesamt für Statistik, 2002.

VII. APPENDIX