GROUP SCHEDULING AND ASSIGNMENT: COMPLEXITY AND ALGORITHMS

A DISSERTATION
SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
AND THE COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES
OF STANFORD UNIVERSITY
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Hooyeon Lee
December 2016

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Virginia V. Williams) Principal Adviser

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Ashish Goel)

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Yoav Shoham)

Approved for the Stanford University Committee on Graduate Studies

Abstract

Acknowledgments

Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Introduction

Chapter 2

Complexity of Group Scheduling Problem I

Chapter 3

Complexity of Group Scheduling Problem II

Chapter 4

Complexity of Group Activity Selection Problem

Chapter 5

Complexity of Stable Invitations Problem

Chapter 6

Incentive Compatibility in Group Assignment Problems

Chapter 7

Open Problems

Bibliography

[1] Harold Abelson, Gerald Jay Sussman, and Julie Sussman. *Structure and Interpretation of Computer Programs*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1985.

[2] Noga Alon, Raphael Yuster, and Uri Zwick. Color-coding. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 42(4):844–856, 1995.

[3] George E. Andrews. Euler's 'exemplum memorabile inductionis fallacis' and q-trinomial. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 3(3):653–669, 1990.

[4] Haris Aziz and Florian Brandl. Existence of stability in hedonic coalition formation games. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pages 763–770, 2012.

[5] Coralio Ballester. Np-completeness in hedonic games. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 49(1):1–30, 2004.

[6] Robert Baumgartner, Georg Gottlob, and Sergio Flesca. Visual information extraction with Lixto. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Very Large Databases*, pages 119–128, Rome, Italy, September 2001. Morgan Kaufmann.

[7] P. Berry, B. Peintner, K. Conley, M. Gervasio, T. Uribe, and N. Yorke-Smith. Deploying a personalized time management agent. In *Proceedings of the fifth international joint conference on Autonomous agents and multiagent systems*, pages 1564–1571. ACM, 2006.

[8] Anna Bogomolnaia and Matthew O. Jackson. The stability of hedonic coalition structures. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 38(2):201–230, 2002.

[9] Ronald J. Brachman and James G. Schmolze. An overview of the KL-ONE knowledge representation system. *Cognitive Science*, 9(2):171–216, April–June 1985.

[10] M.H. Chia, D.E. Neiman, and V.R. Lesser. Coordinating asynchronous agent activities in a distributed scheduling system. In *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Multi-Agent Systems (ICMAS'98)*, 1998.

[11] Peter Cowling, Graham Kendall, and Eric Soubeiga. A hyperheuristic approach to scheduling a sales summit. In Edmund Burke and Wilhelm Erben, editors, *Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling III*, volume 2079 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 176–190. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2001.

[12] E. Crawford and M. Veloso. Learning to select negotiation strategies in multi-agent meeting scheduling. *Progress in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 584–595, 2005.

[13] Andreas Darmann. Group activity selection from ordinal preferences. In Toby Walsh, editor, *Algorithmic Decision Theory*, volume 9346 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 35–51. Springer International Publishing, 2015.

[14] Andreas Darmann, Edith Elkind, Sascha Kurz, Jrme Lang, Joachim Schauer, and Gerhard Woeginger. Group activity selection problem. In PaulW. Goldberg, editor, *Internet and Network Economics*, volume 7695 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 156–169. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.

[15] J.C. de Borda. Mémoire sur les élections au scrutin. 1781.

[16] K. Decker and J. Li. Coordinated hospital patient scheduling. In *Multi Agent Systems, 1998. Proceedings. International Conference on*, pages 104–111. IEEE, 1998.

[17] Rodney G Downey and Michael Ralph Fellows. *Parameterized complexity*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[18] Jacques H. Dreze and Joseph Greenberg. Hedonic coalitions: Optimality and stability. *Journal of the Econometric Society*, pages 987–1003, 1980.

[19] E. Ephrati, G. Zlotkin, and J.S. Rosenschein. A non-manipulable meeting scheduling system. In *Proceedings of the 13th international workshop on distributed artificial intelligence*, pages 105–125, 1994.

[20] M. S. Franzin, F. Rossi, E. C. Freuder, and R. Wallace. Multi-agent constraint systems with preferences: Efficiency, solution quality, and privacy loss. *Computational Intelligence*, 20(2):264–286, 2004.

[21] E.C. Freuder, M. Minca, and R.J. Wallace. Privacy/efficiency tradeoffs in distributed meeting scheduling by constraint-based agents. In *Proc. IJCAI DCR*, pages 63–72, 2001.

[22] Michael R. Garey, David S. Johnson, and Larry Stockmeyer. Some simplified np-complete graph problems. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 1(3):237–267, 1976.

[23] L. Garrido and K. Sycara. Multi-agent meeting scheduling: Preliminary experimental results. In *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Multiagent Systems*, pages 95–102, 1996.

[24] Georg Gottlob. Complexity results for nonmonotonic logics. *Journal of Logic and Computation*, 2(3):397–425, June 1992.

[25] Georg Gottlob, Nicola Leone, and Francesco Scarcello. Hypertree decompositions and tractable queries. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 64(3):579–627, May 2002.

[26] M. Hannebauer and S. Müller. Distributed constraint optimization for medical appointment scheduling. In *Proceedings of the fifth international conference on Autonomous agents*, pages 139–140. ACM, 2001.

[27] A.B. Hassine, X. Defago, and T.B. Ho. Agent-based approach to dynamic meeting scheduling problems. In *Proceedings of the Third International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems-Volume 3*, pages 1132–1139. IEEE Computer Society, 2004.

[28] Russell Impagliazzo and Ramamohan Paturi. Complexity of k-sat. In *Computational Complexity, 1999. Proceedings. Fourteenth Annual IEEE Conference on*, pages 237–240. IEEE, 1999.

[29] N. R. Jennings, A. J. Jackson, and London E Ns. Agent-based meeting scheduling: A design and implementation, 1995.

[30] Hooyeon Lee and Yoav Shoham. Optimizing time and convenience in group scheduling. In *Proceedings of the 2014 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems*, pages 1345–1346. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2014.

[31] Hooyeon Lee and Yoav Shoham. Stable invitations. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, January 25-30, 2015, Austin, Texas, USA.*, pages 965–971, 2015.

[32] Hector J. Levesque. Foundations of a functional approach to knowledge representation. *Artificial Intelligence*, 23(2):155–212, July 1984.

[33] Hector J. Levesque. A logic of implicit and explicit belief. In *Proceedings of the Fourth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 198–202, Austin, Texas, August 1984. American Association for Artificial Intelligence.

[34] P. Maes. Agents that reduce work and information overload. *Communications of the ACM*, 37(7):30–40, 1994.

[35] Leon Mann, Mark Radford, Paul Burnett, Steve Ford, Michael Bond, Kwok Leung, Hiyoshi Nakamura, Graham Vaughan, and Kuo-Shu Yang. Cross-cultural differences in self-reported decision-making style and confidence. *International Journal of Psychology*, 33(5):325–335, 1998.

[36] T.M. Mitchell, R. Caruana, D. Freitag, J. McDermott, D. Zabowski, et al. Experience with a learning personal assistant. *Communications of the ACM*, 37(7):80–91, 1994.

[37] Bernhard Nebel. On the compilability and expressive power of propositional planning formalisms. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 12:271–315, 2000.

[38] D.E. Neiman, D.W. Hildum, V.R. Lesser, T. Sandholm, et al. Exploiting meta-level information in a distributed scheduling system. In *PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE*, pages 394–394. JOHN WILEY & SONS LTD, 1994.

[39] Katharina Reinecke, Minh Khoa Nguyen, Abraham Bernstein, Michael Näf, and Krzysztof Z Gajos. Doodle around the world: Online scheduling behavior reflects cultural differences in time perception and group decision-making. In *Proceedings of the 2013 conference on Computer supported cooperative work*, pages 45–54. ACM, 2013.

[40] S. Sen and E.H. Durfee. A formal study of distributed meeting scheduling. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, 7(3):265–289, 1998.

[41] S.T.F. St. Satisfying user preferences while negotiating meetings. 1997.

[42] K. Sycara, S.F. Roth, N. Sadeh, and M.S. Fox. Distributed constrained heuristic search. *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*, 21(6):1446–1461, 1991.

[43] T. Tsuruta and T. Shintani. Scheduling meetings using distributed valued constraint satisfaction algorithm. In *ECAI*, pages 383–387, 2000.

[44] John Von Neumann and Oskar. Morgenstern. *The Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*. Princeton University Press, 1947.

[45] J. Wainer, P.R. Ferreira, and E.R. Constantino. Scheduling meetings through multi-agent negotiations. *Decision Support Systems*, 44(1):285–297, 2007.

[46] James Zou, Reshef Meir, and David Parkes. Strategic voting behavior in doodle polls. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*, pages 464–472. ACM, 2015.