

1a. It is the best network b/c it is the most accurate the true number of  $N$ s is first, and they are all independent. It is the most efficient b/c there is not many conditional probabilities needed and the structure is simple

$$1b. P(M, N) = P(M, N, F_i) P(\bar{F}_i | N) + P(M, N, \neg F_i) P(F_i | N) \\ = P(M, F_i) P(F_i) + P(M, \neg F_i) P(\neg F_i)$$

$P(M, N)$	$N=1$	$N=2$	$N=3$
$m_1=0$	$f + (1-f)e$	$f$	$f$
$m_1=1$	$(1-f)(1-2e)$	$(1-f)e$	$0$
$m_1=2$	$(1-f)e$	$(1-f)(1-2e)$	$(1-f)e$
$m_1=3$	$0$	$(1-f)e$	$(1-f)(1-2e)$
$m_1=4$	$0$	$0$	$(1-f)e$

1c. if  $N=1$ , the readings can only be 0, 1, or 2, so  $m_2=3$  is not possible.

Additionally, if it is out of focus, the probability is zero anyway.

if  $N=2$ , the only possible value is  $N=2$  because  $m_1=1$  and  $m_2=3$ , and since the out-of-focus probability is 0, both must be in focus

if  $N=3$ , then a reading of 1 is already impossible

2a. No, looking at the structure, there are both indirect and direct connections between them.

Yes,  $J$  is connected to  $G$ , and  $J$  is separated from the rest. It follows the Markov blanket so it is independent.

Yes,  $M$  is connected to  $J$  through  $G$ . When  $G$  is known,  $M$  is separated from  $J$ , meaning  $B$  doesn't matter, so it is independent.

$$2b. P(g, m, \neg g) = P(g) P(m) P(\neg g | g, m) P(g | \neg g)$$