

Finding the Impossible: Automated Search for Full Impossible-Differential, Zero-Correlation, and Integral Attacks

Hosein Hadipour

Sadegh Sadeghi

Maria Eichlseder

EUROCRYPT 2023 - Lyon, France

Research Gap and Our Contributions



Research gap

- ❑ Lack of automatic tool to find full ID/ZC, and integral attacks



Contributions

- ✔ Introduced a new CP-based method to find ID/ZC, and integral distinguishers
- ✔ Our CP model can be extended to an efficient unified model for key recovery
- ✔ Found improved attacks for SKINNY, CRAFT, SKINNYee, and SKINNYe-v2

Research Gap and Our Contributions



Research gap

- ❑ Lack of automatic tool to find full ID/ZC, and integral attacks



Contributions

- ✔ Introduced a new CP-based method to find ID/ZC, and integral distinguishers
- ✔ Our CP model can be extended to an efficient unified model for key recovery
- ✔ Found improved attacks for SKINNY, CRAFT, SKINNYee, and SKINNYe-v2

Part of Our Result

Cipher	#R	Time	Data	Mem.	Attack	Setting / Model	Ref.
SKINNY-64-192	23	$2^{155.60}$	$2^{73.20}$	2^{138}	Int	180,SK / CP,CT	[Ank+19]
	26	2^{172}	2^{61}	2^{172}	Int	180,SK / CP,CT	This paper
SKINNY-64-128	18	2^{126}	$2^{62.68}$	2^{64}	ZC	STK / KP	[SMB18]
	19	$2^{119.12}$	$2^{62.89}$	2^{49}	ZC	STK / KP	This paper
	20	$2^{97.50}$	$2^{68.40}$	2^{82}	Int	120,SK / CP,CT	[Ank+19]
	22	2^{110}	$2^{57.58}$	2^{108}	Int	120,SK / CP,CT	This paper
SKINNY-128-256	19	$2^{241.80}$	2^{123}	2^{221}	ID	STK / CP	[YQC17]
	19	$2^{219.23}$	$2^{117.86}$	2^{208}	ID	STK / CP	This paper
SKINNY-64-64	14	2^{62}	$2^{62.58}$	2^{64}	ZC	STK / KP	[SMB18]
	16	$2^{62.71}$	$2^{61.35}$	$2^{37.80}$	ZC	STK / KP	This paper
CRAFT	20	$2^{120.43}$	$2^{62.89}$	2^{49}	ZC	STK / KP	This paper
	21	$2^{106.53}$	$2^{60.99}$	2^{100}	ID	STK / CP	This paper


Outline

- 1 Background and the Research Gap
- 2 Our Method to Search For Distinguisher
- 3 Our Unified CP Model for Key-Recovery
- 4 Future Works

Background and the Research Gap




SKINNY Family of Tweakable Block Ciphers [Bei+16]




- Introduced in CRYPTO 2016 [Bei+16]
- It has 6 main variants: SKINNY- n - $z \cdot n$, where $n \in \{64, 128\}$, and $z \in \{1, 2, 3\}$
- ISO/IEC 18033-7: SKINNY-64-192, SKINNY-128-256, SKINNY-128-384

Impossible Differential Attack [BBS99; Knu98]


- Find an impossible-differential $\Delta_U \nrightarrow \Delta_L$
- Build a key-recovery attack
 - Create a pool of pairs satisfying (Δ_B, Δ_F)
 - For all $k \in k_B \cup k_F$:
 - If a pair suggests (Δ_U, Δ_L) , discard k
 - Brute force the remaining key candidates




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]



Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]




Miss-in-the-Middle Technique [BBS99]



Previous Tools for ID/ZC, and Integral Attacks

- Tools based on dedicated algorithms:
 - CRYPTO 2016 (\mathcal{DC} -MITM, ID) [DF16]
- Tools based on general purpose solvers:
 - Eprint 2016 (ID) [Cui+16]
 - ASIACRYPT 2016 (Integral) [Xia+16]
 - EUROCRYPT 2017 (ID, ZC) [ST17]
 - ToSC 2017 (ID, ZC) [Sun+17]
 - ToSC 2020 (ID, ZC) [Sun+20]



Our Method to Search for Distinguishers



Our Method to Search for ID/ZC and Integral Distinguishers


E

✓ $CSP_U(\Delta_U, \Delta'_U)$

✓ $CSP_L(\Delta_L, \Delta'_L)$

✓ $CSP_M(\Delta'_U, \Delta'_L)$

Our Method to Search for ID/ZC and Integral Distinguishers



✓ $CSP_U(\Delta_U, \Delta'_U)$

✓ $CSP_L(\Delta_L, \Delta'_L)$


✓ $CSP_M(\Delta'_U, \Delta'_L)$

Our Method to Search for ID/ZC and Integral Distinguishers

✓ $CSP_U(\Delta_U, \Delta'_U)$

✓ $CSP_L(\Delta_L, \Delta'_L)$

✓ $CSP_M(\Delta'_U, \Delta'_L)$




Our Method to Search for ID/ZC and Integral Distinguishers

✓ $CSP_U(\Delta_U, \Delta'_U)$

✓ $CSP_L(\Delta_L, \Delta'_L)$

✓ $CSP_M(\Delta'_U, \Delta'_L)$




Our Method to Search for ID/ZC and Integral Distinguishers

✓ $CSP_U(\Delta_U, \Delta'_U)$

✓ $CSP_L(\Delta_L, \Delta'_L)$

✓ $CSP_M(\Delta'_U, \Delta'_L)$



Our Method to Search for ID/ZC and Integral Distinguishers




$$CSP_U(\Delta_U, \Delta'_U)$$



$$CSP_L(\Delta_L, \Delta'_L)$$



$$CSP_M(\Delta'_U, \Delta'_L)$$



The Advantages of Our Method to Search for Distinguishers


- Based on satisfiability of the CP model
- Any feasible solutions of our CP model is a distinguisher
- We do not fix the input/output of distinguisher
- Extendable to a unified model for key-recovery
 - Find a distinguisher optimized for key-recovery
 - Taking some key-recovery techniques into account, e.g., MitM, and key bridging

Our Unified CP Model for Key-Recovery




Complexity Analysis of ID Attack [Bou+18; BNS14]

- Number of required pairs: N
- Pair generation: $T_0 = N2^{n+1-|\Delta_B|-|\Delta_F|}$
- Guess-and-filter:
 - $T_1 + T_2 = N + 2^{|k_B \cup k_F|} \frac{N}{2^{c_B+c_F}}$
 - $P = (1 - 2^{-(c_B+c_F)})^N$
- Exhaustive search: $T_3 = P2^k$
- $T_{tot} = (T_0 + (T_1 + T_2)C_{E'} + T_3)C_E$




Overall View of Our CP Model for Key-Recovery

- ✓ Model the distinguisher for E_D (Δ_U, Δ_F)
- ✓ Model the filters in E_B , and E_F ($c_B, c_F, \Delta_B, \Delta_F$)
- ✓ Model the guess-and-determine in E_B , and E_F
- ✓ Model the key bridging
 - Encode $|k_B \cup k_F|$
- ✓ Model the complexity formulas
- ✓ Objective: Minimize the total time complexity




Overall View of Our CP Model for Key-Recovery

- ✓ Model the distinguisher for E_D (Δ_U, Δ_F)
- ✓ Model the filters in E_B , and E_F ($c_B, c_F, \Delta_B, \Delta_F$)
- ✓ Model the guess-and-determine in E_B , and E_F
- ✓ Model the key bridging
 - Encode $|k_B \cup k_F|$
- ✓ Model the complexity formulas
- ✓ Objective: Minimize the total time complexity




Overall View of Our CP Model for Key-Recovery

- ✓ Model the distinguisher for E_D (Δ_U, Δ_F)
- ✓ Model the filters in E_B , and E_F ($c_B, c_F, \Delta_B, \Delta_F$)
- ✓ Model the guess-and-determine in E_B , and E_F
- ✓ Model the key bridging
 - Encode $|k_B \cup k_F|$
- ✓ Model the complexity formulas
- ✓ Objective: Minimize the total time complexity




Overall View of Our CP Model for Key-Recovery

- ✓ Model the distinguisher for E_D (Δ_U, Δ_F)
- ✓ Model the filters in E_B , and E_F ($c_B, c_F, \Delta_B, \Delta_F$)
- ✓ Model the guess-and-determine in E_B , and E_F
- ✓ Model the key bridging
 - Encode $|k_B \cup k_F|$
- ✓ Model the complexity formulas
- ✓ Objective: Minimize the total time complexity




Overall View of Our CP Model for Key-Recovery

- ✓ Model the distinguisher for E_D (Δ_U, Δ_F)
- ✓ Model the filters in E_B , and E_F ($c_B, c_F, \Delta_B, \Delta_F$)
- ✓ Model the guess-and-determine in E_B , and E_F
- ✓ Model the key bridging
 - Encode $|k_B \cup k_F|$
- ✓ Model the complexity formulas
- ✓ Objective: Minimize the total time complexity




Overall View of Our CP Model for Key-Recovery

- ✓ Model the distinguisher for E_D (Δ_U, Δ_F)
- ✓ Model the filters in E_B , and E_F ($c_B, c_F, \Delta_B, \Delta_F$)
- ✓ Model the guess-and-determine in E_B , and E_F
- ✓ Model the key bridging
 - Encode $|k_B \cup k_F|$
- ✓ Model the complexity formulas
- ✓ Objective: Minimize the total time complexity



Usage of Our Tool

```
python3 attack.py -RB 4 -RU 10 -RL 6 -RF 7
```



- ✓ We use MiniZinc [Net+07] to create our CP models
- ✓ We use Gurobi [Gur22] and OrTools [PF] as the CP solvers
- ✓ Our tool can find the results in a few seconds running on a regular laptop

Example: 19-round ID Attack on SKINNY- $n-2n$

- $|k_B \cup k_F| = 26 \cdot c$


- $c_B = 6 \cdot c$

- $c_F = 15 \cdot c$

- $\Delta_B = 7 \cdot c$

- $\Delta_F = 16 \cdot c$

- $c \in \{4, 8\}$



Part of Our Improved Results for SKINNY

Cipher	#R	Time	Data	Mem.	Attack	Setting / Model	Ref.
SKINNY-64-192	23	$2^{155.60}$	$2^{73.20}$	2^{138}	Int	180,SK / CP,CT	[Ank+19]
	26	2^{172}	2^{61}	2^{172}	Int	180,SK / CP,CT	This paper
SKINNY-128-384	27	2^{378}	$2^{126.03}$	2^{368}	ID	RTK / CP	[LGS17]
	27	$2^{362.61}$	$2^{124.99}$	2^{344}	ID	RTK / CP	This paper
SKINNY-64-128	18	2^{126}	$2^{62.68}$	2^{64}	ZC	STK / KP	[SMB18]
	19	$2^{119.12}$	$2^{62.89}$	2^{49}	ZC	STK / KP	This paper
	20	$2^{97.50}$	$2^{68.40}$	2^{82}	Int	120,SK / CP,CT	[Ank+19]
	22	2^{110}	$2^{57.58}$	2^{108}	Int	120,SK / CP,CT	This paper
SKINNY-128-256	19	$2^{241.80}$	2^{123}	2^{221}	ID	STK / CP	[YQC17]
	19	$2^{219.23}$	$2^{117.86}$	2^{208}	ID	STK / CP	This paper
SKINNY-64-64	14	2^{62}	$2^{62.58}$	2^{64}	ZC	STK / KP	[SMB18]
	16	$2^{62.71}$	$2^{61.35}$	$2^{37.80}$	ZC	STK / KP	This paper

Detecting Flaws in The Previous Attacks Using our Automatic Tools

Invalid Attacks on SKINNY

Cipher	Attack	#R	Setting / Model	Ref.	Flaw
SKINNY- n - n	ID	18	STK / CP	[TAY17]	KR
SKINNY- n - $2n$	ID	20	STK / CP	[TAY17]	KR
	ZC/Int [†]	22	SK / CP, CT	[ZCW22]	Dist
SKINNY- n - $3n$	ID	22	STK / CP	[TAY17]	KR
	ZC/Int [†]	26	SK / CP, CT	[ZCW22]	Dist

Conclusion



Contributions and Future Works

■ Contributions

- Introduced efficient unified model for finding full ID/ZC/integral attacks
- Found improved attacks for SKINNY, CRAFT, SKINNYee, and SKINNYe-v2

■ Future works

- A** Applying our method to other ciphers, e.g., AES, MANTIS, QARMA, etc
- A** Creating the bit-oriented version of our method
- A** Improving the key-recovery part of our CP models for ZC and integral attacks

: <https://github.com/hadipourh/zero>

: <https://ia.cr/2022/1147>

Bibliography I

- [Ank+19] Ralph Ankele et al. **Zero-Correlation Attacks on Tweakable Block Ciphers with Linear Tweakey Expansion**. *IACR Transactions on Symmetric Cryptology* 2019.1 (Mar. 2019), pp. 192–235. DOI: [10.13154/tosc.v2019.i1.192-235](https://doi.org/10.13154/tosc.v2019.i1.192-235).
- [BBS99] Eli Biham, Alex Biryukov, and Adi Shamir. **Cryptanalysis of Skipjack Reduced to 31 Rounds Using Impossible Differentials**. EUROCRYPT 1999. Vol. 1592. LNCS. Springer, 1999, pp. 12–23. DOI: [10.1007/3-540-48910-X_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-48910-X_2).
- [Bei+16] Christof Beierle et al. **The SKINNY family of block ciphers and its low-latency variant MANTIS**. CRYPTO 2016. Springer. 2016, pp. 123–153. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-662-53008-5_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-53008-5_5).

Bibliography II

- [BNS14] Christina Boura, Maria Naya-Plasencia, and Valentin Suder. **Scrutinizing and improving impossible differential attacks: applications to CLEFIA, Camellia, LBlock and Simon**. International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security. Springer. 2014, pp. 179–199. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-662-45611-8_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45611-8_10).
- [Bog+12] Andrey Bogdanov et al. **Integral and Multidimensional Linear Distinguishers with Correlation Zero**. ASIACRYPT 2012. Vol. 7658. LNCS. Springer, 2012, pp. 244–261. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-34961-4_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-34961-4_16).
- [Bou+18] Christina Boura et al. **Making the impossible possible**. *Journal of Cryptology* 31.1 (2018), pp. 101–133. DOI: [10.1007/s00145-016-9251-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00145-016-9251-7).

Bibliography III

- [BR14] Andrey Bogdanov and Vincent Rijmen. **Linear hulls with correlation zero and linear cryptanalysis of block ciphers.** *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 70.3 (2014), pp. 369–383. DOI: [10.1007/s10623-012-9697-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-012-9697-z).
- [Cui+16] Tingting Cui et al. **New Automatic Search Tool for Impossible Differentials and Zero-Correlation Linear Approximations.** IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2016/689. 2016. URL: <https://eprint.iacr.org/2016/689>.
- [DF16] Patrick Derbez and Pierre-Alain Fouque. **Automatic Search of Meet-in-the-Middle and Impossible Differential Attacks.** CRYPTO 2016. Vol. 9815. LNCS. Springer, 2016, pp. 157–184.
- [Gur22] Gurobi Optimization, LLC. **Gurobi Optimizer Reference Manual.** 2022. URL: <https://www.gurobi.com>.

Bibliography IV

- [Knu98] Lars Knudsen. **DEAL-a 128-bit block cipher**. *complexity* 258.2 (1998), p. 216.
- [LGS17] Guozhen Liu, Mohona Ghosh, and Ling Song. **Security Analysis of SKINNY under Related-Tweakey Settings**. *IACR Trans. Symmetric Cryptol.* 2017.3 (2017), pp. 37–72. DOI: [10.13154/tosc.v2017.i3.37-72](https://doi.org/10.13154/tosc.v2017.i3.37-72).
- [Net+07] Nicholas Nethercote et al. **MiniZinc: Towards a Standard CP Modelling Language**. CP 2007. Vol. 4741. LNCS. Springer, 2007, pp. 529–543.
- [PF] Laurent Perron and Vincent Furnon. **OR-Tools**. Version 9.3. Google. URL: <https://developers.google.com/optimization/>.
- [SMB18] Sadegh Sadeghi, Tahereh Mohammadi, and Nasour Bagheri. **Cryptanalysis of Reduced round SKINNY Block Cipher**. *IACR Trans. Symmetric Cryptol.* 2018.3 (2018), pp. 124–162. DOI: [10.13154/tosc.v2018.i3.124-162](https://doi.org/10.13154/tosc.v2018.i3.124-162).

Bibliography V

- [ST17] Yu Sasaki and Yosuke Todo. **New Impossible Differential Search Tool from Design and Cryptanalysis Aspects**. EUROCRYPT 2017. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 185–215. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-56617-7_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56617-7_7).
- [Sun+15] Bing Sun et al. **Links Among Impossible Differential, Integral and Zero Correlation Linear Cryptanalysis**. CRYPTO 2015. Vol. 9215. LNCS. Springer, 2015, pp. 95–115. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-662-47989-6_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-47989-6_5).
- [Sun+17] Siwei Sun et al. **Analysis of AES, SKINNY, and Others with Constraint Programming**. *IACR Transactions on Symmetric Cryptology* 2017.1 (Mar. 2017), pp. 281–306. DOI: [10.13154/tosc.v2017.i1.281-306](https://doi.org/10.13154/tosc.v2017.i1.281-306).

Bibliography VI

- [Sun+20] Ling Sun et al. **On the Usage of Deterministic (Related-Key) Truncated Differentials and Multidimensional Linear Approximations for SPN Ciphers.** *IACR Transactions on Symmetric Cryptology* 2020.3 (Sept. 2020), pp. 262–287. DOI: [10.13154/tosc.v2020.i3.262-287](https://doi.org/10.13154/tosc.v2020.i3.262-287).
- [TAY17] Mohamed Tolba, Ahmed Abdelkhalek, and Amr M. Youssef. **Impossible Differential Cryptanalysis of Reduced-Round SKINNY.** AFRICACRYPT 2017. Vol. 10239. LNCS. 2017, pp. 117–134. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-57339-7_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57339-7_7).
- [Xia+16] Zejun Xiang et al. **Applying MILP Method to Searching Integral Distinguishers Based on Division Property for 6 Lightweight Block Ciphers.** ASIACRYPT 2016. Vol. 10031. LNCS. 2016, pp. 648–678. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-662-53887-6_24](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-53887-6_24).

Bibliography VII

- [YQC17] Dong Yang, Wen-Feng Qi, and Hua-Jin Chen. **Impossible differential attacks on the SKINNY family of block ciphers**. *IET Inf. Secur.* 11.6 (2017), pp. 377–385. DOI: [10.1049/iet-ifs.2016.0488](https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-ifs.2016.0488).
- [ZCW22] Yi Zhang, Ting Cui, and Congjun Wang. **Zero-correlation linear attack on reduced-round SKINNY**. *Frontiers of Computer Science* 17.174808 (2023) (2022), pp. 377–385. DOI: [10.1007/s11704-022-2206-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11704-022-2206-2).

Zero-Correlation Attack and Its Relation to Integral Attack


- ZC is the dual of ID in the context of linear cryptanalysis [BR14]
- Multidimensional ZC attack (ASIACRYPT 2012 [Bog+12])

Link Between ZC and Integral Attack [Sun+15]

Let $F : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a vectorial Boolean function. Assume A is a subspace of \mathbb{F}_2^n and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that (α, β) is a ZC approximation for any $\alpha \in A$. Then, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $\langle \beta, F(x + \lambda) \rangle$ is balanced over the set

$$A^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \forall \alpha \in A : \langle \alpha, x \rangle = 0\}.$$

Example: Conversion of ZC Distinguisher to Integral Distinguisher



- $X_0[7, 10, 13]$ takes all possible values and the remaining cells take a fixed value
- $X_6[7] \oplus X_6[11] \oplus X_6[15]$ is balanced