

Introduction to Software Testing Chapter 8.3 Logic Coverage for Source Code

Paul Ammann & Jeff Offutt

<http://www.cs.gmu.edu/~offutt/softwaretest/>

Logic Expressions from Source

- Predicates are derived from **decision** statements
 - if, while, for, switch, do-while
- In programs, most predicates have **less than four** clauses
 - In fact, most have just one clause
- When a predicate only has one clause, CoC, ACC, and CC all collapse to **predicate coverage** (PC)
 - ACC is only useful with three or more clauses

Finding Values

- **Reachability** : Each test must reach the decision
- **Controllability** : Each test must cause the decision to have specific truth assignment
- **Internal variables** : Predicate variables that are not inputs

```
public int checkVal(int x) {  
    y = x*2;  
    if (x>0)  
        if ((x>10 && x<20) || y==50)  
            return 1;  
    else  
        if ((x<-10 && x>-20) || y<-60)  
            return 2;  
}
```

y is an internal variable

Reach: $x > 0$

Thermostat (pg 1 of 2)

```
1 // Jeff Offutt & Paul Ammann—September 2014
2 // Programmable Thermostat
6 import java.io.*;
10 public class Thermostat
11 {
12     private int curTemp;           // Current temperature reading
13     private int thresholdDiff;     // Temp difference until heater on
14     private int timeSinceLastRun;  // Time since heater stopped
15     private int minLag;            // How long I need to wait
16     private boolean Override;      // Has user overridden the program
17     private int overTemp;          // OverridingTemp
18     private int runTime;           // output of turnHeaterOn—how long to run
19     private boolean heaterOn;      // output of turnHeaterOn – whether to run
20     private Period period;         // morning, day, evening, or night
21     private DayType day;           // week day or weekend day
23     // Decide whether to turn the heater on, and for how long.
24     public boolean turnHeaterOn (ProgrammedSettings pSet)
25     {
```

Thermostat (pg 2 of 2)

```
26  int dTemp = pSet.getSetting(period, day);
28  if (((curTemp < dTemp - thresholdDiff) ||
29      (Override && curTemp < overTemp - thresholdDiff)) &&
30      (timeSinceLastRun > minLag))
31  { // Turn on the heater
32      // How long? Assume 1 minute per degree (Fahrenheit)
33      int timeNeeded = Math.abs(dTemp - curTemp);
34      if (Override)
35          timeNeeded = Math.abs(overTemp - curTemp);
36      setRunTime(timeNeeded);
37      setHeaterOn(true);
38      return(true);
39  }
40  else
41  {
42      setHeaterOn(false);
43      return(false);
44  }
45 } // End turnHeaterOn
```

The full class is in the book
and on the book website.

Two Thermostat Predicates

28-30 : (((curTemp < dTemp - thresholdDiff) ||
(Override && curTemp < overTemp - thresholdDiff)) &&
timeSinceLastRun > minLag))

34 : (Override)

Simplify

a : curTemp < dTemp - thresholdDiff

b : Override

c : curTemp < overTemp - thresholdDiff

d : timeSinceLastRun > minLag)

28-30 : (a || (b && c)) && d

34 : b

Reachability for Thermostat Predicates

28-30 : True

34 : ((a || (b && c)) && d)

curTemp < dTemp - thresholdDiff

Need to solve for the internal variable dTemp

pSet.getSetting (period, day);

```
setSetting (Period.MORNING, DayType.WEEKDAY, 69);  
setPeriod (Period.MORNING);  
setDay (DayType.WEEKDAY);
```

Predicate Coverage (*true*)

$(a \parallel (b \ \&\& \ c)) \ \&\& \ d$

(8.3.1)

a : true b : true
c : true d : true

a: curTemp < dTemp – thresholdDiff : true
b: Override : true
c: curTemp < overTemp – thresholdDiff : true
d: timeSinceLastRun > (minLag) : true

```
thermo = new Thermostat(); // Needed object
settings = new ProgrammedSettings(); // Needed object
settings.setSetting(Period.MORNING, DayType.WEEKDAY, 69); // dTemp
thermo.setPeriod(Period.MORNING); // dTemp
thermo.setDay(DayType.WEEKDAY); // dTemp
thermo.setCurrentTemp(63); // clause a
thermo.setThresholdDiff(5); // clause a
thermo.setOverride(true); // clause b
thermo.setOverTemp(70); // clause c
thermo.setMinLag(10); // clause d
thermo.setTimeSinceLastRun(12); // clause d
assertTrue (thermo.turnHeaterOn(settings)); // Run test
```


Correlated Active Clause Coverage

(1 of 6)

Solve for P_a : $((a \parallel (b \&\& c)) \&\& d)$

(8.3.3)

$$P_a = ((T \parallel (b \&\& c)) \&\& d) \oplus ((F \parallel (b \&\& c)) \&\& d)$$

$$(T \&\& d) \oplus ((b \&\& c) \&\& d)$$

$$d \oplus ((b \&\& c) \&\& d)$$

$$\text{Identity: } (X \oplus y \&\& X == !y \&\& X)$$

$$!(b \&\& c) \&\& d$$

$$(!b \parallel !c) \&\& d$$

Check with the logic coverage web app

<http://cs.gmu.edu:8080/offutt/coverage/LogicCoverage>

CACC

(2 of 6)

	(a (b && c)) && d			
	a	b	c	d
P _a :	T	t	f	t
	F	t	f	t
P _b :	f	T	t	t
	f	F	t	t
P _c :	f	t	T	t
	f	t	F	t
P _d :	t	t	t	T
	t	t	t	F

duplicates

Six tests needed for CACC on Thermostat

CACC Values for Clauses

(3 of 6)

	curTemp	dTemp	thresholdDiff
a=t : curTemp < dTemp - thresholdDiff	63	69	5
a=f : !(curTemp < dTemp - thresholdDiff)	66	69	5

dTemp:

```
settings.setSettings (Period.MORNING, DayType.WEEKDAY, 69)
thermo.setPeriod (Period.MORNING);
thermo.setDay (Daytype.WEEKDAY);
```

Override

b=t : Override **T**
b=f : !Override **F**

These values need to be placed into calls to turnHeaterOn() to satisfy the 6 tests for CACC

	curTemp	overTemp	thresholdDiff
c=t : curTemp < overTemp - thresholdDiff	63	72	5
c=f : !(curTemp < overTemp - thresholdDiff)	66	67	5

	timeSinceLastRun	minLag
d=t : timeSinceLastRun > minLag	12	10
d=f : !(timeSinceLastRun > minLag)	8	10

CACC Tests 1 & 2

(4 of 6)

dTemp = 69 (period = MORNING, daytype = WEEKDAY)

1. T t f t

```
thermo.setCurrentTemp (63);  
thermo.setThresholdDiff (5);  
thermo.setOverride (true);  
thermo.setOverTemp (67); // c is false  
thermo.setMinLag (10);  
thermo.setTimeSinceLastRun (12);
```

2. F t f t

```
thermo.setCurrentTemp (66); // a is false  
thermo.setThresholdDiff (5);  
thermo.setOverride (true);  
thermo.setOverTemp (67); // c is false  
thermo.setMinLag (10);  
thermo.setTimeSinceLastRun (12);
```

CACC Tests 3 & 4

(5 of 6)

dTemp = 69 (period = MORNING, daytype = WEEKDAY)

3. f T t t

```
thermo.setCurrentTemp (66); // a is false
thermo.setThresholdDiff (5);
thermo.setOverride (true);
thermo.setOverTemp (72); // to make c true
thermo.setMinLag (10);
thermo.setTimeSinceLastRun (12);
```

4. F f T t

```
thermo.setCurrentTemp (66); // a is false
thermo.setThresholdDiff (5);
thermo.setOverride (false); // b is false
thermo.setOverTemp (72);
thermo.setMinLag (10);
thermo.setTimeSinceLastRun (12);
```

CACC Tests 5 & 6

(6 of 6)

dTemp = 69 (period = MORNING, daytype = WEEKDAY)

5. t t t T

```
thermo.setCurrentTemp (63);  
thermo.setThresholdDiff (5);  
thermo.setOverride (true);  
thermo.setOverTemp (72);  
thermo.setMinLag (10);  
thermo.setTimeSinceLastRun (12);
```

6. t t t F

```
thermo.setCurrentTemp (63);  
thermo.setThresholdDiff (5);  
thermo.setOverride (true);  
thermo.setOverTemp (72);  
thermo.setMinLag (10);  
thermo.setTimeSinceLastRun (8); // d is false
```

Program Transformation Issues

```
if ((a && b) || c)
{
    S1;
}
else
{
    S2;
}
```


Transform (1) ?

```
if (a) {
    if (b)
        S1;
    else {
        if (c)
            S1;
        else
            S2;
    }
}
else {
    if (c)
        S1;
    else
        S2;
}
```

(8.3.4)

Problems With Transformation 1

- We trade one problem for **two problems** :
 - **Maintenance** becomes harder
 - **Reachability** becomes harder
- Consider **coverage** :
 - **CACC** on the original requires four rows marked in the table
 - **PC on the transformed** version requires five different rows
- PC on the transformed version has **two problems** :
 1. It does **not satisfy CACC** on the original
 2. It is **more expensive** (more tests)

a	b	c	$(a \wedge b) \vee c$	CACC	PC _T
T	T	T	T		X
T	T	F	T	X	
T	F	T	T	X	X
T	F	F	F	X	X
F	T	T	T		X
F	T	F	F	X	
F	F	T	T		
F	F	F	F		X

Program Transformation Issue 2

```
if ((a && b) || c)
{
    S1;
}
else
{
    S2;
}
```


Transform (2) ?

```
d = a && b;
e = d || c;
if (e)
{
    S1;
}
else
{
    S2;
}
```

Problems With Transformation 2

- We move **complexity** into computations
 - Logic criteria are not effective at testing computations
- Consider **coverage** :
 - **CACC** on the original requires four rows marked in the table
 - **PC on the transformed** version requires only two
- PC on the transformed version becomes equivalent to **clause coverage** on the original
 - **Not an effective** testing technique

a	b	c	$(a \wedge b) \vee c$	CACC	PC _T
T	T	T	T		X
T	T	F	T	X	
T	F	T	T	X	
T	F	F	F	X	
F	T	T	T		
F	T	F	F	X	
F	F	T	T		
F	F	F	F		X

Transforming Does Not Work

Logic coverage criteria exist to help us develop better software

Circumventing the criteria is unsafe

Side Effects in Predicates(8.3.5)

- Side effects occur when a value is changed while evaluating a predicate
 - A clause appears twice in the same predicate
 - A clause in between changes the value of the clause that appears twice
- Example :

<code>A && (B A)</code>	<code>B is : changeVar (A)</code>
------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

 - Evaluation : Runtime system checks A, then B, if B is false, check A again
 - But now A has a different value!
 - How do we write a test that has two different values for the same predicate?
- No clear answers to this controllability problem

We suggest a social solution : Go ask the programmer

Summary : Logic Coverage for Source Code

- **Predicates** from decision statements (if, while, for, etc.)
- Most predicates have less than **four clauses**
 - But some programs have a few predicates with many clauses
- The challenge is resolving **internal** variables
- Don't forget **non-local** variables
- If an input variable is changed within a method, it is treated as an **internal variable** thereafter
- Avoid transformations that hide predicate structure