

Introduction to Software Testing Chapter 8.5 Logic Coverage for FSMs

Paul Ammann & Jeff Offutt

<http://www.cs.gmu.edu/~offutt/softwaretest/>

Covering Finite State Machines

- FSMs are graphs
 - Nodes represent state
 - Edges represent transitions among states
- Transitions often have logical expressions as guards or triggers
- As we said :

Find a logical expression and cover it

Example—Subway Train



Left Doors Open

$\neg \text{emergencyStop} \wedge \neg \text{overrideOpen} \wedge$
 $\text{doorsClear} \wedge \text{closeDoorPressed}$
(applies to all three transitions)

Right Doors Open

All Doors Closed

$\text{trainSpeed} = 0 \wedge \text{platform} = \text{left} \wedge$
 $(\text{location} = \text{inStation} \vee$
 $(\text{emergencyStop} \wedge \text{overrideOpen} \wedge$
 $\text{location} = \text{inTunnel}))$

$\text{trainSpeed} = 0 \wedge \text{platform} = \text{right} \wedge$
 $(\text{location} = \text{inStation} \vee$
 $(\text{emergencyStop} \wedge \text{overrideOpen} \wedge$
 $\text{location} = \text{inTunnel}))$

Determination of the Predicate

$\text{trainSpeed} = 0 \wedge \text{platform} = \text{left} \wedge (\text{location} = \text{inStation} \vee (\text{emergencyStop} \wedge \text{overrideOpen} \wedge \text{location} = \text{inTunnel}))$

$P_{\text{trainSpeed} = 0} : \text{platform} = \text{left} \wedge (\text{location} = \text{inStation} \vee (\text{emergencyStop} \wedge \text{overrideOpen} \wedge \text{location} = \text{inTunnel}))$

$P_{\text{platform} = \text{left}} : \text{trainSpeed} = 0 \wedge (\text{location} = \text{inStation} \vee (\text{emergencyStop} \wedge \text{overrideOpen} \wedge \text{location} = \text{inTunnel}))$

$P_{\text{location} = \text{inStation}} : \text{trainSpeed} = 0 \wedge \text{platform} = \text{left} \wedge (\neg \text{emergencyStop} \vee \neg \text{overrideOpen} \vee \neg \text{location} = \text{inTunnel})$

$P_{\text{emergencyStop}} : \text{trainSpeed} = 0 \wedge \text{platform} = \text{left} \wedge (\neg \text{location} = \text{inStation} \wedge \text{overrideOpen} \wedge \text{location} = \text{inTunnel})$

$P_{\text{overrideOpen}} : \text{trainSpeed} = 0 \wedge \text{platform} = \text{left} \wedge (\neg \text{location} = \text{inStation} \wedge \text{emergencyStop} \wedge \text{location} = \text{inTunnel})$

$P_{\text{location} = \text{inTunnel}} : \text{trainSpeed} = 0 \wedge \text{platform} = \text{left} \wedge (\neg \text{location} = \text{inStation} \wedge \text{emergencyStop} \wedge \text{overrideOpen})$

Test Truth Assignments (CACC)

$\text{trainSpeed} = 0 \wedge \text{platform} = \text{left} \wedge (\text{location} = \text{inStation} \vee (\text{emergencyStop} \wedge \text{overrideOpen} \wedge \text{location} = \text{inTunnel}))$

| Major Clause | Speed=0 | platform=left | inStation | emergStop | overrideOpen | inTunnel |
|---------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| trainSpeed = 0 | T | t | t | t | t | t |
| trainSpeed != 0 | F | t | t | t | t | t |
| platform = left | t | T | t | t | t | t |
| platform != left | t | F | t | t | t | t |
| inStation | t | t | T | f | f | f |
| \neg inStation | t | t | F | f | f | f |
| emergencyStop | t | t | f | T | t | t |
| \neg emergStop | t | t | f | F | t | t |
| overrideOpen | t | t | f | t | T | t |
| \neg overrideOpen | t | t | f | t | F | t |
| inTunnel | t | t | f | t | t | T |
| \neg inTunnel | t | t | f | t | t | F |

One of these must be true

One of these must be false

Problem With a Predicate?

| | trainSpeed=0 | platform=left | inStation | emergencyStop | overrideOpen | inTunnel |
|------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------|
| inStation | t | t | T | f | f | f |
| \neg inStation | t | t | F | f | f | f |

The model only includes two locations for the train,
inStation and *inTunnel*.

So these cannot both be false!

If the train is not in the station (*location* \neq *inStation*),
then it must be in a tunnel (*location* = *inTunnel*)!

Possible solutions :

1. Check with the **developer** for mistakes (do this first)
2. **Rewrite the predicate** to eliminate dependencies (if possible)
3. **Change truth assignment** : t t **F** f f **t**

Generalize Dependent Clauses

Clauses that **depend** on each other must have correlated values

That is, **inStation** and **inTunnel** must have **different values** for all tests

| Major Clause | Speed=0 | platform=left | inStation | emergStop | overrideOpen | inTunnel |
|---------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|
| trainSpeed = 0 | T | t | t | t | t | t f |
| trainSpeed != 0 | F | t | t | t | t | t f |
| platform = left | t | T | t | t | t | t f |
| platform != left | t | F | t | t | t | t tf |
| inStation | t | t | T | f | f | f |
| \neg inStation | t | t | F | f | f | f t |
| emergencyStop | t | t | f | T | t | t |
| \neg emergStop | t | t | f | F | t | t |
| overrideOpen | t | t | f | t | T | t |
| \neg overrideOpen | t | t | f | t | F | t |
| inTunnel | t | t | f | t | t | T |
| \neg inTunnel | t | t | F | t | t | F |

We can't
implement
this test !

Early Identification is a Win!

The process of modeling software artifacts for test design can help us find defects in the artifacts

This is a very powerful side-effect of the model-driven test design process

Expected Results

Expected outputs are read from the FSM :

- When the major clause is true, the transition is taken
- When false, the transition is not taken

| | Expected Results |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| trainSpeed = 0 trainSpeed != 0 | Left Doors Open All Doors Closed |
| platform = left platform != left | Left Doors Open All Doors Closed |
| inStation ¬ inStation | Left Doors Open All Doors Closed |
| emergencyStop ¬ emergencyStop | Left Doors Open All Doors Closed |
| overrideOpen ¬ overrideOpen | Left Doors Open All Doors Closed |
| inTunnel ¬ inTunnel | Left Doors Open All Doors Closed |

If *platform != left*, then *platform* must equal **right**

So the expected output of this test is to go to state “**Right Doors Open**”

Accidental transitions must be recognized when designing expected results during test automation

Summary: Complicating Issues

- Some buttons must be pressed **simultaneously** to have effect – so timing must be tested
- **Reachability** : The tests must reach the state where the transition starts (the **prefix**)
- **Exit** : Some tests must continue executing to an **end state**
- **Expected output** : The expected output is the state that the **transition reaches** for true values, or same state for false values
- **Accidental transitions** : Sometimes a false value for one transition happens to be a true value for another
 - The alternate expected output must be recognized

Summary: Test Automation Issues

- **Mapping problem** : The names used in the FSMs may not match the names in the program
- Examples
 - *platform = left* requires the train to go to a specific station
 - *trainspeed = 0* probably requires the brake to be applied multiple times
- The solution to this is **implementation-specific**
 - Sometimes a **direct name-to-name mapping** can be found
 - Sometimes **more complicated** actions must be taken to assign the appropriate values
 - **Simulation** : Directly inserting value assignments into the middle of the program
- This is an issue of **controllability**

Summary FSM Logic Testing

- FSMs are **widely used** at all levels of abstraction
- Many ways to **express** FSMs
 - Statecharts, tables, decision tables, Petri nets, ...
- Predicates are usually **explicitly included** on the transitions
 - **Guards**
 - **Actions**
 - Often represent **safety constraints**
- FSMs are often used in **embedded** software