EE M146

Introduction to Machine Learning

Instructor: Lara Dolecek

TA: Zehui (Alex) Chen, Ruiyi (John) Wu

Discussion 2 Friday, April 12, 2019

1. Matrix calculus review

(a) Gradient of differentiable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\nabla f(x) = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f(x), \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f(x)\right]^T.$$

$$\bullet \nabla_w(w^T b) = \left[\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f(x), \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f(x)}_{\mathcal{O}}\right]^T = \left[\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f(x), \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f(x), \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \bullet & \nabla_{w}(\|w\|^{2}) \\ & \frac{\partial \|w\|^{2}}{\partial w_{i}} & = & \frac{\partial w_{i}^{2} + \cdots + w_{i}^{2} + \cdots + w_{n}^{2}}{\partial w_{i}} & = & 2w_{i} \end{array}$$

•
$$\nabla_w(w^TX^TXw) = 2\times^7 \times \omega$$
 using the previous result.

(b) Jacobian/derivative matrix of differentiable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_{1}(x)^{T} \\ \nabla f_{1}(x)^{T} \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_{m}(x)^{T} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{J}_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}$$

$$\bullet Ax \qquad \qquad A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\lambda}_{M} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \downarrow_{Ax} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\lambda}_{M} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\lambda}_{M} \end{bmatrix} = A$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\lambda}_{M} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \downarrow_{Ax} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\lambda}_{M} \end{bmatrix} = A$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\lambda}_{M} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \downarrow_{Ax} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\lambda}_{M} \end{bmatrix} = A$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\lambda}_{M} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \downarrow_{Ax} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\lambda}_{M} \end{bmatrix} = A$$

• Example: transformation from polar (r, θ) to Cartesian coordinates (x, y): $x = r \cos(\theta), y = r \sin(\theta).$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta X \\ \Delta Y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial X}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial X}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial Y}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta Y \\ \Delta \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta - r \sin(\theta) \\ \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) Hessian matrix for twice differentiable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$: $\nabla^2 f(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x)$.

The Hessian matrix is also the derivative matrix J of the gradient $\nabla f(x)$.

• Affine function $f(x) = a^T x + b$.

$$\nabla f(x) = a \qquad \nabla^2 f(x) = 0$$

• Least squares cost: $||Ax - b||^2$.

$$\nabla f(x) = 2A^{T}Ax - 2A^{T}B$$
 $\nabla^{2}f(x) = 2A^{T}A$

• Example: $4x_1^2 + 4x_1x_2 + x_2^2 + 10x_1 + 9x_2$

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 8x_1 + 4x_2 + 10 \\ 4x_1 + 2x_2 + 9 \end{bmatrix}$$
 $\nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

2. Show that for a linearly separable data set, the maximum likelihood solution for the logistic regression model is obtained by finding a vector w whose decision boundary $w^T x = 0$ separates the classes and then taking the magnitude of w to infinity.

Sketch of solution: If the dataset is linearly separable, then we can find w that for all points x_n belongs to class C_1 , $w^Tx_n > 0$; for all points x_m belongs to class C_2 , $w^Tx_m < 0$. According to the assumption of logistic regression, if we allow $|w| \to \infty$, for x_n belongs to C_1 , $P(C_1|x_n, w) = \sigma(w^Tx_n) \to 1$; for x_m belongs to C_2 , $P(C_2|x_m, w) = 1 - \sigma(w^Tx_m) \to 1$. This would maximize every term in the likelihood function and is therefore the ML solution.

Hence, for a linearly separable dataset, the learning process may prefer to make $|w| \to \infty$ and use the linear boundary to label the datasets, which can cause severe over-fitting problem.

3. In class, we provided a probabilistic interpretation of ordinary least squares. We now try to provide a probabilistic interpretation of the weighted linear regression. Consider a model where each of the N samples is independently drawn according to a normal distribution

$$P(y_n|x_n, w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_n^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_n - w^T x_n)^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right).$$

In this model, each y_n is drawn from a normal distribution with mean w^Tx_n and variance σ_n^2 . The σ_n^2 are **known**. Write the log likelihood of this model as a function of w. Show that finding the maximum likelihood estimate of w leads to the same answer as solving a weighted linear regression. How do σ_n^2 relate to α_n ?

Sketch of solution:

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{w} \prod_{n=1}^{N} P(y_{n}|x_{n}, w) = \operatorname{argmax}_{w} \left(const - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(y_{n} - w^{T}x_{n})^{2}}{\sigma_{n}^{2}} \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{argmin}_{w} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(y_{n} - w^{T}x_{n})^{2}}{\sigma_{n}^{2}}.$$

This is the identical objective as J(w) for weighted least squares with $\alpha_n = 1/\sigma_n^2$.