**Known Issues – Immunization Messaging\_1.4.1 October 12, 2016**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Status** |
| **User Issue:** “The validator is not accepting the note repeats which are available to be sent in RXA-9.  We are sending RXA-9 as “00^New immunization record^NIP001~^New immunization record”, however, this gives the following errors: “RXA[1].9[2].1 is missing” and “RXA[1].9[2].3 is missing”.”  **Clarification:** The test tool is validating all occurrences of RXA-9 as being defined with the CE\_IZ data type requirements. Use of a different data type for this element is not standard practice. It is anticipated that the use for the second occurrence will be considered for the next release of the implementation guide. | Due to lack of clarity in the implementation guide on the use and purpose of this element, the test tool will only validate the first occurrence of RXA.9. Any errors related to RXA.9 repetitions should be ignored. |
| A conflict exists in the implementation guide regarding Acknowledgement processing requests in the outbound message. The implementation guide prescribes the use of original acknowledgement processing mode, which implies that MSH-15 is to be “NE” and MSH-16 is to be “AL”. However, this declaration can also imply that both MSH-15 and MSH-16 are left empty, and the implication is that the request is for an application type acknowledgement message to be returned (i.e., “MSH-15 = NE” and “MSH-16 = “AL” is implied).  The implementation guide also specifies MSH-15 and MSH-16 as required and includes conformance statements indicating that MSH-15 SHALL BE ‘ER’ and MSH-16 SHALL be ‘AL’. This is a direct conflict with the requirement to use “original acknowledgement processing mode” described above. | Currently, the test cases in the tool validate as prescribed in the conformance statements (MSH-15 SHALL BE ‘ER’ and MSH-16 SHALL be ‘AL’); however, given the conflict in the requirements, “MSH-15 = NE” should also be allowed until the issue is resolved in a subsequent release of the implementation guide or an erratum is issued.  The test cases in the tool will remain as they are; however, the vendor may also satisfy the requirement by valuing MSH-15 = “NE” and MSH-16 = “AL” of all outbound messages (i.e., submit and query). The ATL can ignore the error reported for MSH-15 if the vendor is choosing to implement with MSH-15 = NE”. |