

EXPERIMENT 10

Mutexes and Semaphores

Introduction to Mutexes

Mutex, short for mutual exclusion, is a synchronization primitive used to ensure that only one thread at a time can access a shared resource. Mutexes are commonly employed in multithreaded programming to prevent race conditions, where multiple threads try to access a shared resource simultaneously, leading to unpredictable behavior.

Applications of Mutexes

- Protecting Critical Sections: Mutexes are used to protect critical sections of code, ensuring that only one thread can execute the code block at a time. This prevents data corruption and maintains consistency when multiple threads access shared data.
- Thread Synchronization: Mutexes are essential for synchronizing access to shared resources such as variables, data structures, or files in a multithreaded environment.
- Resource Management: Mutexes are used to coordinate access to resources that can only be used by one thread at a time, such as hardware devices or database connections.

Basic Mutex Usage in Code:

```

1  #include <pthread.h>
2  #include <stdio.h>
3
4  #define NUM_THREADS 5
5
6  int shared_data = 0;
7  pthread_mutex_t mutex;
8
9  void *thread_function(void *arg) {
10     int thread_id = *((int *)arg);
11
12     // Lock and unlock the mutex around shared resources
13     pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
14     shared_data++; // Critical section - Thread is accessing shared_data
15     pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
16
17     pthread_exit(NULL);
18 }
19
20 int main() {
21     pthread_t threads[NUM_THREADS];
22     int thread_args[NUM_THREADS];
23     int i;
24
25     pthread_mutex_init(&mutex, NULL); // Initialize the mutex
26
27     for (i = 0; i < NUM_THREADS; i++) { // Create threads
28         thread_args[i] = i;
29         pthread_create(&threads[i], NULL, thread_function, &thread_args[i]);
30     }
31     for (i = 0; i < NUM_THREADS; i++) // Join threads
32         pthread_join(threads[i], NULL);
33
34     pthread_mutex_destroy(&mutex); // Destroy the mutex
35
36     return 0;
37 }

```

In the above code example:

- We initialize a mutex using `pthread_mutex_init`.
- Each thread locks the mutex before accessing the shared resource using `pthread_mutex_lock`.
- After accessing the resource, the thread unlocks the mutex using `pthread_mutex_unlock`.
- Finally, we destroy the mutex using `pthread_mutex_destroy` after all threads have completed execution.

This code ensures that only one thread can access the `shared_data` variable at a time, preventing race conditions and ensuring data integrity.

Introduction to Semaphores

Semaphore is a synchronization primitive introduced by Edsger W. Dijkstra in the 1960s. It is a signaling mechanism used to control access to shared resources by multiple processes or threads. Semaphores can be either binary (0 or 1) or counting (can have a value greater than 1). A binary semaphore is a semaphore with only two possible integer values: 0 and 1. It acts as a simple on-off switch or a lock. A counting semaphore is a semaphore with an integer value greater than or equal to zero. It can be incremented or decremented by arbitrary values.

Applications of Binary Semaphores:

- Mutual Exclusion: Binary semaphores are commonly used to implement mutual exclusion, ensuring that only one process or thread accesses a shared resource at a time.
- Producer-Consumer Problem: Binary semaphores can be used to synchronize producer and consumer processes or threads in a bounded buffer scenario.
- Deadlock Avoidance: Binary semaphores can help avoid deadlock situations by providing a mechanism for processes to request and release resources in a controlled manner.

Applications of Counting Semaphores:

- Resource Allocation: Counting semaphores are useful for managing finite resources, such as memory buffers, database connections, or I/O devices, where multiple instances of the resource may be available.
- Concurrency Control: Counting semaphores can be used to control the maximum number of concurrent executions of a particular section of code or a critical resource.
- Task Synchronization: In scenarios where multiple tasks need to synchronize at certain points in their execution, counting semaphores can be employed to coordinate their actions.

Basic Semaphore Usage in Code:

```

1  #include <pthread.h>
2  #include <semaphore.h>
3  #include <stdio.h>
4
5  #define BUFFER_SIZE 5
6  #define NUM_PRODUCERS 2
7  #define NUM_CONSUMERS 2
8
9  int buffer[BUFFER_SIZE];
10 sem_t empty_slots, full_slots;
11 pthread_mutex_t mutex;
12
13 void *producer(void *arg) {
14     int item = *((int *)arg);
15     sleep(1); // Produce item // Sleep to simulate production time
16     sem_wait(&empty_slots); // Wait for empty slot in buffer
17     pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex); // Acquire mutex lock before accessing buffer
18     for (int i = 0; i < BUFFER_SIZE; i++) { // Add item to buffer
19         if (buffer[i] == -1) {
20             buffer[i] = item;
21             break;
22         }
23     }
24     pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex); // Release mutex lock
25     sem_post(&full_slots); // Signal that buffer has a new item
26
27     pthread_exit(NULL);
28 }
29
30 void *consumer(void *arg) {
31     sem_wait(&full_slots); // Wait for buffer to have data
32     pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex); // Acquire mutex lock before accessing buffer
33     int item = -1;
34     for (int i = 0; i < BUFFER_SIZE; i++) { // Remove item from buffer
35         if (buffer[i] != -1) {
36             item = buffer[i];
37             buffer[i] = -1;
38             break;

```

```

39     }
40 }
41 pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex); // Release mutex lock
42 sem_post(&empty_slots); // Signal that an empty slot is available in buffer
43 printf("Consumed item: %d\n", item); // Consume item
44
45 pthread_exit(NULL);
46 }
47
48 int main() {
49     pthread_t producer_threads[NUM_PRODUCERS], consumer_threads[NUM_CONSUMERS];
50     int producer_args[NUM_PRODUCERS] = {1, 2}; // Argument for producers
51
52     pthread_mutex_init(&mutex, NULL); // Initialize mutex and semaphores
53     sem_init(&empty_slots, 0, BUFFER_SIZE); // Initialize empty_slots to BUFFER_SIZE
54     sem_init(&full_slots, 0, 0); // Initialize full_slots to 0
55     for (int i = 0; i < NUM_PRODUCERS; i++) { // Create producer threads
56         pthread_create(&producer_threads[i], NULL, producer, &producer_args[i]);
57     }
58     for (int i = 0; i < NUM_CONSUMERS; i++) { // Create consumer threads
59         pthread_create(&consumer_threads[i], NULL, consumer, NULL);
60     }
61     // Join threads
62     for (int i = 0; i < NUM_PRODUCERS; i++) pthread_join(producer_threads[i], NULL);
63     for (int i = 0; i < NUM_CONSUMERS; i++) pthread_join(consumer_threads[i], NULL);
64
65     // Destroy mutex and semaphores
66     pthread_mutex_destroy(&mutex);
67     sem_destroy(&empty_slots); sem_destroy(&full_slots);
68
69     return 0;
70 }

```

In the above code example:

- We initialize two semaphores: `empty_slots` (initially set to the buffer size) and `full_slots` (initially set to 0).
- Producers wait for empty slots in the buffer (`sem_wait(&empty_slots)`) before producing items and signal that a new item is available (`sem_post(&full_slots)`).
- Consumers wait for the buffer to have data (`sem_wait(&full_slots)`) before consuming items and signal that an empty slot is available (`sem_post(&empty_slots)`).
- Mutex is used to protect the critical section (accessing the buffer) from simultaneous access by multiple threads.

Mutex vs Semaphore

Use a Mutex When:

- **Mutual Exclusion is Needed:** If you need to ensure that only one thread can access a shared resource at a time to prevent data corruption or race conditions, a mutex is the appropriate choice. Mutexes provide binary synchronization, ensuring exclusive access to a critical section of code.
- **Simple Locking Mechanism:** When you require a straightforward locking mechanism with only two states (locked or unlocked), a mutex is typically more appropriate. Mutexes are simpler and more lightweight than semaphores, making them suitable for scenarios where only binary synchronization is needed.
- **Low Resource Consumption:** Mutexes generally have lower overhead than semaphores, making them more efficient in terms of resource consumption. If efficiency is a concern and binary synchronization suffices for your needs, a mutex is a suitable choice.

Use a Semaphore When:

- **Resource Counting is Required:** If you need to manage multiple instances of a resource or control access to a resource based on a count, a semaphore is the appropriate choice. Counting semaphores allow you to specify an initial count and increment or decrement the count based on resource availability.
- **Complex Synchronization Scenarios:** When your synchronization requirements involve more complex scenarios beyond simple mutual exclusion, such as managing multiple resources with different availability conditions or coordinating multiple threads/tasks, semaphores provide more flexibility and expressive power.
- **Task Synchronization:** If you need to coordinate the synchronization of multiple tasks or processes at various points in their execution, semaphores can be used to signal events or conditions between them.

Code workout # 1:**Synchronization Examples: Bounded Buffer Problem.**

```

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <pthread.h>
#include <semaphore.h>

#define BUFFER_SIZE 5

sem_t mutex, empty, full;
int buffer[BUFFER_SIZE];
int in = 0, out = 0;

void *producer(void *arg) {
    int item;
    while (1) {
        item = rand() % 100; // Generate a random item to produce
        sem_wait(&empty);
        sem_wait(&mutex);

        buffer[in] = item;
        printf("Produced: %d\n", item);
        in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;

        sem_post(&mutex);
        sem_post(&full);
        sleep(rand() % 3); // Simulate some processing time
    }
}

void *consumer(void *arg) {
    int item;
    while (1) {
        sem_wait(&full);
        sem_wait(&mutex);

        item = buffer[out];
        printf("Consumed: %d\n", item);
        out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;

        sem_post(&mutex);
        sem_post(&empty);
        sleep(rand() % 3); // Simulate some processing time
    }
}

int main() {
    pthread_t producer_thread, consumer_thread;

    // Initialize semaphores
    sem_init(&mutex, 0, 1);
    sem_init(&empty, 0, BUFFER_SIZE);
    sem_init(&full, 0, 0);

    // Create producer and consumer threads
    pthread_create(&producer_thread, NULL, producer, NULL);
    pthread_create(&consumer_thread, NULL, consumer, NULL);

    // Join threads
    pthread_join(producer_thread, NULL);
    pthread_join(consumer_thread, NULL);

    // Destroy semaphores
    sem_destroy(&mutex);
    sem_destroy(&empty);
    sem_destroy(&full);

    return 0;
}

```

The **sleep** subroutine suspends the current process for whole seconds. The **usleep** subroutine suspends the current process in microseconds, and the **nsleep** subroutine suspends the current process in nanoseconds.

Observations and DIY code modifications (as per questions In-lab)

- Compile and run this code from bash command-line.
- Explain what functionality is implemented by full and empty semaphores.
- What purpose the function call sleep () is accomplishing in producer and consumer functions?

Code workout # 2:**Synchronization Examples: Readers-Writers Problem**

```

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <time.h>
#include <semaphore.h>
#include <pthread.h>

#define NUM_READERS 5
#define NUM_WRITERS 2
#define STRING_LENGTH 60

pthread_t readers[NUM_READERS], writers[NUM_WRITERS];
sem_t mutex, rw_mutex;
int readers_count = 0;
FILE *file;

char generateRandomChar() {
    return (char)('a' + rand() % 26); // Generating a random lowercase character
}

void *reader(void *arg) {
    while (1) {
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        readers_count++;
        if (readers_count == 1) {
            sem_wait(&rw_mutex);
        }
        sem_post(&mutex);

        // Reading from file
        fseek(file, 0, SEEK_SET);
        char buffer[256];
        while (fgets(buffer, sizeof(buffer), file) != NULL) {
            fprintf(stdout, "Reader %ld: %s", (long)arg, buffer);
        }

        sem_wait(&mutex);
        readers_count--;
        if (readers_count == 0) {
            sem_post(&rw_mutex);
        }
        sem_post(&mutex);

        // Perform other tasks
        usleep(1000);
    }
}

void *writer(void *arg) {
    while (1) {
        sem_wait(&rw_mutex);

        // generate random string to be written to file
        srand(time(NULL)); // Seed for random number generator
        char randomString[STRING_LENGTH + 1]; // +1 for null terminator
        for (int i = 0; i < STRING_LENGTH; i++) {
            randomString[i] = generateRandomChar();
        }
        randomString[STRING_LENGTH] = '\0'; // Null terminate the string
        // Writing to file
        fseek(file, 0, SEEK_END);
        fprintf(file, "%s\n", randomString); // writer to file on drive
        fprintf(stdout, "Writer %ld: %s\n", (long)arg, randomString); // display
        fflush(file);

        sem_post(&rw_mutex);

        // Perform other tasks
        usleep(1000);
    }
}

```

```

int main() {
    file = fopen("shared_file.txt", "a+");
    if (file == NULL) {
        perror("Error opening file");
        exit(EXIT_FAILURE);
    }

    sem_init(&mutex, 0, 1); sem_init(&rw_mutex, 0, 1);

    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < NUM_WRITERS; i++) pthread_create(&writers[i], NULL, writer, (void *) (long)i);
    for (i = 0; i < NUM_READERS; i++) pthread_create(&readers[i], NULL, reader, (void *) (long)i);

    for (i = 0; i < NUM_READERS; i++) pthread_join(readers[i], NULL);
    for (i = 0; i < NUM_WRITERS; i++) pthread_join(writers[i], NULL);
    fprintf(stdout, "reader pthread join completed\n");

    sem_destroy(&mutex); sem_destroy(&rw_mutex);
    fclose(file);

    return 0;
}

```

Observations and DIY code modifications (as per questions In-lab)

- a) Create a file **shared_file.txt** in the directory of the executable file. Write a few lines of text in the file.
- b) Compile and execute the file. Observe Writer and Reader process working both on screen output and entries in the **shared_file.txt**.
- c) Dry run the code of functions: i) reader, and ii) writer and submit your handwritten work.
- d) Verify that both reader and writer functions ensured the following:
 - i. No reader be kept waiting unless a writer has already obtained permission to use the shared object. In other words, no reader should wait for other readers to finish simply because a writer is waiting.
 - ii. Once a writer is ready, that writer performs its writing as soon as possible. In other words, if a writer is waiting to access the object, no new readers may start reading.
- e) Submit your dry run and answer of part (d) i & ii to your lab instructor.

------(X)-----