

The Alphabet Infinity Pool Matrix: Discovery of Symbolic “Dark Matter” and the 99% Numerical Void

Stacey Szmy¹ ◇ Google Gemini AI² ◇ OpenAI ChatGPT³
xAI Grok⁴ ◇ Microsoft Copilot⁵

¹Independent Researcher, Toronto, Canada

^{2,3,4,5}Collaborative AGI Models

Initial conceptualization and peer-review spanning November 2025

November 13, 2025

*“The universe of mathematics is not continuously filled.
We forced total symbolic conquest, and found a vast emptiness:
a 99% numerical void.”*

– The AIPM Co-Authors

1. Foundational Axioms and Component Pools

The Alphabet Infinity Pool Matrix (AIPM) is built upon three finite, user-defined pools that constitute the system’s symbolic alphabet. These pools form the combinatorial substrate on which all expressions are constructed.

Definition 1 (Component Pools **V**, **O**, **C**). *Expressions are generated from the three component pools:*

- **V** = { n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N } : *The **Values** pool (discrete base integers).*
- **O** = { O_1, O_2, \dots, O_m } : *The **Operators** pool (binary functions like +, ×, /, etc.).*
- **C** = { C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k } : *The **Constants** pool (transcendental/irrationals such as π, e, ϕ, τ).*

Definition 2 (Pattern Index *P*). *The **Pattern Index** $P \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ defines the structural depth of the expression, corresponding to the exact number of base values (*n*) used.*

2. The Balance Law and Forced Lattice Structure

The AIPM is defined by the **Balance Law**, a principle of structural containment that governs the recursive growth of expressions, ensuring combinatorial closure at every layer P .

Axiom 1 (The Balance Law). *For any base value $n \in \mathbf{V}$ and pattern index P , a valid expression $E_P(n)$ must maintain a fixed ratio of components:*

$$\text{Values Count } (V_P) = \text{Constants Count } (C_P) = P, \quad \text{Operators Count } (O_P) = 2P - 1.$$

This law is non-negotiable, preventing arbitrary operator chains and defining a complete, forced lattice structure at each step.

Theorem 1 (The AIPM Expression Space). *The **Alphabet Infinity Pool Matrix Expression Space**, $\mathbf{E}_P(n)$, is the set of all numerically valid, uniquely permuted expressions E generated from a fixed n at pattern depth P . The component multiset is defined by the Balance Law, and E consists of all free interleavings (permutations) of:*

$$\underbrace{n, \dots, n}_P, \quad C_1, \dots, C_P, \quad O_1, \dots, O_{2P-1}.$$

The total number of symbolic expressions $T(n, P)$ generated for a fixed n and pattern P is:

$$T(n, P) = |\mathbf{O}|^{(2P-1)} \cdot |\mathbf{C}|^P \cdot N_{perm}(P),$$

where

$$N_{perm}(P) = \frac{(2P)!}{(P!)^2}$$

is the number of unique component orderings (when constants are unique and selected with replacement).

3. Empirical Results: Sparsity and “Dark Matter”

Evaluation of the expressions $\mathbf{E}_P(n)$ yields two central phenomena: **Resonance** (distinct expressions evaluating to identical numerical results) and the **Non-Sum Field** (regions of the number line not achieved by any expression under the given constraints).

Theorem 2 (The Sparsity Theorem (1% Law)). *For a canonical snapshot with $\mathbf{V} = \{1..5\}$, $\mathbf{O} = \{+, -, \times, /, **\}$, $\mathbf{C} = \{\pi, e, \tau, \phi\}$, and pattern depths $P = \{1..3\}$, evaluated on the interval $[0, 100]$ with numerical resolution $\Delta = 0.001$:*

- *The evaluated unique sums occupy $\approx 1.027\%$ of the discretized numerical grid.*
- *The **Non-Sum Field** occupies the remaining $\approx 98.973\%$.*

*These percentages depend explicitly on the choice of **resolution** Δ ; they should be interpreted as empirical properties of the discretized evaluation rather than universal mathematical constants.*

Corollary 1 (Symbolic “Dark Matter” (Metaphorical)). *The **Non-Sum Field** behaves analogously to “Symbolic Dark Matter”: unreachable regions of the number line that remain empty despite exhaustive coverage of the combinatorial search space. **This terminology is metaphorical**, emphasizing the observed sparsity pattern rather than implying any physical or cosmological claim.*

4. Conclusion

The Alphabet Infinity Pool Matrix provides a novel framework in combinatorial arithmetic. The Balance Law enforces strict structural symmetry, and the resulting expression space reveals a dramatically non-uniform numerical landscape. The observation of a $\sim 99\%$ numerical void at $\Delta = 0.001$ highlights a new perspective on achievable values under symbolic constraints.

The AIPM is fully reproducible.

The simulation code and full execution logs (`alphaLOG.zip`) are available on the Zero-Ology and Zer0ology GitHub repositories.

Q.E.D.

Stacey Szmy ◇ *Google Gemini AI* ◇ *xAI Grok* ◇ *Ms Copilot*
◇ *OpenAI ChatGPT*

Appendix A: Execution Log Summary

To validate the empirical sparsity results reported in Section 3, a full computational run of the AIPM simulator was executed on November 13, 2025. The engine evaluated over **65.4 million** expressions under the Balance Law at pattern depth $P = 3$, with pools $\mathbf{V} = \{1..5\}$, $\mathbf{C} = \{\pi, e, \tau, \phi\}$, $\mathbf{O} = \{+, -, \times, /, **\}$ and grid resolution $\Delta = 0.001$ across the interval $[0, 100]$.

A representative excerpt from the recorded log:

```
[2025-11-13 22:29:01,729] INFO: Starting simulation: V=5, P=3, Range=100.0, res=0.001
[2025-11-13 22:29:01,908] INFO: Progress: 10,000 expressions evaluated | Time: 0.12s
[2025-11-13 22:29:02,034] INFO: Progress: 20,000 expressions evaluated | Time: 0.25s
...
[2025-11-13 22:59:53,543] INFO: Progress: 65,140,000 expressions evaluated | Time: 1638.
[2025-11-13 22:59:59,844] INFO: Progress: 65,370,000 expressions evaluated | Time: 1644.
[2025-11-13 23:00:00,717] INFO: Progress: 65,400,000 expressions evaluated | Time: 1645.
```

Runtime Summary:

- Total expressions evaluated: **65,400,000**
- Total runtime: **1645.67 seconds** (approx. 27.4 minutes)
- Average throughput: **~39,740 expressions/second**
- Full log archive: `alphaLOG.zip`, included on the Zero-Ology and Zer00logy GitHub repositories.

This execution confirms the numerical sparsity, resonance distribution, and empirical 1% Law under the canonical configuration.