

# Reviewer Response (Compact Version)

Donald Duck and Mickey Mouse

Dear Dr. Doom,

Please find enclosed the revised version of our previous submission entitled “My Awesome Paper Title” with manuscript number TWC-2020-X. We would like to thank you and the reviewers for the valuable comments which help improving the quality of our manuscript. In this revision, we have carefully addressed the reviewers’ comments. A summary of main modifications and a detailed point-by-point response to the comments from Reviewers 1 to 3 (following the reviewers’ order in the decision letter) are given below.

Sincerely,

Donald Duck and Mickey Mouse

## **i** Note

To enhance the legibility of this response letter, all the editor’s and reviewers’ comments are typeset in boxes. Rephrased or added sentences are typeset in color. The respective parts in the manuscript are highlighted to indicate changes.

---

## To Editor

The reviewer(s) have suggested some minor revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)’ comments and revise your manuscript.

### Reply

According to the reviewers’ comments, we have checked our manuscript and addressed them in the following way:

1. We added content.
2. We removed our wrong statements in Section I.

---

## To Reviewer 1

General comment about the work.

### Reply

We have carefully addressed all the issues item by item as follows.

**Comment 1.1** — Your work is really good. However, you should change the title.

### Reply

We agree that the title is somewhat misleading. We therefore changed it in the current version of the manuscript.

#### Change

Changes made to support the point.

**Comment 1.2** — Everything else is really good.

### Reply

We totally agree. We also added the following to the new version of the manuscript

#### Todo

This really important sentence was added to the paper.