

§3.2 SOLVING IVPs WITH LAPLACE TRANSFORMS (BOYCE 6.2)

How do we use Laplace transforms to solve initial value problems? The fundamental insight comes from the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2.1 Let $f(t)$, $t \geq 0$ be a continuous, differentiable function ~~etc.~~ whose Laplace transform exists, s.t. f' is piecewise continuous. Then

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L}[f'] = s \cdot \mathcal{L}[f] - f(0)}$$

for $s > \text{some } a$.

Proof sketch: $\mathcal{L}[f'] = \int_0^\infty f'(t) e^{-st} dt$
 $\stackrel{\text{IBP}}{=} f(t) e^{-st} \Big|_0^\infty - (-s) \int_0^\infty f(t) e^{-st} dt$
 $= -f(0) + s \mathcal{L}[f]$

Corollary: $\mathcal{L}[f''] = s \cdot \mathcal{L}[f'] - f'(0)$
 3.2.2 $= s(s \mathcal{L}[f] - f(0)) - f'(0)$

So $\boxed{\mathcal{L}[f''] = s^2 \mathcal{L}[f] - s f(0) - f'(0)}$

when f is doubly differentiable & well behaved (i.e. its derivatives are at most exponentially growing etc.)

We can of course use the above methodology to obtain formulae for the Laplace transform of higher derivatives of f (if they exist).

General approach 3.2.3 The idea to solving IVPs using Laplace transforms is therefore as follows:
 Given a constant coefficient linear ODE with known initial values,

• Suppose $\phi(t)$ solves the ~~given~~ IVP. Let $\Phi(s)$ be the Laplace transform of $\phi(t)$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}[\phi] = \Phi(s)$.

- Take the Laplace transform of the DE, to obtain an equation in Φ , s , $\phi(0)$, $\phi'(0)$ etc.
- Solve for Φ as a function of s , all other quantities being known
- Look up in a table to see which $\phi(t)$ have Laplace transform $\Phi(s)$.

Example 3.2.4 We start with an eqⁿ homogeneous equation:
 $y'' - y' - 2y = 0$, $y(0) = 1$, $y'(0) = 0$

We know how to solve ~~this~~ conventionally: CE is $r^2 - r - 2 = 0$,
 So solution has the form $y = c_1 e^{-t} + c_2 e^{2t}$
 IEs: $y(0) = 1 \Rightarrow c_1 + c_2 = 1$
 $y'(0) = 0 \Rightarrow -c_1 + 2c_2 = 0$
 Solving yields $c_1 = \frac{2}{3}$, $c_2 = \frac{1}{3}$, so $y = \frac{2}{3}e^{-t} + \frac{1}{3}e^{2t}$.

Laplace transform way: Suppose $y = \phi(t)$ is the solution to this IVP.
 Then $\phi'' - \phi' - 2\phi = 0$, $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi'(0) = 0$.

• Hit the DE with \mathcal{L} :

$$\mathcal{L}[\phi'' - \phi' - 2\phi] = \mathcal{L}[0]$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}[\phi''] - \mathcal{L}[\phi'] - 2\mathcal{L}[\phi] = 0, \text{ as } \mathcal{L}[0] = 0, \text{ \& hearing } -2\mathcal{L}[\phi] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (s^2 \mathcal{L}[\phi] - s\phi(0) - \phi'(0)) - (s\mathcal{L}[\phi] - \phi(0)) - 2\mathcal{L}[\phi] = 0 \quad \text{using Theorems 3.2.1 \& 3.2.2.}$$

Suppose $\mathcal{L}[\phi] = \Phi$

$$\text{Then } (s^2 - s - 2)\Phi - s - 0 + 1 = 0 \quad \text{using } \phi'(0) = 0, \phi(0) = 1$$

$$\text{So } \Phi(s) = \frac{s-1}{s^2-s-2} = \frac{s-1}{(s-2)(s+1)}$$

Now we use partial fractions to get $\frac{s-1}{(s+1)(s-2)} = \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{s+1} + \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{s-2}$.

$$\text{Hence } \Phi(s) = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{s+1} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{s-2}.$$

Finally, we know $\mathcal{L}[e^{at}] = \frac{1}{s-a}$ from previously, so we conclude that we must have

$$\phi(t) = \frac{2}{3}e^{-t} + \frac{1}{3}e^{2t}.$$

□.

Note that ~~what~~ in the last step, where we go from $\Phi(s)$ to obtaining the $\phi(t)$ whose Laplace transform is $\Phi(s)$, we have made some implicit assumptions, which are laid out below.

Definition 3.2.5 The Inverse Laplace Operator \mathcal{L}^{-1} is a linear (integral) operator that takes as input functions in s -space & returns functions in t -space. It is (for all practical purposes) the unique inverse operator of \mathcal{L} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}[\mathcal{L}[f(t)]] = f(t)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{L}^{-1}[F(s)]] = F(s)$$

for all $f(t)$ & $F(s)$ suitably well-behaved.

The Inverse Laplace Operator can be defined using a complex integral, and hence is a bit ~~outside~~ outside the scope of the course. Thus what we usually do is consult a lookup table to see which functions $f(t)$ have Laplace transform $F(s)$.

Note: \mathcal{L}^{-1} is linear, so $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[c_1 F_1(s) + c_2 F_2(s)] = c_1 \mathcal{L}^{-1}[F_1(s)] + c_2 \mathcal{L}^{-1}[F_2(s)]$.

The Laplace Transform is particularly effective when it comes to solving nonhomogeneous DEs.

Example 3.2.6: Find the solution to $y'' + y = \sin(2t)$,
 $y(0) = 0$, $y'(0) = 1$

So let $\phi(t)$ be the solution to the IVP, & let $\Phi(s) = \mathcal{L}[\phi(t)]$.

$$\text{Then } \phi'' + \phi = \sin(2t)$$

$$\text{So } \mathcal{L}[\phi''] + \mathcal{L}[\phi] = \mathcal{L}[\sin(2t)]$$

$$\Rightarrow (s^2 \Phi - s\phi(0) - \phi'(0)) + \Phi = \frac{2}{s^2 + 4} \quad \text{using } \mathcal{L}[\sin(at)] = \frac{a}{s^2 + a^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (s^2 + 1)\Phi - 2s - 1 = \frac{2}{s^2 + 4}$$

$$\Rightarrow (s^2 + 1)\Phi = \frac{2}{s^2 + 4} + \frac{(2s+1)(s^2+4)}{s^2 + 4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\Phi(s)} = \frac{2s^3 + s^2 + 8s + 6}{(s^2+1)(s^2+4)}$$

We can use partial fractions again to decompose the RHS:

$$\frac{2s^3 + s^2 + 8s + 6}{(s^2+1)(s^2+4)} = \frac{as+b}{s^2+1} + \frac{cs+d}{s^2+4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } 2s^3 + s^2 + 8s + 6 &= (as+b)(s^2+4) + (cs+d)(s^2+1) \\ &= (a+c)s^3 + (b+d)s^2 + (4a+c)s + (4b+d) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence we must have } \begin{aligned} a+c &= 2, & b+d &= 1 \\ 4a+c &= 8, & 4b+d &= 6 \end{aligned}$$

These are 2 independent systems of linear equations in 2 variables each, which we know how to solve. We get:

$$a=2, \quad c=0, \quad b=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad d=-\frac{2}{3},$$

$$\text{So } \underline{\Phi(s)} = \frac{2s}{s^2+1} + \frac{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}}{s^2+1} - \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{s^2+4}$$

$$\text{Hence } \phi(t) = 2 \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{s}{s^2+1}\right] + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s^2+1}\right] - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{2}{s^2+4}\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\phi(t) = 2 \cos(t) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin(t) - \frac{1}{3} \sin(2t)}. \quad \square$$

We see then that the Laplace Transform method has advantages & disadvantages associated with it:

Advantages:

- Converts differential problem into purely algebraic problem.
- Can be used to solve higher-order IVPs.
- Works on NH equations with a large range of forcing functions.

Disadvantages:

- Algebra can get messy
- Need a lookup table of Laplace (inverse) transforms
- Constant coefficient DEs only
(can be extended to non-constant coeff. equation, but the algebra can become unsolvably messy).

SOLVING DES WITH LAPLACE TRANSFORMS, (BOYCE 6.2)
PART 2

~~Example~~ We continue with a final example to show just how much easier solving an IVP can be with intelligent use of Laplace transforms.

Example 3.2.7 Solve the IVP

$$y'' + 4y' + 4y = (t^2 - 3t + 2)e^{-2t}, \quad y(0) = y'(0) = 0.$$

This would be a tough IVP to solve using the ^{usual} homogeneous solution + particular solution method.

- The homogeneous part of the DE has repeated roots
- The forcing function is quite complicated
- Both independent solutions (e^{2t}, te^{2t}) to the homogeneous DE are already present in the forcing function.

As such, what would we even guess for the particular solution?

However, consider solving it with Laplace transforms. Let $y = \phi(t)$ be the IVP's solution, and let $\Phi(s) = \mathcal{L}[\phi(t)]$.

Note that $\mathcal{L}[\phi'] = s\mathcal{L}[\phi] - \phi(0) = s\Phi$,

while $\mathcal{L}[\phi''] = s^2\mathcal{L}[\phi] - s\phi(0) - \phi'(0) = s^2\Phi$

So we already have a simplification.

So: $\phi'' + 4\phi' + 4\phi = (t^2 - 3t + 2)e^{-2t}$

Becomes $\mathcal{L}[\phi'' + 4\phi' + 4\phi] = \mathcal{L}[(t^2 - 3t + 2)e^{-2t}]$ ①

The LHS is thus $\mathcal{L}[\phi''] + 4\mathcal{L}[\phi'] + 4\mathcal{L}[\phi]$
 $= s^2\Phi + 4s\Phi + 4\Phi$
 $= (s^2 + 4s + 4)\Phi$
 $= (s+2)^2\Phi$

To compute the Laplace transform of the RHS, recall that $\mathcal{L}[e^{at}f(t)] = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s-a)$

$$\text{So } \mathcal{L}[(t^2 - 3t + 2)e^{-2t}] = \mathcal{L}[t^2 - 3t + 2](s+2)$$

$$\text{And } \mathcal{L}[t^n] = \frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \mathcal{L}[t^2 - 3t + 2] &= \mathcal{L}[t^2] - 3\mathcal{L}[t] + 2\mathcal{L}[1] \\ &= \frac{2}{s^3} - \frac{3}{s^2} + \frac{2}{s} \\ &= \frac{2s^2 - 3s + 2}{s^3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \mathcal{L}[(t^2 - 3t + 2)e^{-2t}] = \frac{2(s+2)^2 - 3(s+2) + 2}{(s+2)^3}$$

Thus, equating the LHS of ① with the RHS we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[\phi'' + 4\phi' + 4\phi] &= \mathcal{L}[(t^2 - 3t + 2)e^{-2t}] \\ (s^2 + 4s + 4)\Phi &= \frac{2(s+2)^2 - 3(s+2) + 2}{(s+2)^3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \Phi = \frac{2(s+2)^2 - 3(s+2) + 2}{(s+2)^5} = 2(s+2)^{-3} - 3(s+2)^{-4} + 2(s+2)^{-5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{And } \phi(t) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\Phi(s)] = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[2(s+2)^{-3} - 3(s+2)^{-4} + 2(s+2)^{-5}] \\ &= 2\mathcal{L}^{-1}[(s+2)^{-3}] - 3\mathcal{L}^{-1}[(s+2)^{-4}] + 2\mathcal{L}^{-1}[(s+2)^{-5}] \end{aligned}$$

Next recall that $\mathcal{L}[e^{at}f(t)] = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s-a)$, so going backwards we get $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[F(s-a)] = e^{at}\mathcal{L}^{-1}[F(s)]$.
In other words, $2\mathcal{L}^{-1}[(s+2)^{-3}] - 3\mathcal{L}^{-1}[(s+2)^{-4}] + 2\mathcal{L}^{-1}[(s+2)^{-5}]$
 $= 2e^{-2t}\mathcal{L}^{-1}[s^{-3}] - 3e^{-2t}\mathcal{L}^{-1}[s^{-4}] + 2e^{-2t}\mathcal{L}^{-1}[s^{-5}]$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Finally, since } \mathcal{L}[t^n] &= \frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}, \text{ we have } \mathcal{L}^{-1}[s^{-(n+1)}] = \frac{1}{n!}t^n \\ \text{Thus } 2e^{-2t}\mathcal{L}^{-1}[s^{-3}] - 3e^{-2t}\mathcal{L}^{-1}[s^{-4}] + 2e^{-2t}\mathcal{L}^{-1}[s^{-5}] \\ &= e^{-2t}\left(2 \cdot \frac{1}{2!}t^2 - 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3!}t^3 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4!}t^4\right) \\ &= e^{-2t} \cdot t^2\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{12}t^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So we arrive at the solution } y = \phi(t) = \frac{1}{12}e^{-2t} \cdot t^2(t^2 - 6t + 12)$$

□