Developer Q Distribute News Discover Design Develop Support Account **Developer Forums** Q Search by keywords or tags

SecItem: Pitfalls and Best Practices

This thread has been locked by a moderator.

I regularly help developers with keychain problems, both here on DevForums and for my Day Job™ in DTS. Over the years I've learnt a lot about



If you have questions or comments about any of this, put them in a new thread and apply the Security tag so that I see it. Share and Enjoy

Quinn "The Eskimo!" @ Developer Technical Support @ Apple

the API, including many pitfalls and best practices. This post is my attempt to collect that experience in one place.

let myEmail = "eskimo" + "1" + "@" + "apple.com" **SecItem: Pitfalls and Best Practices**

It's just four functions, how hard can it be?

The SecItem API seems very simple. After all, it only has four function calls, how hard can it be? In reality, things are not that easy. Various factors

contribute to making this API much trickier than it might seem at first glance. This post explains some of the keychain's pitfalls and then goes on to explain various best practices. Before reading this, make sure you understand the fundamentals by reading its companion post, SecItem Fundamentals.

Pitfalls

Lets start with some common pitfalls.

let query = [

Queries and Uniqueness Constraints The relationship between query dictionaries and uniqueness constraints is a major source of problems with the keychain API. Consider code like

kSecAttrService: "AYS",

kSecAttrAccount: "mrgumby",

kSecClass: kSecClassGenericPassword,

this: var copyResult: CFTypeRef? = nil

kSecAttrGeneric: Data("SecItemHints".utf8),] as NSMutableDictionary let err = SecItemCopyMatching(query, ©Result) if err == errSecItemNotFound { query[kSecValueData] = Data("opendoor".utf8) let err2 = SecItemAdd(query, nil) if err2 == errSecDuplicateItem { fatalError("... can you get here? ...") Can you get to the fatal error? At first glance this might not seem possible because you've run your query and it's returned errSecItemNotFound. However, the fatal error is possible because the query contains an attribute, kSecAttrGeneric, that does not contribute to the uniqueness. If the keychain contains a generic password whose service (kSecAttrService) and account (kSecAttrAccount) attributes match those supplied but who's generic

(kSecAttrGeneric) attribute does not, the SecItemCopyMatching calls will return errSecItemNotFound. However, for a generic

password item, of the attributes shown here, only the service and account attributes are included in the uniqueness constraint. If you try to add

an item where those attributes match an existing item, the add will fail with errSecDuplicateItem even though the value of the generic attribute is different.

The take-home point is that that you should study the attributes that contribute to uniqueness and use them in a way that's aligned with your view of uniqueness. See the *Uniqueness* section of SecItem Fundamentals for a link to the relevant documentation. **Context Matters** Some properties change behaviour based on the context. The value type properties are the biggest offender here, as discussed in the Value Type Subtleties section of SecItem Fundamentals. However, there are others.

The one that's bitten me is kSecMatchLimit: • In a query and return dictionary its default value is kSecMatchLimitOne. If you don't supply a value for kSecMatchLimit,

SecItemCopyMatching returns at most one item that matches your query.

• In a pure query dictionary its default value is kSecMatchLimitAll. For example, if you don't supply a value for kSecMatchLimit, SecItemDelete will delete all items that match your query. This is a lesson that, once learnt, is never forgotten!

Digital Identities Aren't Real A digital identity is the combination of a certificate and the private key that matches the public key within that certificate. The SecItem API has a

Note Although this only applies to the data-protection keychain. If you're on macOS and targeting the file-based keychain,

kSecMatchLimitAll always defaults to kSecMatchLimitOne (r. 105800863). Fun times!

digital identity keychain item class, namely kSecClassIdentity. However, the keychain does not store digital identities. When you add a digital identity to the keychain, the system stores its components, the certificate and the private key, separately, using kSecClassCertificate and kSecClassKey respectively.

This has a number of non-obvious effects: • Adding a certificate can 'add' a digital identity. If the new certificate happens to match a private key that's already in the keychain, the

keychain treats that pair as a digital identity.

different digital identity.

Add-only Attributes

Less Painful Dictionaries

kSecClassGenericPassword,

CFDictionaryRef query = CFDictionaryCreate(

kSecClass: kSecClassGenericPassword,

kSecClass: kSecClassGenericPassword,

var err = SecItemCopyMatching(dict, ©Result)

dict[kSecValueData] = Data("opendoor".utf8)

kSecAttrService: "AYS",

kSecAttrService: "AYS",

kSecReturnData: true,

if err == errSecItemNotFound {

example, I'd rewrite the above as:

let query = [

var copyResult: CFTypeRef? = nil

kSecAttrService: "AYS",

kSecReturnData: true,

if err == errSecItemNotFound {

] as NSMutableDictionary

let add = [

kSecAttrAccount: "mrgumby",

kSecAttrService: "AYS",

func makeDict() -> NSMutableDictionary {

kSecAttrService: "AYS",

] as NSMutableDictionary

var copyResult: CFTypeRef? = nil

query[kSecReturnData] = true

if err == errSecItemNotFound {

let query = makeDict()

kSecAttrAccount: "mrgumby",

kSecAttrAccount: "mrgumby",

kSecClass: kSecClassGenericPassword,

var err = SecItemCopyMatching(query, ©Result)

kSecClass: kSecClassGenericPassword,

kSecValueData: Data("opendoor".utf8),

kSecClass: kSecClassGenericPassword,

var err = SecItemCopyMatching(query, ©Result)

encounter a keychain problem that only shows up in the field.

] as NSMutableDictionary

kSecAttrAccount: "mrgumby",

kSecReturnAttributes: true,

kSecMatchLimit: 10,

CFTypeRef keys[4] = {

CFSTR("AYS"),

kCFBooleanTrue,

ten,

NULL, keys,

let query = [

] as NSDictionary

let dict = [

Nice!

kSecClass,

 Likewise when you add a private key. Similarly, removing a certificate or private key can 'remove' a digital identity. • Adding a digital identity will either add a private key, or a certificate, or both, depending on what's already in the keychain. • Removing a digital identity removes its certificate. It might also remove the private key, depending on whether that private key is used by a

Apple platforms let you protect a key with the Secure Enclave (SE). The key is then hardware bound. It can only be used by that specific SE [1].

Earlier versions of the Protecting keys with the Secure Enclave article implied that SE-protected keys were stored in the SE itself. This is not true,

and it's caused a lot of confusion. For example, I once asked the keychain team "How much space does the SE have available to store keys?", a

Keys Aren't Stored in the Secure Enclave

question that's complete nonsense once you understand how this works.

A while back we updated the docs to clarify this point but the confusion persists.

with the file-based keychain, please do file a bug against the shim. It'll be in good company.

In reality, SE-protected keys are stored in the standard keychain database alongside all your other keychain items. The difference is that the key is wrapped in such a way that only the SE can use it. So, the key is *protected by* the SE, not *stored in* the SE.

the key becomes permanently useless. This is the sort of thing you'll find explained in Apple Platform Security. Careful With that Shim, Mac Developer

[1] Technically it's that specific iteration of that specific SE. If you erase the device then the key material needed to use the key is erased and so

protection keychain or the file-based keychain depending on the nature of the request. That shim has limitations. Some of those are architectural but others are simply bugs in the shim. For some great examples, see the *Investigating Complex Attributes* section below. The best way to avoid problems like this is to target the data protection keychain. If you can't do that, try to avoid exploring the outer reaches of

Some attributes can only be set when you add an item. These attributes are usually associated with the scope of the item. For example, to

protect an item with the Secure Enclave, supply the kSecAttrAccessControl attribute to the SecItemAdd call. Once you do that, however,

the SecItem API. If you encounter a case that doesn't make sense, try that same case with the data protection keychain. If it works there but fails

As explained in TN3137 On Mac keychain APIs and implementations, macOS has a shim that connects the SecItem API to either the data

Best Practices With the pitfalls out of the way, let's talk about best practices.

you can't change the attribute. Calling SecItemUpdate with a new kSecAttrAccessControl won't work.

I look at a lot of keychain code and it's amazing how much of it is way more painful than it needs to be. The biggest offender here is the dictionaries. Here are two tips to minimise the pain. First, don't use CFDictionary. It's seriously ugly. While the SecItem API is defined in terms of CFDictionary, you don't have to work with CFDictionary directly. Rather, use NSDictionary and take advantage of the toll-free bridge.

kSecAttrService, kSecMatchLimit, kSecReturnAttributes,

For example, consider this CFDictionary code:

```
static const int kTen = 10;
CFNumberRef ten = CFNumberCreate(NULL, kCFNumberIntType, &kTen);
CFAutorelease(ten);
CFTypeRef values[4] = {
```

```
values,
     &kCFTypeDictionaryKeyCallBacks,
     &kCFTypeDictionaryValueCallBacks
Note This might seem rather extreme but I've literally seen code like this, and worse, while helping developers.
Contrast this to the equivalent NSDictionary code:
 NSDictionary * query = @{
      (__bridge NSString *) kSecClass: (__bridge NSString *) kSecClassGenericPassword,
      (<u>__bridge NSString *</u>) kSecAttrService: @"AYS",
     (__bridge NSString *) kSecMatchLimit: @10,
      (__bridge NSString *) kSecReturnAttributes: @YES,
 };
Wow, that's so much better.
Second, if you're working in Swift, take advantage of its awesome ability to create NSDictionary values from Swift dictionary literals. Here's
the equivalent code in Swift:
```

Avoid Reusing Dictionaries I regularly see folks reuse dictionaries for different SecItem calls. For example, they might have code like this: var copyResult: CFTypeRef? = nil

```
err = SecItemAdd(dict, nil)
This specific example will work, but it's easy to spot the logic error. kSecReturnData is a return type property and it makes no sense to pass it
to a SecItemAdd call whose second parameter is nil.
I'm not sure why folks do this. I think it's because they think that constructing dictionaries is expensive. Regardless, this pattern can lead to all
sorts of weird problems. For example, it's the leading cause of the issue described in the Queries and the Uniqueness Constraints section,
above.
```

My advice is that you use a new dictionary for each call. That prevents state from one call accidentally leaking into a subsequent call. For

] as NSMutableDictionary err = SecItemAdd(add, nil) It's a bit longer, but it's much easier to track the flow. And if you want to eliminate the repetition, use a helper function:

```
let add = makeDict()
     query[kSecValueData] = Data("opendoor".utf8)
     err = SecItemAdd(add, nil)
Think Before Wrapping
A lot of folks look at the SecItem API and immediately reach for a wrapper library. A keychain wrapper library might seem like a good idea but
there are some serious downsides:

    It adds another dependency to your project.

    Different subsystems within your project may use different wrappers.

  • The wrapper can obscure the underlying API. Indeed, its entire raison d'être is to obscure the underlying API. This is problematic if things
     go wrong. I regularly talk to folks with hard-to-debug keychain problems and the conversation goes something like this:
     Quinn: What attributes do you use in the query dictionary?
     J R Developer: What's a query dictionary?
     Quinn: OK, so what error are you getting back?
     J R Developer: It throws WrapperKeychainFailedError.
```

If you do use a wrapper, make sure it has diagnostic support that includes the values passed to and from the SecItem API. Also make sure

calls, and it'll certainly contain a lot of complex code. On the other hand, a specific wrapper may have a model of the keychain that doesn't

that, when it fails, it returns an error that includes the underlying keychain error code. These benefits will be particularly useful if you

• Wrappers must choose whether to be general or specific. A general wrapper may be harder to understand than the equivalent SecItem

I recommend that you think twice before using a keychain wrapper. Personally I find the SecItem API relatively easy to call, assuming that:

If you're not prepared to take the SecItem API neat, consider writing your own wrapper, one that's tightly focused on the requirements of your

• I use the techniques shown in Less Painful Dictionaries, above, to avoid having to deal with CFDictionary.

then re-add the item. This is a shame because SecItemUpdate has some important benefits:

• I use my secCall(...) helpers to simplify error handling. For the code, see Calling Security Framework from Swift.

project. For example, in my VPN apps I use the wrapper from this post, which does exactly what I need in about 100 lines of code. Prefer to Update Of the four SecItem functions, SecItemUpdate is the most neglected. Rather than calling SecItemUpdate I regularly see folks delete and

Understand These Key Attributes

Investigating Complex Attributes

supply?

example:

let cert: SecCertificate = ...

% xxd issuer asn1

SEQUENCE {

SET {

% dumpasn1 -p issuer.asn1

% xxd issuer-file-based.asn1

Revision History

Security

Add a Comment

Complex Attributes section.

% dumpasn1 -p issuer-file-based.asn1

00000020: 42

SEQUENCE { SET {

let attrs = try secCall { SecItemAdd([

OBJECT IDENTIFIER commonName (2 5 4 3)

21111543). Once you get past that, however, you'll see it print:

<301f3110 300e0603 5504030c 074d6f75 73654341 310b3009 06035504 06130247 42>

00000000: 301f 3110 300e 0603 5504 030c 074d 6f75 0.1.0...U....Mou 00000010: 7365 4341 310b 3009 0603 5504 0613 0247 seCA1.0...U....G

SecItem attributes for keys. You wouldn't believe how often I consult this!

00000000: 3110 300e 0603 5504 030c 074d 6f75 7365 1.0...U....Mouse 00000010: 4341 310b 3009 0603 5504 0613 0247 42 CA1.0...U....GB

That's not helpful)-:

align with your requirements.

• It preserves persistent references. If you delete and then re-add the item, you get a new item with a new persistent reference. • It's well aligned with the fundamental database nature of the keychain. It forces you to think about which attributes uniquely identify your item and which items can be updated without changing the item's identity.

Key items have a number of attributes that are similarly named, and it's important to keep them straight. I created a cheat sheet for this, namely,

What exactly does that mean? If I want to search the keychain for all certificates issued by a specific certificate authority, what value should I

One way to figure this out is to add a certificate to the keychain, read the attributes back, and then dump the kSecAttrIssuer value. For

kSecValueRef: cert, kSecReturnAttributes: true,] as NSDictionary, \$0) } as! [String: Any] let issuer = attrs[kSecAttrIssuer as String] as! NSData print((issuer as NSData).debugDescription) // prints: <3110300e 06035504 030c074d 6f757365 4341310b 30090603 55040613 024742>

dump it as ASN.1 you'll get a nice dump of the first SET and then a warning about extra stuff at the end of the file:

Which is different! Dumping it as ASN.1 shows that it's the full Name structure, including the outer SEQUENCE element:

Some attributes have values where the format is not obvious. For example, the kSecAttrIssuer attributed is documented as:

The corresponding value is of type CFData and contains the X.500 issuer name of a certificate.

UTF8String 'MouseCA' Warning: Further data follows ASN.1 data at position 18. Note For details on the Name structure, see section 4.1.2.4 of RFC 5280. Amusingly, if you run the same test against the file-based keychain you'll... crash. OK, that's not amusing. It turns out that the code above doesn't work when targeting the file-based keychain because SecItemAdd doesn't return a dictionary but rather an array of dictionaries (r.

Those bytes represent the contents of a X.509 Name ASN.1 structure with DER encoding. This is without the outer SEQUENCE element, so if you

SEQUENCE { OBJECT IDENTIFIER commonName (2 5 4 3) UTF8String 'MouseCA' SET { SEQUENCE { OBJECT IDENTIFIER countryName (2 5 4 6) PrintableString 'GB'

This difference in behaviour between the data protection and file-based keychains is a known bug (r. 26391756) but in this case it's handy

• 2022-02-22 Fixed the link to the VPNKeychain post. Corrected the name of the Context Matters section. Added the Investigating

Posted 3 months ago by (eskimo)

because the file-based keychain behaviour makes it easier to understand the data protection keychain behaviour.

iOS Accessibility Documentation Apple Developer Program iPadOS Accessories Curriculum Apple Developer Enterprise Program macOS App Extensions Downloads App Store Small Business Program tvOS App Store MFi Program Forums Audio & Video watchOS Videos News Partner Program **Augmented Reality** Video Partner Program Tools Support Business Security Bounty Program Swift **Support Articles** Design Security Research Device Program SwiftUI Contact Us Distribution SF Symbols **Bug Reporting Events** Education Swift Playgrounds System Status App Accelerators Fonts TestFlight App Store Awards Games Account Xcode Apple Design Awards Health & Fitness Apple Developer Xcode Cloud Apple Developer Academies In-App Purchase App Store Connect **Entrepreneur Camp** Localization Certificates, IDs, & Profiles Tech Talks Maps & Location Feedback Assistant **WWDC**

This site contains user submitted content, comments and opinions and is for informational purposes only. Apple disclaims any and all liability for the acts, omissions and conduct of any third parties in connection with or related to your use of the site. All postings and use of the content on this site are subject to the Apple Developer Forums Participation Agreement. Developer Forums **Platforms Topics & Technologies** Resources **Programs**

Machine Learning Security Safari & Web

To view the latest developer news, visit News and Updates Copyright © 2023 Apple Inc. All rights reserved. Terms of Use Privacy Policy License Agreements