Power for de novo and case/control studies

Hailiang Huang¹, Benjamin Neale¹ and Stephan Sanders²

- 1 Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital and the Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard
- 2 Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of California San Francisco

Power for de novo analysis

Assume the expected number of de novo mutations per individual genome is Q_0 . If we perform the de novo analysis on a proportion of the genome (denoted as $f, f \in [0, 1]$), the expected number of mutations in this selected region of genome is fQ_0 .

For cases, assume a proportion (denoted as $p, p \in [0, 1]$) of the de novo mutations are functional with with relative risk of R. The expected number of de novo mutations in cases is (see proof 1):

$$Q_1 = (1 - p)fQ_0 + RpfQ_0.$$

Simulation

We simulate the number of de novo mutations for contols using a poisson distribution with the poisson parameter of fQ_0 . We simulate the number of de novo mutations for cases using a mixture of two poisson distributions with poisson parameters of $(1-p)fQ_0$ and $RpfQ_0$ respectively. We then perform a poisson regression and get the deviance between the poisson model (simulated number of de novo mutations versus case/control status) and the null model. The deviance is chi-square distributed and will be used to calculate the power. This process is repeated several times.

Parametric

When the sample size is large, poisson test can be approximiated using the t test. The chi-square distributed test statistic can be calculated as (see proof 2):

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(Q_1 - Q_0)^2}{Q_1/N_1 + Q_0/N_0},$$

in which N_1 and N_0 are the sample size for case and controls respectively.

Power for case/control analysis

Let χ_i be the genotype of variant i and y be the phenotype of all individuals. We assume there are s SNPs in a gene and f of them are functional. The allele frequency of the SNPs is sampled from the exponential distribution: $\Pr(AF) = \lambda \exp^{-\lambda AF}$ with $\lambda = 1/\overline{AF}$ (the sampled AF therefore has mean of \overline{AF}). The probability for being a causal variant scales with the allele frequency: $\Pr(\text{causall}AF) = 1/AF$.

Single variant test

The power for a single variant can be calculated using the chi-square distribution with the non-centrality parameter χ^2 . This parameter is estimated using the 'NCPgen()' function in the source code (basically a 2x2 contingency table test). The power for finding at least one out of the f functional SNPs is $1 - \prod_{i \in f} (1 - \operatorname{power}_{\operatorname{snp.}})$

Burden test

In burden test, assuming SNPs are independent, we have $x = \sum_{i \in f} x_i + \sum_{j \in s-f} x_j$. The coefficients are

$$\beta' = \frac{cov(y, x)}{var(x)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i \in f} cov(x_i, y) + \sum_{j \in s - f} cov(x_j, y)}{\sum_{i \in f} var(x_i) + \sum_{j \in s - f} var(x_j)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i \in f} cov(x_i, y) + \sum_{j \in s - f} var(x_j)}{\sum_{i \in f} var(x_i) + \sum_{j \in s - f} var(x_j)}$$

$$= \frac{\beta \sum_{i \in f} var(x_i) + 0 * \sum_{j \in s - f} var(x_j)}{\sum_{i \in f} var(x_i) + \sum_{j \in s - f} var(x_j)}$$

$$= \beta \frac{\sum_{i \in f} var(x_i) + \sum_{j \in s - f} var(x_j)}{\sum_{i \in f} var(x_i) + \sum_{j \in s - f} var(x_j)}$$

The power for burden test can be calculated using the chi-square distribution with the non-centrality parameter χ^2 calculated using the new coefficient β' .

Proofs

Proof 1

We prove that under the conditions a) the population prevalence of the disease is small $(\Pr(case) \ll 1)$ and b) the de novo rate is low $(\Pr(A) \equiv \mu \ll 1)$,

$$\frac{\Pr(A|case)}{\Pr(A|control)} \simeq R,$$

in which R is the relative risk. Recall that $R \equiv \frac{\Pr(case|A)}{\Pr(case|a)}$

$$\frac{\Pr(A|case)}{\Pr(A|control)} = \frac{\Pr(case|A)}{\Pr(control|A)} \times \frac{\Pr(control)}{\Pr(case)}$$

$$= R \times \frac{\Pr(case|a)}{\Pr(control|A)} \times \frac{\Pr(control)}{\Pr(case)}$$

$$= R \times \frac{\Pr(case|a)}{\Pr(case)} \times \frac{\Pr(control)}{\Pr(control)}.$$
(0)

We will then prove $\frac{\Pr(case|a)}{\Pr(case)} \simeq 1$ and $\frac{\Pr(control)}{\Pr(control|A)} \simeq 1$.

$$\frac{\Pr(case|a)}{\Pr(case)} = \frac{\Pr(case|a)}{\Pr(case|a) \Pr(a) + \Pr(case|A) \Pr(A)}$$

$$= (\Pr(a) + \frac{\Pr(case|A)}{\Pr(case|a)} \mu)^{-1}$$

$$= (\Pr(a) + R\mu)^{-1}$$

$$= (1 - \mu + R\mu)^{-1}$$

$$= (1 + (R - 1)\mu)^{-1}$$

$$\simeq 1 - (R - 1)\mu$$

And

$$\frac{\Pr(control)}{\Pr(control|A)} = \frac{\Pr(control)}{1 - \Pr(case|A)}$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(control)}{1 - R\Pr(case|a)}.$$
(2)

For Pr(case|a), we have

$$Pr(case|a) = \frac{Pr(case)}{Pr(a) + R Pr(A)}$$

$$= \frac{Pr(case)}{1 + (R - 1)\mu}$$

$$\simeq K - K(R - 1)\mu.$$
(3)

Using equations 2 and 3, we have

$$\frac{\Pr(control)}{\Pr(control|A)} \simeq \frac{\Pr(control)}{1 - R(K - K(R - 1)\mu)}$$

$$\simeq \frac{1 - K}{1 - RK + R(R - 1)K\mu}$$

$$\simeq (1 - K)(1 + RK - R(R - 1)K\mu)$$

$$\simeq 1 + (R - 1)K - RK^{2}$$

$$- R(R - 1)K\mu + R(R - 1)K^{2}\mu$$

$$\simeq 1.$$
(4)

Using equations 0, 1 and 4: $\frac{\Pr(A|case)}{\Pr(A|control)} \simeq R$.

Proof 2

We prove that the following is chi-square distributed:

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(Q_1 - Q_0)^2}{Q_1/N_1 + Q_0/N_0}$$

. We know the following quantity from the t test follows a normal distribution

$$z = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{var(x_1)/N_1 + var(x_2)/N_2}}$$

We assume group 1 is the case cohort and group 2 is the control cohort. We know that the poisson parameter is same as the mean and the variance of a poisson distribution. Therefore, $\bar{x}_1=Q_1$ and $\bar{x}_2=Q_0$; $var(x_1)=(1-p)fQ_0+RpfQ_0=Q_1$ (assumes the two poisons in the mixture are independent) and $var(x_2)=Q_0$. χ^2 is simply χ^2 .