

# Symmetric Spaces

Mathieu Wydra

## 1 (Locally) Symmetric Spaces

**Definition 1.1.** A **Riemann symmetric space** is a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  such that  $\forall p \in M$  there exists a isometry  $s_p : M \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $s_p(p) = p$  and  $ds_p|_p = -\text{id}$ .

*Remark.* A Riemannian manifold  $M$  does not have isometries in general.

A few examples:

*Example.* • On  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $s_p(p + v) = p - v$ .

•  $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  given w.l.o.g at  $p = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$  as  $s_p(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = (-x_1, \dots, -x_n, x_{n+1})$ .

•  $\mathbb{H}^n$  as the Poincare disc model  $(D^n, g_{\text{hyp}})$  by  $s_0(v) = -v$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is called a **locally symmetric space** if  $\forall p \in M$  there exists a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}_p \ni p$  and an isometry  $s_p : \mathcal{U}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_p$  of  $(\mathcal{U}_p, g|_{\mathcal{U}_p})$  such that  $ds_p|_p = -\text{id}$ .

Note that a diffeomorphism with the same properties (no necessarily an isometry) always exists.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold, then the following are equal.

1.  $M$  is a locally symmetric space.
2. The curvature tensor is parallel, i.e.  $\nabla_X R(Y, Z)T \equiv 0, \forall X, Y, Z, T \in V(M)$ .

*Proof.* Take some  $s_p$  as definite at  $p \in M$ . Given  $X, Y, Z, T \in V(M)$  when we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla_X R(Y, Z)T(p) &= d(s_p)|_p(\nabla_X R(Y, Z)T)(p) = \nabla_{d(s_p)|_p}(R(Y, Z)T) = \\ &= \nabla_{d(s_p)|_p}R(d(s_p)Y, d(s_p)Z)d(s_p)T = (-1)^4 \nabla_X R(Y, Z)T(p). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, follows from the following theorem as follows: Since  $M$  as parallel curvature tensor and  $-\text{Id} : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$  is a linear isometry preserving the curvature tensor, then for  $p \in M$  we can find neighbourhoods  $\mathcal{U} \ni p$  and isometry  $s_p : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  such that  $d(s_p)|_p = -\text{Id}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $(M, g^M), (N, g^N)$  be Riemannian manifolds with parallel curvature tensor. Let  $m \in M, n \in N$  then for any linear isometry  $\phi : T_m M \rightarrow T_n N$  preserving curvature tensors ( $\phi(R^M(X, Y), Z) = R^N(\phi(X), \phi(Y))\phi(Z)$ ,  $\forall X, Y, Z \in T_m M$ ), and for any normal neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U} = \exp_m(W)$  of  $m$  such that  $\exp_m|_W$  is a diffeomorphism, there is a normal neighbourhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $n$  and a local isometry  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  such that  $f(m) = n$  and  $df|_m = \phi$ .

*Proof.* We want to show  $f := \exp_m \circ \phi \circ \exp_m^{-1} | \mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow N$  is a local isometry. We already know that  $f$  is an isometry at  $m$ .

Given  $x \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $w \in T_x M$  we need to show that  $\|df_x w\|_{g^N} = \|w\|_{g^M}$ .

Let  $v \in T_m M$  such that  $\exp_m(v) = x$  and denote  $w' = d(\exp_m)^{-1}(w) \in T_m M$ . Consider the Jacobi field  $J$  along  $\exp_m(tv)$  with  $J(0) = 0$ ,  $J'(0) = w'$  (i.e.  $J(t) = d(\exp_m)|_{tv}(tw')$ ). Let  $e_1 = v$ ,  $(e_2, \dots, e_n)$  an orthonormal basis of  $e_1^\perp \subset T_m M$  and  $e_i(t)$  the parallel transport of  $e_i$  along  $c(t) := \exp_m(tv)$ , hence  $(e_1(t), \dots, e_n(t))$  is orthogonal basis of  $T_{\exp_m(tv)} M$ .

Then there are  $y_i(t) \in C^\infty([0, 1])$  such that  $J(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i(t) e_i(t)$ .

Let  $(\epsilon_1(t), \dots, \epsilon_n(t))$  be the parallel transport of  $(\phi(e_1), \dots, \phi(e_n))$  along  $\gamma(t) := \exp_n(t\phi(e_1))$  (hence  $\epsilon_1(t) = \gamma'(t)$ ). Define  $I(t) := \sum_{i=1}^n y_i(t) \epsilon_i(t)$ , and we claim that  $I$  is a Jacobi field along  $\gamma$ , with  $I(0) = 0$  and  $I'(0) = \phi(w')$ . For any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\gamma(t)}^N (I'' + R^N(\gamma', I)\gamma', \epsilon_i) &= g_{\gamma(t)}^N \left( \sum y_i \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \right) + g_{\gamma(t)}^N \left( R^N(\gamma', \sum y_i \epsilon_i) \gamma', \epsilon_i \right) \\ &= y_i'' + \sum y_i g_{\gamma(t)}^N (R^N(\epsilon_1(t), \epsilon_j(t)) \epsilon_1(t), \epsilon_i(t)) \\ &= y_i'' + \sum y_i(t) g_{\gamma(0)}^N (R^N(\epsilon_1(0), \epsilon_j(0)) \epsilon_1(0), \epsilon_i(0)) \\ &= y_i'' + \sum y_i(t) g_{c(0)}^N (R^N(e_1(0), e_j(0)) e_1(0), e_i(0)) \\ &= y_i'' + \sum y_i g_{c(t)}^N (R^N(e_1(t), e_j(t)) e_1(t), e_i(t)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $I'' + R^N(\gamma', I)\gamma' = 0$  and  $I$  is a Jacobi field. Moreover  $I'(0) = \sum y_i'(0) \epsilon_i(0) = \sum y_i'(0) \phi(e_1(0)) = \phi(J'(0)) = \phi(w')$ .

By definition

$$df|_x(w) = df_{\exp_m(v)}(d\exp_m|_v(w')) = d\exp_m|_{\phi(v)}(\phi(w'))$$

so  $df_x(J(1)) = I(1)$  but

$$\begin{aligned} \|J(1)\|_{g^M}^2 &= \|v\|_{g^M}^2 |y_1(1)|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n |y_i(1)|^2 \\ &= \|\phi(v)\|_{g^N}^2 |y_1(1)|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n |y_i(1)|^2 = \|I(1)\|_{g^N}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f$  is a local isometry.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $M, N$  be complete locally symmetric spaces with  $M$  simply connected with non-positive sectional curvature. For any curvature tensor preserving linear isometry  $\phi : T_m M \rightarrow T_n N$  that preserves the curvature tensor, then there exists a Riemannian covering  $f : M \rightarrow N$  with  $f(m) = n$  and  $df|_m = \phi$ .*

*Proof.* By Cartan-Hadamard,  $\exp_m : T_m M \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism. Thus we get a local isometry  $f : M \rightarrow N$  with  $f(m) = n$  and  $df|_m = \phi$ . Since  $M$  is complete, this guarantees that  $f$  is a Riemannian covering.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $M, N$  be complete locally symmetric spaces with  $M$  simply connected. For any curvature tensor preserving linear isometry  $\phi : T_m M \rightarrow$*

$T_n N$  that preserves the curvature tensor, then there exists a Riemannian covering  $f : M \rightarrow N$  with  $f(m) = n$  and  $df|_m = \phi$ .

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $M$  be a complete locally symmetric space. Then the Riemannian universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$  is a symmetric space.*

*Proof.* The universal cover of a complete Riemannian manifold is complete. We claim that  $\tilde{M}$  is a locally symmetric space:  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the covering map, which is a local isometry, then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\nabla_X R^{\tilde{M}}(Y, Z), T) &= \nabla_{d\pi(X)} d\pi(R^{\tilde{M}}(Y, Z), T) = \\ &= \nabla_{d\pi(X)} R^M(d\pi(Y), d\pi(Z)) d\pi T = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d\pi|_p$  is an isomorphism,  $\nabla_X R^{\tilde{M}}(Y, Z)T = 0$  if and only if  $\tilde{M}$  is locally symmetric.

Given  $p \in \tilde{M}$ ,  $\text{Id}_{T_p \tilde{M}}$  is a linear isometry and preserves the curvature tensor. Then by a previous corollary, there exists  $s_p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  a covering such that  $s_p(p) = p$  and  $ds_p|_p = -\text{id}_{T_p \tilde{M}}$ . As every smooth covering of a simply connected space is a diffeomorphism, this implies that  $s_p$  is a diffeomorphism (and a local isometry), so  $s_p$  is an isometry.  $\square$

*Example.* Let  $M = S^n$ ,  $N = \mathbb{R}P^n$  with natural metric (with sectional curvature = 1), then  $M, N$  are complete locally symmetric spaces. In this case there are many linear isometries that preserve the curvature tensor (in fact they identify with  $O(n)$ ).

*Theorem.* (Idea). Such an  $f$  should be defined such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_m M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & T_n N \\ \downarrow \exp_m & & \downarrow \exp_n \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

$\square$

The issue is that in general this is not well defined i.e. there can exist  $v, w \in T_m M$  such that  $\exp_m v \exp_m w$ .

We solve this by extending  $f$  along paths and show that the extension is independent of the chosen path.

*Remark.* Recall that  $\mathcal{U} \subset M$  is a *normal neighbourhood* if  $\mathcal{U} = \exp_p(W)$  for a star-shaped neighbourhood of 0,  $W \subset T_p M$  and diffeomorphism  $\exp_p|_W : W \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ .

If additionally  $\mathcal{U} = B_r(p)$  ( $W = B_r(0)$ ) then  $\mathcal{U}$  is called a *normal ball*.

We also need the following two observations

1. Let  $M, N$  be complete locally symmetric spaces  $B_r(p), B_\ell(p) \subset M$  normal balls with  $\ell > r$  and  $f : B_r(p) \subset M \rightarrow V \subset N$  is a local isometry, then  $\hat{f} := \exp_{f(p)}^N \circ df_p \circ (\exp_p^M)^{-1} : B_\ell(p) \rightarrow B_\ell(f(p))$  is a local isometry extending  $f$ .

Note that it follows from a previous theorem that  $\hat{f}$  is a local isometry with  $\hat{f}(p) = f(p)$ ,  $d\hat{f}|_p = df|_p$ .

Recall that two isometries are equal if they and their differentials are equal at  $p$ .

2. For any  $p \in M$ ,  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $B_r(q)$  is a normal ball for any  $q \in B_r(p)$ .

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $M, N$  be complete locally symmetric spaces,  $m \in M$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{U}$  a normal neighbourhood,  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow N$  a local isometry and  $\sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  a smooth curve with  $\sigma(0) = m$ . Then  $f$  can be continued along  $\sigma$  i.e.  $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ , there exist  $\mathcal{U}_t$  neighbourhood of  $\sigma(t)$  such that  $f_t : \mathcal{U}_t \rightarrow N$  is an isometry, and  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  such that  $|t - s| < \epsilon \implies \mathcal{U}_t \cap \mathcal{U}_s \neq \emptyset$  and  $f_t|_{\mathcal{U}_t \cap \mathcal{U}_s} = f_s|_{\mathcal{U}_t \cap \mathcal{U}_s}$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $I = \{t \in [0, 1] \mid f \text{ can be continued along } \sigma|_{[0, t]}\}$ .  $I$  is non-empty (contains  $t$  such that  $\sigma([0, t]) \subset \mathcal{U}$ ) and open (If  $t_0 \in I$  choose  $\epsilon$  small enough such that  $\sigma(t_0 - \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}_{t_0}$  and set  $\mathcal{U}_t = \mathcal{U}_{t_0}$ ,  $f_t = f_{t_0}$  for  $t \in (t_0 - \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon)$ ). We show that  $I$  is closed. Let  $q$  be an accumulation point of  $f_t(\sigma(t))$  for  $t \rightarrow T := \sup I$ . Such a point always exists as  $N$  is complete and  $f_t(\sigma(t)) \subset \overline{B_{L(\sigma)}(f(\sigma(0)))}$  (since  $f_t$  is an isometry and  $f_t = f_s$  on  $\mathcal{U}_t \cap \mathcal{U}_s$ ).

Choose  $r > 0$  such that it satisfied (2) for  $q, \sigma(T)$ . Then by construction there exists  $t_0 < T$  such that  $\sigma(t_0) \in B_r(\sigma(T))$  and  $F_t(\sigma(t_0)) \in B_r(q)$ . By (1) we can then extend  $f_{t_0}$  on  $B_r(\sigma(t_0))$ . Setting  $f_t = f_{t_0}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_t = B_r(\sigma(t_0))$  on  $(t_0 - \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon)$  for  $\epsilon$  small enough, we can extend  $f$  around  $\sigma(T)$  thus  $I$  is closed. Hence  $I = [0, 1]$ .

The second part follows from the compactness of  $I$  - we can find finitely many  $t_i$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_{t_i}$  cover  $I$  and chose  $\mathcal{U}_t = \mathcal{U}_{t_i}$  for  $t_i$  minimal such that  $t \in \mathcal{U}_{t_i}$  and  $f_t = f_{t_i}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.7.** *The continuation of  $f$  along  $\sigma$  is unique in the sense that if  $\{\mathcal{U}_t, f_t\}, \{V_t, \bar{f}_t\}$  are two different continuations and  $A_t$  is the connected component of  $\mathcal{U}_t \cap V_t$  containing  $\sigma(t)$  then  $f_t|_{A_t} = \bar{f}_t|_{A_t}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\{t \in [0, 1] \mid f_t(\sigma(t)) = \bar{f}_t(\sigma(t)), df_t|_{\sigma(t)} = d\bar{f}_t|_{\sigma(t)}\}$ , then  $I$  is open, closed and non-empty  $\implies I = [0, 1]$ . This holds because isometries are uniquely defined by their value at a point and the differential at the point.

For the same reason  $f_t|_{A_T} = \bar{f}_t|_{A_T}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.8.** *Let  $M, N$  be complete locally symmetric spaces  $\mathcal{U}$  a normal neighbourhood of  $m$ ,  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow N$  a local isometry. Let  $\sigma, \tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  smooth curves with  $\sigma(0) = \tau(0) = m$ ,  $\tau(1) = \sigma(1)$ , curves being homotopic rel  $\partial I$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the homotopy between  $\sigma, \tau$  rel  $\partial I$ . Fix  $s$  and let  $f^s$  be the continuation of  $f$  along the path  $t \mapsto H(t, s)$ . Let

$$I = \{s \in [0, 1] \mid \forall r \leq s \ f^r(\sigma(1)) = f^\sigma(\sigma(1)) \text{ and } df^r|_{\sigma(1)} = df^\sigma|_{\sigma(1)}\}.$$

$I$  is non-empty as  $0 \in I$  and open as follows: given  $s_0 \in I$  we find  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $s' \in (s_0 - \epsilon, s_0 + \epsilon)$ ,  $H(t, s') \in \mathcal{U}_t$ . By (2) and compactness, there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(\sigma(t))$  is a normal ball. Assume then that  $B_r(H(s_0, t)) \subset \mathcal{U}_t$  (as  $H$  is smooth). Setting  $\mathcal{U}_t^s = \mathcal{U}_t^{s_0}$  and  $f_t^{s'} = f_t^{s_0}$  gives continuation along  $H(\cdot, s')$  thus  $(s_0 - \epsilon, s_0 + \epsilon) \subset I$  (by construction) and  $I$  is open.

For  $I$  closed, let  $A = \sup I$  and as before  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $B_r(H(t, A))$  is a normal ball for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $B_r(f^A(H(t, A)))$  is a normal ball. As before  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  such that  $\forall s : |A - s| < \epsilon$ ,  $H(t, s) \in B_r(H(t, A))$  so  $f^A$  is a continuation of  $f$  along  $H(\cdot, s)$  for  $s \in (A - \epsilon, A)$  where  $f^A(\sigma(1)) = f^s(\sigma(1)) = f^\sigma(\sigma(1))$  and  $df_{\sigma(1)}^A = df_{\sigma(1)}^s = df_{\sigma(1)}^\sigma$  thus  $A \in I \implies I = [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

Back to the theorem we are trying to prove

*Proof.* Theorem Since  $M, N$  are complete,  $\exp$  is defined everywhere. Define  $f$  (locally) though the relation  $f(\exp_m(v)) = \exp_n(\phi(v))$  - this is indeed well defined on a normal neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $m$ .

Set  $\bar{f} = f|_{\mathcal{U}}$ , and define  $f$  on  $\exp_m(v)$  via continuation of  $\bar{f}$  along  $\sigma(t) := \exp_m(tv)$ . If there exists  $v, w \in T_m M$  such that  $\exp_m(v) = \exp_m(w)$  then the paths  $\sigma(t) = \exp_m(tv)$  and  $\tau(t) = \exp_m(tw)$  are homotopic rel  $\partial I$  due to  $M$  being simply-connected. Then be the previous lemma, it is well defined as  $f(\exp_m(v)) = f(\exp_m(w))$ . As  $f$  is a continuation along paths it is a local isometry.  $M$  being complete implies that  $f$  is a smooth covering (+ localisation) by a previous lemma. Hence this is Riemann covering.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $M$  be a complete, simply-connected Riemannian manifold then the following are equal.*

1.  $M$  is a symmetric space.
2.  $M$  is a locally symmetric space.
3. Any curvature preserving linear isometry  $\phi : T_x M \rightarrow T_y M$  is induced by a (unique) linear isometry  $f : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $f(x) = y, df_x = \phi$ .

*Proof.* 1  $\implies$  2 is trivial, 2  $\implies$  3 hold by the previous theorem. For 3  $\implies$  1, we apply 3 to  $-\text{Id} : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* Not all symmetric spaces are simply-connected.

*Example.* •  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  is a non-simply-connected symmetric space.

- $T^n = (S^1)^n$  is a symmetric space (obviously not 1-connected).

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let  $M$  be a symmetric space, the  $M$  is complete.*

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. We say that a group action  $G \curvearrowright M$  is *transitive* if  $\forall p, q$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $g(p) = q$ .

**Proposition 1.11.** *Let  $M$  be a symmetric space. Then  $\text{Iso}(M)$  acts transitively.*

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Definition 1.4.** An isometry  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is called a *transvection* if there exists  $p \in M$  and geodesic  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  with  $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = f(p)$  such that  $f$  realises parallel transport along  $\gamma$ .

*Remark.* If  $M$  is not flat, then parallel transport really depends on the curve (or geodesic)

**Proposition 1.12.** *Let  $M$  be a symmetric space. For any  $p, q \in M$  and any geodesic  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  with  $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = q$ , there exists an transvection realising parallel transport along  $\gamma$ .*

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Proposition 1.13.** *Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold with action  $\text{Iso}(M) \curvearrowright M$  that is transitive and  $\exists p \in M$  and  $s_p : M \rightarrow M$  an isometry with  $s(p) = p$  and  $ds_p|_p = -\text{Id}_{T_p M}$ . Then  $M$  is a symmetric space.*

*Proof.*

□

*Example* (Hyperbolic space). Let  $q(x) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 - x_{n+1}^2$  be a quadratic form of sign  $(n, 1)$ . Define  $\mathbb{H}^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | q(x) = -1, x_{n+1} > 0\}$  together with Riemannian metric  $g_x^{\mathbb{H}^n} = q|_{x^\perp}$  using  $T_x \mathbb{H}^n \cong x^\perp$ .

The subgroup of  $O(n, 1) = \{A \in GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}) | q(Ax) = q(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\}$  preserving  $\mathbb{H}^n$  acts transitively by isometries on  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . Consider  $e_{n+1} = (0, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -\text{Id}_n & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in O(n, 1)$ .

Then  $Ae_{n+1} = e_{n+1}$  and  $A|_{e_{n+1}^\perp} = -\text{Id}$ . Hence  $\mathbb{H}^n$  is a symmetric space.

*Example* (Grassmanians). Consider the grassmanian  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The topology on the space is: let  $Y \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^k \subset \mathbb{R}^{nk}$  be a set of linearly independent  $k$ -tuples in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there is a natural projection  $\pi : Y \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\pi(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \text{Span}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . Equip  $Y$  with the subspace topology and  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the quotient topology.

Manifold structure. Let  $V \in \text{Gr}_{n-k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Consider then  $\mathcal{U}_V := \{\mathcal{U} \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) | \mathcal{U} \cap V = \{0\}\}$ . Fix  $\mathcal{U}_0 \in \mathcal{U}_V$ . There is a natural bijection  $\phi_{V, \mathcal{U}_0} : \mathcal{U}_V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_0, V) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{k(n-k)}$ :

Since  $\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}_V$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{U}_0 \implies a = u + v$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $v \in V$   $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) := v$  (it is easy to check that  $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}} \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_0, V)$ ).

$\phi_{V, \mathcal{U}_0}$  is injective (Show) and surjective (Show). Moreover  $\phi_{\mathcal{U}'_0, V'} \circ \phi_{\mathcal{U}_0, V}$  is smooth (Show).

Riemannian metric. Let  $\langle, \rangle$  be the inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}^\perp$  be the orthogonal complement with respect to this inner product, then  $\mathcal{U}^\perp \in \text{Gr}_{n-k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

$d\phi_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^\perp}$  gives identification

$$T_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq T_0 \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^\perp) \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^\perp) \simeq \mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{U}^\perp$$

Identifying  $\mathcal{U}^*$  with  $\mathcal{U}$  via  $\langle, \rangle$  gives an inner product over  $\mathcal{U}^*$ . Define  $g_{\mathcal{U}}(u' \otimes v, u'' \otimes w) = \langle u', u'' \rangle \langle v, w \rangle$  and extend this linearly to  $\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{U}^\perp$  thus  $g_{\mathcal{U}}$  defines an inner product on  $\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{U}^\perp$  and further is a Riemannian metric..

$O(k)$  action. We claim first that  $O(n) := \{A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) | \langle Ax, Ay \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \forall x, y\}$  act transitively and by isometries on  $\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

First take  $A \in \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^\perp)$ , then  $dA\varphi \in \text{Hom}(A \cdot \mathcal{U}, A \cdot \mathcal{U}^\perp)$  is given by  $dA(\varphi)[Au] = A \cdot \varphi(u)$ . Thus if  $A \in O(k)$ , hence  $dA(u \otimes v) = (Au) \otimes (Av) \implies g_{\mathcal{U}}(u' \otimes v, u'' \otimes w) = g_{A\mathcal{U}}(dA(u' \otimes v), dA(u'' \otimes w))$  i.e  $O(k)$  acts by isometries.

Next, let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  pick an orthonormal bases of  $(\mathcal{U}, \langle, \rangle|_{\mathcal{U}})$ ,  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  and extend this to an orthonormal basis  $(u_1, \dots, u_k)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In the same way define  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . Then there exists  $A \in O(k)$  such that  $Au_i = v_i \implies A\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}$ .

*Remark.* To check smoothness of metric  $g$  it is enough to observe that on a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $\mathcal{U} \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there is a map  $h : W \rightarrow O(k)$  such that for  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(W)$ ,  $h_p(X(p)) \in T_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is smooth, then  $p \mapsto g_p(X, Y) = g_{\mathcal{U}}(h_p(X(p)), h_p(Y(p)))$  and the latter is smooth.

Finally, take  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  such that for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in that bases  $\langle x, x \rangle = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_0 = \text{Span}(e_1, \dots, e_k)$  and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_k & \\ & -\text{Id}_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} \in O(\mathcal{U})$ , then  $A \cdot \mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}_0$  and  $dA_{\mathcal{U}_0}(u \otimes v) = -u \otimes v$  for  $u \in \mathcal{U}^*$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{U}^\perp$  i.e.  $dA_{\mathcal{U}_0} = -\text{Id}_{T_{\mathcal{U}_0} \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)}$

Combining all the above we have

**Proposition 1.14.**  *$Gr_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the metric above is a symmetric space.*

**Proposition 1.15.**  *$Gr_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is compact.*

*Proof.*

□