

BrainConductor: An open science platform for the development of neuroimaging data analysis tools

Kevin Lin^{1*}, Haixiao Du^{2*}, Huazhong Yang², Yu Wang², Han Liu³

¹*Department of Statistics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15217 USA*

²*Department of Electronic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, 100084*

³*Department of Operations Research and Financial Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544 USA*

**These authors contributed equally to this work*

BrainConductor project is a project parallel to Bioconductor. Like Bioconductor, BrainConductor is an open platform for sharing neuroimaging data and R-based data analysis software. BrainConductor aims at promoting interdisciplinary collaboration between neuroscientists and statistical scientists, bringing state-of-the-art statistical methodologies and toolboxes into neuroscience, and enhancing the reproducibility of remarkable research results. We describe details of our motivations, goals, methods, and the difference between BrainConductor and related neuroinformatics projects. Finally, we present some working instances to better explain advantages of BrainConductor.

Modern statistics aims to infer information from high-dimensional data and has progressed significantly over the last decade. However, two obstacles currently hinder the application of these methods to large-scale neuroimaging data in practice. First, domain-specific expertise and computational power is needed to handle the various data file types and to manage the storage of these large-scale datasets. Second, specialized softwares and many in-house analysis pipelines have been developed that prevent new researchers from entering the field. The BrainConductor project is an open-neuroscience initiative to address these obstacles. As the neuroscience community expands upon open-access datasets such as the International Neuroimaging Data-Sharing Initiative Project (INDI), comparable advances in open-neuroscience platforms are required for high throughput, computationally efficient statistical models to handle high-dimensional data in this big data era.

Our goal is to build a knowledge hub and interdisciplinary community by connecting neuroscientists and statisticians. Neuroscientists drive the scientific process by collecting new data and constructing focused experiments. Non-invasive imaging techniques have gain popularity to investigate how phenotypic variation influence the connectivity differences in the human connectome among individuals^{1,2}. These include structural and functional MRI, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), and Electroencephalography (EEG). On the other hand, statisticians bring new statistical methods designed to address the high-dimensional, large-scale and complex data. Such techniques can be divided broadly into two categories: summarizing the data (i.e., estimating the brain con-

nectivity, represent a parcellation of voxels' time series with a single time series) and uncertainty assessment (i.e., testing for significant difference between the connectomes of two populations, determining if the connectivity between two region of interests is due to chance). We strive to help enable ease of collaboration between these two communities. While the neuroscientist will benefit from the modern techniques to analyze these datasets, the statistician will benefit from the lowered barrier-to-entry due to the simplified processes of data acquisition, data management, and data sharing.

Towards this end, we propose the BrainConductor project, an integration of high-quality and easy-access datasets, and user-friendly software for both neuroscientists and statisticians. BrainConductor is a project parallel to Bioconductor. In the past tens of years, Bioconductor³ made a great contribution to the progress of the human genome research because of its transparency, pursuit of reproducibility, and efficiency of development. Moreover, the open-source developing architecture of Bioconductor based on R language provides facility to take advantage of the mature statistical packages rather than re-implementing functionality. In future neuroimaging studies, an open-source platform is of necessity to produce collaborative creation of extensible computational tools and enhance the reproducibility of research results.

This desire has already inspired one of the most prominent projects, Neuroimaging Informatics Tools and Resources Clearinghouse (NITRC). NITRC is a resourceful repository collecting popular neuroimaging tools designed for the preprocessing, analysis, and display of neuroimaging data, such as SPM⁴, FSL⁵, FreeSurfer⁶ and AFNI⁷. NITRC also is an open repository for many data sources such as Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) and the 1000 Functional Connectome Project (FCP). However, as successful as NITRC is, there are fundamental drawbacks from both the developer and user perspectives. From the developer perspective, NITRC provides little guidance on effective software development. This results in two consequences. First, a large amount of effort is spent on implementing functions that have previously been developed by other independent teams. Second, without establishing an universal file format, developers on NITRC have to either write analysis functions for specific file types or spend tremendous efforts to handle all file types. For example, most data sources proffer raw data in the standard industrial DICOM format, but most of the software packages work on NIfTI or ANALYZE formats. From the user perspective, there are also key obstacles. First, software on NITRC are based on different programming models and processing pipelines and have different interfaces. Hence, investigators always need lots of training sessions to learn how to install and use these tools. Second, many statistical analysis software require a 2D matrix where each covariate represents a column and each sample represents a row. Since neuroimaging data is typically represented as a 4D object, new users often struggle to convert their data into a representation suitable for most statistical methods. These concerns are summarized in Figure 1.

	Drawback of NITRC	Description of Drawback	Proposed BrainConductor Solution
Developer's Perspective	Duplicated implementations	Many independent teams develop code for similar functions.	Centralized and open-source repository
User's Perspective	Lack of universal file format	Functions tend to work for only specific file types and is representation-dependent.	A universal file type with conversion built into reading and writing files
	Different software interfaces	Installation for different softwares is labor-intensive. Each software has its own interface and usage style.	All software written for R with a common interface
	Difficulty to use statistical methods	Experimenting with new statistical methods is cumbersome. Statistical methods typically require "samples by covariates" 2D matrix while imaging data is a 4D matrix.	Hierarchical data structure with predefined templates and automatic conversion

Figure 1: Drawbacks of NITRC framework, description of the drawbacks and proposed solution in BrainConductor. **Need to fix alignment**

The BrainConductor project serves two fundamental goals. In connectome-wide association studies, the goal is to relate differences the macro- and microarchitecture of the human connectome to phenotypic variation among individuals⁸. Towards this end, in our initial release of BrainConductor, we focus on an open-neuroscience solution to manage resting-state fMRI data and study its subsequent brain connectivity patterns. In imaging genetics, the ultimate goal for BrainConductor project is to identify biomarkers and help early diagnosis for a variety of neuropsychiatric disorders. This will involve a future integration of genomics and neuroimaging data.

1 Merits of Computational Strategy

Functionality of R. The existing features and communities around a language dictate its usage and accessibility. As stated before, we are interested in problems related to data management, mining and analysis associated with neuroimaging technologies. This orientation requires a programming environment which is able to foster efficiency for software development, allow streamlined and reproducible research and simulate interdisciplinary communication and cooperation.

To meet these requirements, we chose R as the basic programming modal for BrainConductor project. Our rationale closely follows the reasons adopted in BioConductor which we summarize here³. First, R is an accessible high-level language with good numerical capabilities allowing quick prototyping of new statistical computational methods. This allows for a low learning curve for incoming researchers, rapid experimentation of new statistical ideas, and a shorter development

cycle. Second, R has a packaging protocol for different software modules that can be developed individually and distributed easily. The packaging system of R enables a world-wide collaborative construction of the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN) with a wide range of high-quality and well-documented statistical and visualization software packages. These hundreds of packages are independently developed for specific objectives, but the objective-oriented programming style of R guarantees the robust interoperability of packages for more complicated applications. All of these characteristics of R would decrease development efforts and release time for reliable software for neuroimaging data analysis. Third, R also provides support for high-performance⁹ and parallel computing¹⁰, and interfaces to communicate with specialized code written in lower-level languages. Finally, the community of R is consisted of thousands of active users and developers including biologists, mathematicians, and engineers. The community provides a natural bridge to connect the neuroscientists and statisticians. This is much in line with the intention of the BrainConductor project and is the most important motivation of our selecting R.

Hierarchical Data Structure. The BrainConductor project began with significant investment in the infrastructure construction for software development by formulating the standard for general data structures of neuroimaging data. A general data structure unifies the interface port and increases the reusability and interoperability of software packages. The code written for the analysis of a dataset can be adapted to another similar dataset since they have the same structure. A researcher doesn't need to modify the code interface or even write code from scratch.

Currently, the most common file format is NIfTI. NIfTI is a product of the Data Format Working Group (DFWG) from the Neuroimaging Informatics Technology Initiative project. It contains both the header information about the data acquisition parameters and neuroimaging data as a 4D matrix. Currently, the *oro.nifti* R package defines the ‘nifti’ S4 class in R to manage NIfTI files. However, there are two drawbacks of this file format that could be encountered by analysts. First, neuroimaging data files often comprise a large amount of redundant information. For example, the analysis of fMRI data primarily focuses on the gray matter, while the processing of diffusion weighted MRI focuses on the white matter region. Therefore, a hierarchical data structure would help save storage and memory space. Human Connectome Project (HCP) had a similar solution by defining the CIFTI file format and grayordinates, a combined cortical surface and subcortical volume coordinate system¹¹. Second, the NIfTI file format is not flexible for data analytics. Typically, phenotype information is stored separately in a different file, and many statistical methods take as input a 2D matrix organized by samples and covariates.

We define an S4 ‘NIdata’ class based on the NIfTI format in our Brainbase package as the general data structure to resolve these problems. At its core, the NIdata class is a generic file type split into four slots to store the following information: 1) phenotype information, 2) specifics of

scan sessions, 3) the neuroimaging data and 4) text-based comments or notes associated with the data that investigators might document. While we provide an initial structure for storing phenotype and scan information, users have the flexibility to disregard or modify these templates before reading the data into R. Our NIdata format ensures compatibility since most of the conversions from the existing NIfTI data format are straightforward. The Brainbase package provides functions to facilitate reading in the header information and high-dimensional data array from binary neuroimaging data files into NIdata class objects.

Most of the engineering of the NIdata class revolved around a more suitable representation of the neuroimaging data. Like in the CIFTI file format¹¹, we automatically convert all neuroimaging data (a 4D matrix) into a 2D (potentially sparse) matrix where each column represents a different voxel in the brain and each row represents a different sample from the time series. To ensure that the column indices are compatible across different NIdata objects, we define generic templates in the Brainbase packages that dictate which voxel position are assigned to which columns. These templates are associated with brain masks and the corresponding tissue priors. The NIdata class facilitates the processing and storage of neuroimaging data based on customizable templates. For instance, one of the templates we provide is the MNI 152 brain masks and tissue priors typically found in FSL. If users want to keep only voxels corresponding to gray matter, all the column indices associated with non-gray matter will be ‘zero’-ed out to save storage. Moreover, if users want to perform a region-of-interest or parcellation-based analysis, we provide additional functions to change the template and perform the data extraction.

To ensure that our 2D representation of neuroimaging data retains the spatial information of each voxel, the Brainbase package provides an R S4 object containing the mapping of voxel location to column index and a list enumerating the column indices of neighboring voxels for each voxel location. Hence, we can convert our 2D representation back to its original 4D representation to accommodate past software requirements if needed. Thanks to this flexibility, software developers can implement algorithms operating directly on the 2D representation in NIdata without worrying about representation-conversion. **Why is this called “hierarchical”? I haven’t really done anything hierarchical...**

Some concrete numbers of how much memory is saved TODO: This code is half-way complete.

File Conversion. For developers to write functions using our NIdata class, we need to provide suitable functions to read different input file types into objects of NIdata class as described in the previous section. Here, We discuss three popular file types in current use, DICOM, NIfTI and ANALYZE.

DICOM is the standard industrial format for raw data directly collected from an imaging device. The DICOM format is very broad and very sophisticated. In brief, each .dcm suffix file contains a number of attributes, including not only the image pixel data but also large amounts of meta-data information about the subject, imaging devices and settings during data acquisition.

However, DICOM datasets are redundant, ascribed to the storage of massive numbers of small files. This is due to each image slice stored as a separate file. ANALYZE and NIfTI-1 formats are more widely employed in the neuroimaging community. An ANALYZE format document is composed of one “hdr” file and one “img” file. The former contains information about the acquisition settings, while the “img” file contain the image data. NIfTI was released as an extension of the ANALYZE format. The NIfTI data format merges the header and image information of ANALYZE document into one file (.nii) and enables extending of the header information. The NIfTI format has alleviated problems with data storage and sharing across diverse centers, and became one of the most popular neuroimaging format recently.

BrainConductor offers functions to read all these data format based on existing software in the oro.dicom and oro.nifti packages. We provide a generic function that handles all of these file types and read data into the desired NIdata object. **TODO: The conversion from DICOM to NIFTI is not well implemented. We based on mature software dcm2nii (from MRICron?). The conversion results visualization compared to results of oro.nifti or fmri packages.**

TODO: This code is half-way complete. To use dcm2nii, users will need matlab installed and linked...

Software Distribution. All software distributed by BrainConductor is in the form of R packages abiding to our NIdata structure. This simplifies software delivery, usage and maintenance but puts a burden on the developers to learn how to write R packages, including documentation and test cases.

As seen by the success of CRAN and BioConductor, the packaging system lies at the heart of why R has been a successful language. We follow their examples. Changes are tracked using a central, publicly readable Subversion software repository so the details of all changes are fully accessible via Subversion. Simultaneously, since R itself is continually changing to improve performance and functionality, all packages in BrainConductor undergo testing monthly. These required tests are designed by the developers themselves and is performed in an automated fashion to ensure all code examples and unit tests run without errors.

For developers to submit their software to BrainConductor for others to use, we have de-

signed a specific “Developers” section of our BrainConductor website to guide the process. The package guidelines revolve around usage-oriented documentation and understanding the input and outputs of each parameter. Once a package is submitted, feedback is given to revise the code or the package is accepted. From then on, the package will be available on the development branch of the project and be part of in the next release of BrainConductor. We expect releases to be made every 6 months. Each package has a designated maintainer responsive by email address and reacts to errors, bugs, and user questions. Packages without an active maintainer will be orphaned and no longer be part of the BrainConductor release. **TODO: Change wording, currently copied from Bioconductor paper.**

2 User Perspective

Package Ecosystem and Reference Datasets. BrainConductor provides data packages with well-preprocessed neuroimaging data from popular data sources. The user experience of BrainConductor starts with the website. Here, users can find out currently available software, documentation, and reference datasets. These packages include functions to process fMRI data, perform statistical analysis and visualize the results. Once BrainConductor framework has been set up in R, users can then seamlessly install any of the BrainConductor packages through our dedicated installation function ‘BCoInstall’ or through CRAN itself. We have further wrapped popular functions in existing R packages within the CRAN Medical Imaging task view to handle our new NIdata format. The list of neuroscience-related packages included in BrainConductor are listed in Table 1, while statistical-related packages are listed in Table 2.

The base installation of BrainConductor also comes with standardized imaging resources used by the neuroscience community. These include datasets such as the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) brain atlases, the Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) parcellation, tissue prior, and the various lists of region of interests. While most of these resources are available when installing FSL, we have taken efforts to integrate these datasets into the analysis in R itself. As brain shapes vary across individuals and fMRI machines can scan at different resolutions, we have dedicated effort to ensure streamlined compatibility of these imaging resources with our NIdata format.

Reading Data and Interface. As neuroimaging data spans formats such as DICOM, NIfTI and ANALYZE, we have spent tremendous efforts in our generic read-function ‘BCoRead’ to hide all the complexities from the user. After passing in a particular NIfTI/ANALYZE file or a directory with all the DICOM slices, ‘BCoRead’ outputs the desired NIdata file that is easy to understand and has all the desired information parsed appropriately. One important argument to ‘BCoRead’ is the input of the subject-scan specific ID number. Typically, users might want to update their

Package Name	Full Name	Description
AnalyzeFMRI	Functions for analysis of fMRI datasets	Functions for I/O, visualisation and analysis of functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) datasets stored in the ANALYZE or NIFTI format.
brainR	Helper functions to misc3d and rgl packages for brain imaging	Includes functions for creating 3D and 4D images using WebGL, RGL, and JavaScript Commands
fmri	Analysis of fMRI experiments	Provides R-functions to perform fmri analysis
fslr	Wrapper Functions for FSL from fMRI of the Brain	Wrapper functions that interface with FSL using system commands.
oro.dicom	DICOM Input / Output	Data input/output functions for data in the DICOM standard
oro.nifti	NIfTI + ANALYZE + AFNI Input / Output	Functions for the input/output and visualization of data in the ANALYZE, NIfTI or AFNI formats.
RNiftyReg	Image Registration Using the NiftyReg Library	Provides an R interface to the NiftyReg image registration tools
nat	NeuroAnatomy Toolbox for Analysis	Enables analysis and visualisation of 3D biological image data, especially traced neurons
nat.templatebrains	NeuroAnatomy Toolbox Extension for Handling Template Brains	Extends package 'nat' by providing objects and functions for handling template brains.

Table 1: fMRI Packages Incorporated into the BrainConductor Project **Why is the font different...**

Package Name	Full Name	Description
bigdata	Big Data Analytics	Provides a LASSO regression using stability selection. for large-scale data analysis
fastclime	A Solver for Parameterized Linear Programming to Precision Matrix Estimation	An efficient method of recovering precision matrices by applying the parametric simplex method is provided in this package.
flare	Family of Lasso Regression	Provides the implementation of a family of Lasso variants including Dantzig Selector, LAD Lasso, SQRT Lasso, Lq Lasso for estimating high dimensional sparse linear model.
huge	High-Dimensional Undirected Graph Estimation	Provides a general framework for high-dimensional undirected graph estimation.
picasso	Pathwise Calibrated Sparse Shooting Algorithm	Implements the pathwise calibrated sparse shooting algorithm regularized sparse linear regression, sparse logistic regression, and sparse undirected graphical model estimation.
smart	Sparse Multivariate Analysis via Rank Transformation	Provides a general framework for analyzing (including estimation, feature selection and prediction) and visualize big data.

Table 2: Statistical Packages Incorporated into the BrainConductor Project

NIfiData files to incorporate the phenotype information. In that case, BrainConductor has a ‘BCoL-link.phenotype’ function which can appropriately phenotype information in a Comma-Separated Value (CSV) file to NIfiData objects with the corresponding ID.

One of the fundamental obstacles of neuroimaging data analysis is bringing a sample-level analysis into a population-level analysis. As mentioned in the prequel, users can store their fMRI data using NIfiData classes where each NIfiData object stores the data of subject’s scan session. Due to the heterogeneity across each individual’s brain and the time-series nature of imaging data, neuroscientists cannot naively aggregate all the NIfiData objects prior to performing their analysis.

To facilitate this problem, BrainConductor has developed a general function called ‘BCoPopulation.analysis’ which uses five distinct functions: 1) a ‘grep’ function to either find all the NIfiData variables in the R Workspace corresponding to fMRI data a user wishes to analyze or loads each NIfiData object one-by-one or in parallel into memory, 2) an optional ‘BCoClassifier’ object which reads the phenotype information in each NIfiData and determines which subjects are in the ‘case’ or ‘control’, 3) a customizable ‘BCoSubject.analysis’ subject-level statistical function to be performed on each NIfiData object in the analysis, and 4) a customizable ‘BCoPopulation.aggregate’ population-level statistical function that post-processes each of the results in ‘BCoSubject.analysis’ to form a population estimate, and 5) an customizable and optional ‘BCoPopulation.difference’ function to compare the difference among the different phenotypes based on the results of ‘BCoPopulation.aggregate’. We believe this general function will alleviate many coding difficulties when researchers experiment with new statistical ideas. A flowchart illustrating this process is shown in Figure 2.

We note that our “BCoPopulation.analysis” can reverse the steps “BCoPopulation.aggregate” and “BCoPopulation.difference” to handle paired case-control studies.

TODO: This code has not been developed yet.

Visualization. Visualization plays a tremendously vital role in neuroimaging analysis. It serves as both an exploratory tool to understand the dataset or ensure correctness of postprocessing. It is also used to interpret high-dimensional statistical results. We provide appropriate functions for both built ontop of existing R packages such as ‘brainR’ and ‘fmri’.

In the spirit of popular visualization softwares such as AFNI and FSL, we have developed ‘BCoView’, an interactive plotter based in R that allows users to scroll around and zoom-in, zoom-out of the neuroimage using keyboard commands. With other keyboard commands, users can also show the corresponding time-series where the current viewing cursor is. We expect this viewer to




Figure 2: The workflow using BrainConductor’s interface. BCoRead (A1) reads the raw neuroimaging data and phenotype file into our NIdata objects. This converts the voxel-level data into the parcellation- or ROI-level data. Steps B2 to B5 are the individual customizable functions that perform the case-control connectome analysis. After B5, an example output of the analysis could be the estimated connectivity in controls not in cases and vice-versa. BCoPopulation.analysis (B6) is the all-in-one function that performs the entire analysis once the functions B2 to B5 are define. The last step is visualization (C6).

be a comparable alternative to ‘fslview’ in the FSL software.

To understand how well a given preprocessed fMRI data matches a template (i.e., MNI brain atlas), we also develop ‘BCoView.registration’ which takes in two NIdata objects. It plots one neuroimaging data in slices and overlays the segmentation of the second neuroimaging data. Users can then visually see how well the two neuroimaging data aligns with one another. We also develop a ‘BCoView.parcellation’ which takes a brain atlas and a parcellation assignment and produces either 2D slices or 3D plot of where the parcellations are located in the brain. Lastly, to visualize the connectome, we develop ‘BCoView.connectome’ which visualizes the 3D brain and the corresponding ROI-analysis or parcellation-analysis.

TODO: This code is half-way complete.

Reproducible Research. It can be surprisingly difficult to retrace the computational steps performed in neuroimaging analysis. One of the goals of BrainConductor is to help scientists report their analysis in a way that allows exact recreation by a third party when given the input data. This should include all figures, tables and numeric results. We support and advocate using Jupyter Notebooks for this task. While initially supporting Python, Jupyter Notebooks now support the usage of R. The advantage of using Jupyter Notebooks over other competitors such as Sweave and knitr lies in the live coding environment and integration of code, figures and text into only one file, the iPython file.

On the BrainConductor website, we advocate the usage of Jupyter Notebooks in two ways. First, the majority of the base packages and reference datasets in BrainConductor are accompanied with a Jupyter “datasheet.” This is a Jupyter file that cleanly lists attributes of the dataset, plots the dataset and prints simple statistics. Using Jupyter Notebooks makes this process easy to maintain while enabling users to get a clear picture of the data without having to open R. Second, users can upload their analysis pipeline as a Jupyter Notebook onto the BrainConductor website for others to download and view. This directly support reproducible research.

TODO: The website does not currently handle this.

Statistical Analysis. BrainConductor provides statistical analysis tools dedicated to neuroimaging, but thanks to the 2D representation and the explicit storage of phenotypes of NIdata, most classification, graphical model, or regression techniques found in CRAN packages can be directly used on NIdata. The main function to facilitate in this process is ‘BCoReduction’, a function which brings a voxel-level analysis into a ROI-level or parcel-level analysis. This is a customizable function that can be used in conjugation when reading in the data which aggregates time-series in different voxels or eliminates voxels that are not relevant to the analysis.

talk about graphical models

TODO: The wrapper functions need to be written.

A brief Conclusion at last.

3 Case Study

50 autism, 50 control from ABIDE. Use AAL parcellation to reduce to 116-ROI graph for each subject. Use lasso to do neighborhood selection. Use the median graph to define the population

graph. Output the difference in distance matrix. Should we include inference?? I don't have code for inference.

Methods

A Using BrainConductor.

The current release of BrainConductor is a test version 1.0; we require R version to be above 3.1.1. Users of older R versions must update their installation to start with BrainConductor. Download the latest release of R, then download and install basic packages of BrainConductor by starting R and entering the commands

```
> source("http://10.8.7.219/packages/BrainCo/BCoInstall.R")
> BCoInstall()
```

The BCoInstall.R script installs BrainCoSetup package. BCoInstall is a function of BrainCoSetup package to install core packages if called by default arguments. To install specific packages, e.g., "fmri" and "AnalyzeFMRI", call the BCoInstall function with

```
> BCoInstall(c("fmri","AnalyzeFMRI"))
```

BCoInstall acquiescently installs the core packages in the MedicalImaging task view on CRAN. Users can suppress the default installation with

```
> BCoInstall(installmedicalimgTV = FALSE)
```

For details of installing a CRAN task view, please see the help document of R package "ctv".

BCoInstall also updates outdated R packages with a prompt. Users can suppress the prompt easily using the argument ask = FALSE.

In some cases, underlying alterations in the operating system, especially in Linux system, require recompiling all installed packages. Users can start a new R session and enter

```
> source("http://Domain/BCoInstall.R")
> pkgs <- rownames(installed.packages())
> BCoInstall(pkgs, type="source")
```

Users can check packages that are either outdated or too new for their BrainConductor version with

```
> library( BrainCoSetup )
> BCoValid()
```

The output provides possible solutions to identified problems, and the help page ?BCoValid shows detailed arguments and behaviours of the function.

1. Sporns, O., Tononi, G. & Kötter, R. The human connectome: a structural description of the human brain. *PLoS Comput Biol* **1**, e42 (2005).
2. Sporns, O. The human connectome: a complex network. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1224**, 109–125 (2011).
3. Gentleman, R. C. *et al.* Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome biology* **5**, R80 (2004).
4. Penny, W. D., Friston, K. J., Ashburner, J. T., Kiebel, S. J. & Nichols, T. E. *Statistical parametric mapping: the analysis of functional brain images: the analysis of functional brain images* (Academic press, 2011).
5. Jenkinson, M., Beckmann, C. F., Behrens, T. E., Woolrich, M. W. & Smith, S. M. Fsl. *Neuroimage* **62**, 782–790 (2012).
6. Fischl, B. Freesurfer. *Neuroimage* **62**, 774–781 (2012).
7. Cox, R. W. Afni: software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages. *Computers and Biomedical research* **29**, 162–173 (1996).
8. Milham, M. P. Open neuroscience solutions for the connectome-wide association era. *Neuron* **73**, 214–218 (2012).
9. Buckner, J. *et al.* The gptools package enables gpu computing in r. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 134–135 (2010).
10. Schmidberger, M. *et al.* State of the art in parallel computing with r. *Journal of Statistical Software* **31**, 1–27 (2009).
11. Glasser, M. F. *et al.* The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the human connectome project. *Neuroimage* **80**, 105–124 (2013).

Acknowledgements Put acknowledgments here.

Competing Interests The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

Correspondence Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Han Liu, Ph.D., Sherred Hall 224, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544 USA (email: hanliu@princeton.edu), and Yu Wang, Ph.D., Room 4-303, Rohm Building, E.E. Dept., Tsinghua University, Beijing, China 100084 (email: yu-wang@tsinghua.edu.cn)