

HW2

105072123 黃海茵

- **Q1**

Fourier tempogram

ChaCha	P-score 0.04	ALOTG-score 0.09
Jive	P-score 0.02	ALOTG-score 0.05
Quickstep	P-score 0.0	ALOTG-score 0.0
Rumba	P-score 0.05	ALOTG-score 0.11
Samba	P-score 0.01	ALOTG-score 0.01
Tango	P-score 0.35	ALOTG-score 0.64
Viennese waltz	P-score 0.01	ALOTG-score 0.02
Waltz	P-score 0.04	ALOTG-score 0.07

autocorrelation tempogram

ChaCha	P-score 0.53	ALOTG-score 0.81
Jive	P-score 0.39	ALOTG-score 0.55
Quickstep	P-score 0.39	ALOTG-score 0.5
Rumba	P-score 0.42	ALOTG-score 0.78
Samba	P-score 0.3	ALOTG-score 0.55
Tango	P-score 0.5	ALOTG-score 0.67
Viennese waltz	P-score 0.5	ALOTG-score 0.6
Waltz	P-score 0.37	ALOTG-score 0.56

autocorrelation tempogram 的結果明顯比 Fourier tempogram 的高出非常多，因為 Fourier tempogram 比較容易抓到幾倍大的 bpm。然後 ALOTG-score 又比 P-score 高。

參數的部分是設定忽略 freq 大於 300 跟小於 30 的，下面幾題也都是一樣的參數設定。

● Q2

Fourier tempogram

* use [T1/2, T2/2]

ChaCha	P-score 0.06
Jive	P-score 0.05
Quickstep	P-score 0.0
Rumba	P-score 0.08
Samba	P-score 0.06
Tango	P-score 0.28
Viennese waltz	P-score 0.0
Waltz	P-score 0.0

* use [T1*2, T2*2]

ChaCha	P-score 0.01
Jive	P-score 0.02
Quickstep	P-score 0.01
Rumba	P-score 0.01
Samba	P-score 0.0
Tango	P-score 0.04
Viennese waltz	P-score 0.16
Waltz	P-score 0.04

* use [T1/3, T2/3]

ChaCha	P-score 0.01
Jive	P-score 0.0
Quickstep	P-score 0.0
Rumba	P-score 0.08
Samba	P-score 0.01
Tango	P-score 0.0
Viennese waltz	P-score 0.0
Waltz	P-score 0.0

* use [T1*3, T2*3]

ChaCha	P-score 0.0
Jive	P-score 0.0
Quickstep	P-score 0.0
Rumba	P-score 0.0
Samba	P-score 0.0
Tango	P-score 0.0
Viennese waltz	P-score 0.0
Waltz	P-score 0.0

autocorrelation tempogram

* use [T1/2, T2/2]

ChaCha	P-score 0.09
Jive	P-score 0.0
Quickstep	P-score 0.0
Rumba	P-score 0.29
Samba	P-score 0.32
Tango	P-score 0.12
Viennese waltz	P-score 0.0
Waltz	P-score 0.43

* use [T1*2, T2*2]

ChaCha	P-score 0.27
Jive	P-score 0.42
Quickstep	P-score 0.37
Rumba	P-score 0.13
Samba	P-score 0.22
Tango	P-score 0.2
Viennese waltz	P-score 0.03
Waltz	P-score 0.03

* use [T1/3, T2/3]

ChaCha	P-score 0.0
Jive	P-score 0.0
Quickstep	P-score 0.0
Rumba	P-score 0.0
Samba	P-score 0.0
Tango	P-score 0.0
Viennese waltz	P-score 0.0
Waltz	P-score 0.02

* use [T1*3, T2*3]

ChaCha	P-score 0.01
Jive	P-score 0.02
Quickstep	P-score 0.07
Rumba	P-score 0.01
Samba	P-score 0.01
Tango	P-score 0.01
Viennese waltz	P-score 0.44
Waltz	P-score 0.0

不同 genre 在不同參數下的結果都不同，但 Fourier tempogram

和 autocorrelation tempogram 在使用 1/3 倍和 3 倍的參數時，各 genre 的結果幾乎都表現不太好，除了 Viennese waltz 在 autocorrelation tempogram 有出現 3 倍較高的結果。

● Q3

Fourier tempogram

4s	ChaCha	ALOTG-score 0.0
4s	Jive	ALOTG-score 0.0
4s	Quickstep	ALOTG-score 0.91
4s	Rumba	ALOTG-score 0.0
4s	Samba	ALOTG-score 0.03
4s	Tango	ALOTG-score 0.0
4s	Viennese waltz	ALOTG-score 0.0
4s	Waltz	ALOTG-score 0.05

6s	ChaCha	ALOTG-score 0.05	10s	ChaCha	ALOTG-score 0.76
6s	Jive	ALOTG-score 0.45	10s	Jive	ALOTG-score 0.62
6s	Quickstep	ALOTG-score 0.0	10s	Quickstep	ALOTG-score 0.02
6s	Rumba	ALOTG-score 0.01	10s	Rumba	ALOTG-score 0.1
6s	Samba	ALOTG-score 0.02	10s	Samba	ALOTG-score 0.02
6s	Tango	ALOTG-score 0.02	10s	Tango	ALOTG-score 0.58
6s	Viennese waltz	ALOTG-score 0.05	10s	Viennese waltz	ALOTG-score 0.09
6s	Waltz	ALOTG-score 0.02	10s	Waltz	ALOTG-score 0.49

8s	ChaCha	ALOTG-score 0.03	12s	ChaCha	ALOTG-score 0.07
8s	Jive	ALOTG-score 0.07	12s	Jive	ALOTG-score 0.2
8s	Quickstep	ALOTG-score 0.49	12s	Quickstep	ALOTG-score 0.09
8s	Rumba	ALOTG-score 0.34	12s	Rumba	ALOTG-score 0.04
8s	Samba	ALOTG-score 0.51	12s	Samba	ALOTG-score 0.12
8s	Tango	ALOTG-score 0.0	12s	Tango	ALOTG-score 0.05
8s	Viennese waltz	ALOTG-score 0.03	12s	Viennese waltz	ALOTG-score 0.0
8s	Waltz	ALOTG-score 0.02	12s	Waltz	ALOTG-score 0.21

4s，Quickstep 有很好的結果 0.91

6s，Jive 的 0.45 較為突出，但也沒有到很好

8s，Quickstep、Rumba、Samba 是相對於其它 genre 較好的

10s，ChaCha、Jive、Tango 有不錯的結果，Waltz 的也還可以

12s，Jive 和 Waltz 相較其他較高，但結果幾乎都偏低

autocorrelation tempogram

```
4s | ChaCha          | ALOTG-score 0.81
4s | Jive             | ALOTG-score 0.55
4s | Quickstep        | ALOTG-score 0.5
4s | Rumba            | ALOTG-score 0.78
4s | Samba            | ALOTG-score 0.55
4s | Tango            | ALOTG-score 0.67
4s | Viennese waltz    | ALOTG-score 0.6
4s | Waltz            | ALOTG-score 0.56
```

```
6s | ChaCha          | ALOTG-score 0.81
6s | Jive             | ALOTG-score 0.55
6s | Quickstep        | ALOTG-score 0.5
6s | Rumba            | ALOTG-score 0.78
6s | Samba            | ALOTG-score 0.55
6s | Tango            | ALOTG-score 0.67
6s | Viennese waltz    | ALOTG-score 0.6
6s | Waltz            | ALOTG-score 0.56
```

```
10s | ChaCha          | ALOTG-score 0.81
10s | Jive            | ALOTG-score 0.55
10s | Quickstep       | ALOTG-score 0.5
10s | Rumba           | ALOTG-score 0.78
10s | Samba           | ALOTG-score 0.55
10s | Tango           | ALOTG-score 0.67
10s | Viennese waltz   | ALOTG-score 0.6
10s | Waltz           | ALOTG-score 0.56
```

```
8s | ChaCha          | ALOTG-score 0.81
8s | Jive             | ALOTG-score 0.55
8s | Quickstep        | ALOTG-score 0.5
8s | Rumba            | ALOTG-score 0.78
8s | Samba            | ALOTG-score 0.55
8s | Tango            | ALOTG-score 0.67
8s | Viennese waltz    | ALOTG-score 0.6
8s | Waltz            | ALOTG-score 0.56
```

```
12s | ChaCha          | ALOTG-score 0.81
12s | Jive            | ALOTG-score 0.55
12s | Quickstep       | ALOTG-score 0.5
12s | Rumba           | ALOTG-score 0.78
12s | Samba           | ALOTG-score 0.55
12s | Tango           | ALOTG-score 0.67
12s | Viennese waltz   | ALOTG-score 0.6
12s | Waltz           | ALOTG-score 0.56
```

我發現改變 window length 之後，autocorrelation tempogram 的結果都沒有改變，不知道是不是哪裡出問題了 QQ

我用的方法是先算出 window length，如下

```
wl = int(round(s * sr / 512, 0))
```

再將它傳入 feature.tempogram 和 tempo_frequencies 中的參數，如下

```
tempogram = librosa.feature.tempogram(onset_envelope=oenv, sr=sr, win_length=wl)
freq = librosa.tempo_frequencies(n_bins=wl, sr=sr)
```

- **Q4**

ChaCha	F-score 0.9
Jive	F-score 0.67
Quickstep	F-score 0.62
Rumba	F-score 0.8
Samba	F-score 0.57
Tango	F-score 0.8
Viennese waltz	F-score 0.74
Waltz	F-score 0.65

基本上八個 **genre** 的結果都不算很低，ChaCha 有最好的結果 0.9

- **Q5**

SMC | F-score 0.34

JCS | F-score 0.65

這兩個 **dataset** 的 **F-score** 都比 **Ballroom** 的大部分低。

SMC 中的音樂多半是古典音樂、抒情歌等，不像 **Ballroom** 的舞曲具有強烈的節奏性，所以很容易被旋律拉著跑。雖然 **JCS** 中也有節奏性強烈的歌曲，不過因為結合了一些節奏變換，所以我猜這可能是它相較 **Ballroom** 中某些 **genre** 略低的理由。