

# Fun facts in mathematics

Soheil Hajian

May 1, 2021

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>(Numerical) linear algebra</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Rayleigh quotient . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Probability theory</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Chebyshev inequality . . . . .	2
2.2	Law of large numbers . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Pattern recognition</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Linear discriminant analysis . . . . .	3
3.1.1	Scatter matrices and spread . . . . .	3
3.2	Logistic regression . . . . .	5

# 1 (Numerical) linear algebra

## 1.1 Rayleigh quotient

# 2 Probability theory

## 2.1 Chebyshev inequality

Let  $X$  be a continuous random variable with density function  $f_X(x)$ , mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ . Then the following inequality holds

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \geq k\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{k^2}, \quad \forall k \geq 1. \quad (1)$$

In order to prove (1) we use definition of the probability and standard deviation of  $X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \geq k\sigma) &= \int_{|x-\mu| \geq k\sigma} f_X(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{|x-\mu| \geq k\sigma} \frac{|x-\mu|^2}{(k\sigma)^2} f_X(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|x-\mu|^2}{(k\sigma)^2} f_X(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{(k\sigma)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

## 2.2 Law of large numbers

Let us consider a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) samples  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  from a common random variable  $X$ . The law of large numbers states that the mean of the above sequence converges to the mean of  $X$  as  $n$  grows to infinity. That is

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mu \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n}, \quad (4)$$

and  $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$ . Convergence should be understood in the sense of almost surely (a.s.) which corresponds to the strong law of large numbers. The weak law of large numbers corresponds to the convergence in probability of the mean of the samples to the mean of  $X$ .

Here we prove the weak law of large numbers for the case when  $X$  is continuous random variable. From Chebyshev inequality (1) we have for

the random variable  $\bar{X}_n$

$$\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma_{\bar{X}_n}^2}{\varepsilon^2}, \quad (5)$$

where we set  $\varepsilon = k\sigma_{\bar{X}_n}$ . On the other hand from the definition of  $\bar{X}_i$  we can conclude that

$$\sigma_{\bar{X}_n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sigma_X.$$

If  $\sigma_X$  is finite we can conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma_X^2}{n\varepsilon^2},$$

and therefore

$$1 - \frac{\sigma_X^2}{n\varepsilon^2} \leq \mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \leq \varepsilon) \leq 1, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (6)$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  yields that  $\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \leq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

## 3 Pattern recognition

### 3.1 Linear discriminant analysis

In this section we introduce a method to find a subspace that aims to maximize the distance between data points belonging to different classes (intra-classes distance) while minimizing the distance of data points belonging to the same class (inter-classes).

Let us denote the dataset by the tuple  $(X, y)$  where  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  is the so-called feature matrix, and  $y \in \mathbb{B}^p$  is the target vector. Here  $p$  denotes the number of data points in the dataset and  $n$  is the dimension of the feature space.

Each column of  $X$  corresponds to the features of a data point which we denote by  $x^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and similarly each entry of  $y$ , i.e.,  $y^{(j)}$  corresponds to the class of the data point  $j$ , for all  $j = 1, \dots, p$ . We consider that each data point can belong to one and only one class, and we denote the total number of classes by  $K$ . That is  $y^{(j)} \in \{1, \dots, K\}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, p$ .

#### 3.1.1 Scatter matrices and spread

Let us denote the projection of the features of a data point,  $x^{(j)}$ , into a normalized vector  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $z^{(j)}$ , and for all data points by  $z := \mathbf{q}^\top X$ . A

measure of the spread of projected values can be define by the Euclidean 2-norm,  $\|z\|_2$ :

$$\|z\|_2 = \mathbf{q}^\top X X^\top \mathbf{q}. \quad (7)$$

The matrix  $X^\top X$  is called the scatter matrix and we denote it by  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Note that from the definition of  $S$  we can conclude that  $S$  is symmetric and at least positive semi-definite. Therefore maximizing the spread corresponds to finding the normalized vector  $\mathbf{q}$  that maximizes  $\mathbf{q}^\top S \mathbf{q}$ , which by Rayleigh quotient (see Section 1.1) corresponds to the eigenvector of  $S$  corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue of  $S$ .

We will now define two metrics: intra-class and inter-class spread of the dataset. It is convenient to define first the set of data points that belong to the same class. Let us define the set of data points belonging to the same class by

$$\mathcal{D}_k := \{j : y^{(j)} = k, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, p\}, \quad (8)$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, K$ . This then motivates to rearrange the feature matrix,  $X$ , such that data points belonging to the same class be adjacent to each other:

$$X = [X_1, X_2, \dots, X_K], \quad (9)$$

and similarly the target vector  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_K)^\top$ . Note that each matrix  $X_k$  can have a different size, i.e.,  $X_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p_k}$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, K$ .

In order to define the intra-class spread, we first translate each class the data points to the origin. The center of a class is defined by

$$c_k := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_k|} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_k} x. \quad (10)$$

We then define the centered feature matrix of each class by

$$X_{k,c} := \begin{bmatrix} x^{(j_1)} - c_k, & x^{(j_2)} - c_k, & \dots, & x^{(j_{p_k})} - c_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

and the centered feature matrix by  $X_w = [X_{1,c}, \dots, X_{K,c}]$ . The intra-class spread matrix is then defined by  $S_w := X_w X_w^\top$ . We now focus on defining inter-class spread. Let us define the global centroid of the data by

$$c := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p x^{(i)}. \quad (12)$$

We would like to measure the distance of the centroid of each cluster to the global centroid. Therefore we define the following matrix

$$\bar{X} := \underbrace{[(c_1 - c), \dots, (c_1 - c)]}_{p_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{[(c_k - c), \dots, (c_k - c)]}_{p_k \text{ times}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}, \quad (13)$$

whose columns measures the distance of each centroid to the global centroid. Finally the inter-class spread matrix can be defined by

$$S_b := \bar{X} \bar{X}^\top. \quad (14)$$

As we discussed earlier in this section, we would like to maximize inter-class spread while minimizing the intra-class spread. To do so we can scalarize the above two metrics by defining the following scalar function:

$$H(\mathbf{q}) := \frac{\mathbf{q}^\top S_b \mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{q}^\top S_w \mathbf{q}}, \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{q} \neq 0. \quad (15)$$

Any direction  $\mathbf{q}$  that maximizes  $H(\mathbf{q})$  can be viewed as a desirable direction maximizes the ratio of the spread of inter-class over the spread of the intra-class. Note that such direction does not necessarily maximizes spread of inter-class and minimizes spread of intra-class simultaneously.

Let us suppose for the moment that  $S_w$  is symmetric positive definite (s.p.d.). Then using Rayleigh quotient of Section 1.1 we can conclude that the maximum value of  $H(q)$  corresponds to the maximum eigenvalue of  $S_w^{-1} S_b$  and the optimum direction is the corresponding eigenvector of  $S_w^{-1} S_b$ , i.e.,

$$v_{\max} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n} H(\mathbf{q}), \quad (16)$$

where

$$S_w^{-1} S_b v_{\max} = \lambda_{\max} v_{\max}. \quad (17)$$

For the case where  $S_w$  is only semi-definite, we can use the argument that  $S_w^{-1}$  exists. One approach would be to regularize  $S_w$  to an s.p.d. matrix by adding an s.p.d. matrix, e.g.,

$$S_{w,\varepsilon} := S_w + \varepsilon I, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (18)$$

Here  $\varepsilon$  is a small positive real number.

### 3.2 Logistic regression

Logistic regression is considered as a simple method for the classification problem. It is considered as a discriminative classification method since it models the posterior probability of the target variable directly. Let us denote as before the dataset by the tuple  $(X, y)$  where  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  is the so-called feature matrix, and  $y \in \mathbb{B}^p$  is the target vector. Here  $p$  denotes the number of data points in the dataset and  $n$  is the dimension of the feature space.

Logistic regression models the posterior probability of the target variable directly through a sigmoid function, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(y = 1|x) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\top x + w_0), \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n, w_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (19)$$

and from the definition of the probabilities we have that  $\mathbb{P}(y = 0|x) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(y = 1|x)$ .

Here  $\sigma(a) := 1/(1 + \exp(-a))$ . Note that when  $\mathbf{w}^\top x + w_0 = 0$  then  $\mathbb{P}(y = 0|x) = \mathbb{P}(y = 1|x) = \frac{1}{2}$  and we can conclude that the logistic regression model does not prefer one class over the other given the input  $x$ . Such a  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional hyperplane is called the decision surface. Logistic regression is called a linear classification method since its decision boundary is a linear function of the input  $x$ .

In order to find optimal parameters of logistic regression model, i.e.,  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $w_0$ , we first form a log-likelihood function over the posterior distribution and then maximize it. More precisely for a given logistic model (fixed  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $w_0$ ) the probability of observing  $(y^{(j)}, x^{(j)})$  is given by

$$\mathbb{P}((y^{(j)}, x^{(j)})|\mathbf{w}, w_0) = \mathbb{P}(y^{(j)} = 1|x^{(j)})^{y^{(j)}} \cdot \mathbb{P}(y^{(j)} = 0|x^{(j)})^{(1-y^{(j)})}. \quad (20)$$

Since observations of the dataset are i.i.d. we can conclude that the probability of observing the dataset given the model is the multiplication of the above likelihood function, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}((y, X)|\mathbf{w}, w_0) = \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{P}((y^{(j)}, x^{(j)})|\mathbf{w}, w_0). \quad (21)$$