Assignment 1: Kernel Methods in ML Pen & Paper exercises K1(x,5) = (<x,5) + c) , c = 0 linear ternel (p(x)=x) | x, y & Ra Proving that the polynomial barnel ky (x, 5) is a valid tornel: Ky(x, 5) = ((x, 5) +c) = (x+5+c) = (2 x:5:+c) = Applying the binomial theorem Ky(x, 5) = \(\frac{\infty}{k}\) \(\infty\) \(\infty\) \(\infty\) \(\infty\) Here we can see that we have a conic sum of kernels, which is also as kernel (lecture 1 slide 40): "For kernels (kj) jen and (xj) jen >0, & xj kj is also + This is true in onrease because -> (m) cm-E >0, for all m, E EIN, and c20 > (x, y) is a linear kernel and (x, y) is a product of linear kernels and lan's product of kernels is also a kernel as it proved on lecture 1 slide 45 -> So because (m) cm-k is a positive scalar and (x, y) is a kernel, we can verify that E (m) cm-t (x, y) t is a conic sum of kernels

20 therefore Kalx, y) is a valid kernel.

Question 2 Showing that by deriving appropriate expressions for ox; and b, the decision function h(x)= [+1 if 11 p(x)-c-112 > 11 p(x)-c+)12 -1 otherwise can be written in the following form h(x) = sgn (2 x; k(x, x;)+b), such that k(x, x;) = (0(x),0(x;)) Sgn x = { 0 | : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : £ x = 0 | . : $c_{+}=\frac{1}{n_{+}}\sum_{i=1}^{n_{+}}\phi(x_{i})$ and $c_{-}=\frac{1}{n_{-}}\sum_{i=1}^{n_{+}}\phi(x_{i})$ Let's modify the h(x) in to the form of sgn-function. 1/2 | Sold (x) = (+1 if 10(x) - c_112 - 11 + (x) - c_+112 > 0 = 5 h(x) = 5gn(11 d(x)-c_112-110(x)-c_112) = sgn(< o(x)-c-, o(x)-c-, -< o(x)-c+, o(x)-c+)) = sg. (<b(+), b(x)) - 2< o(x), c_) + <(-, (-))
- < o(x), o(x)) + 2< o(x), c_+) - <(-, c_+) = sgn(2(46(x), c+>-(6(x), c->)+(c-, c-)-(c+, c+>) = sgn(2 < 0(x), c+-e->+ <c-, c->-(c+, c+)) = sgn(2c+ b(x)-2c- b(x) + c2 - c2) 110 2 = sgn (c+ \$(x) - c - \$(x) + 1 c2 - 1 ct)

$$= sgn\left(\frac{1}{2m^{2}}\sum_{i,j \in I} \phi(x_{i})\phi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{2m^{2}}\sum_{i,j \in I} \phi(x_{i})\phi(x_{j})\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m_{1}}\sum_{i \in I} \phi(x_{i})\phi(x_{i}) + \frac{1}{m_{2}}\sum_{i \in I} \psi(x_{i})\phi(x_{i})$$

$$= sgn\left(\frac{1}{m_{1}}\sum_{i \in I} \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \frac{1}{m_{2}}\sum_{i \in I} \psi(x_{i},x_{i})\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2m^{2}}\sum_{i,j \in I} \psi(x_{i},x_{j}) - \frac{1}{2m^{2}}\sum_{i,j \in I} \psi(x_{i},x_{j})$$

$$= sgn\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + b\right),$$
where
$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + b$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i})$$

$$= sgn\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + b\right),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i})$$

$$= sgn\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + b\right),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i})$$

$$= sgn\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + b\right),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i})$$

$$= sgn\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + b\right),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i})$$

$$= sgn\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + b\right),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi(x_{i},x_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i}, \psi$$

Question 3 Checking if Ka(x, y) = cos(x+y) is a valid kernel when x, 5 e R. Proof. Finding b(x) and Ho such that k(x,x') = (p(x), d(x')) ze is not trivial in this ease and we would need to use imaginary numbers. Trying to prove the validity by assessing the positive-definiteness of Ke. As stated in theorem of Moore - Aronszajn, all positive-definite functions are kernels and vice-versa. Def. of PD function "A symmetric Function k: X xX -> IR is positive-definite if Vn21, V(a, ..., a) ER, A (x, ..., x,) + x, È a; a; \((x;,x;) \ge 0. -> bet n=1, so we need to check whether the following holds. a Ke(x, x) 20, For all x, y & R. -> Let x = T +> x + x = T a2. cos(17) = - a2 = 0 a valid kernel.

Question	u				1
Claim.	K3(x,3)=	1	is a	valid ke	rnel for
	x, y 6 (-1,1). 1-x5			
Proof.			2 x 1		
	K3(x,)=	×5	-0.		
	= \(\)	x's'			
	= < 0	(x), d(y))>,	2 2 2 4	
				, x ² , x ³ ,	