# LDSP- Linear Detection of Selection in Pooled sequence data

Matthew Stephens & Hussein Al-Asadi

## 1 Introduction

We break up the process into two phases.

**Phase I**: The Intuition is that data at each SNP are binomial counts, which help estimate the frequency of a SNP in a pool, but they don't tell you the frequency exactly, they are noisy. But by combining information across multiple corrected SNPs, you can improve the estimated frequency of the test SNP

**Phase II**: After we estimate the frequency of the putatively selected SNP in each replicate population, we estimate the group effect using a linear model which also allows us to model genetic drift with a normal error term.. The idea is that in the positively selected population, the group effect will be positive while negative in the negatively selected population, and 0 in the neutrally evolving population.

## 2 Phase I

Consider one lineage for now.

Let  $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_p)'$  denote the vector of allele frequencies in the study sample. Let  $E[y_i] = \mu_i$  and the frequency of the test SNP be  $y_t$  and M denote the 2mxp panel (i.e. 2m haplotypes and p SNPs). As in (Wen & Stephens, 2010), we assume

$$\vec{y}|M \sim N_n(\mu, \Sigma)$$
 (1)

(Wen & Stephens, 2010) derived the estimates for  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  from the haplotype copying model presented in (Li & Stephens, 2003).

$$\hat{\mu} = (1 - \theta)f^{panel} + \frac{\theta}{2}1\tag{2}$$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = (1 - \theta)^2 S + \frac{\theta}{2} (1 - \frac{\theta}{2}) I \tag{3}$$

and S is obtained from  $\Sigma^{panel}$ , specifically,

$$S_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i,j}^{panel} & i = j \\ e^{-\frac{-\rho_{i,j}}{2m}} \sum_{i,j}^{panel} & i \neq j \end{cases}$$
 (4)

and,

$$\theta = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2m-1} \frac{1}{i}\right)^{-1}}{2m + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2m-1} \frac{1}{i}\right)^{-1}} \tag{5}$$

#### 2.1 Data at SNP i

Let  $(n_i^0, n_i^1)$  denote the counts of "0" and "1" alleles at SNP i and  $n_i = n_i^0 + n_i^1$ . Then

$$n_i^1 \sim Bin(n_i, X_i) \sim N(n_i X_i, n_i X_i (1 - X_i))$$

where  $X_i$  is the true population frequency of the SNP i "1" allele.

$$\implies \hat{X}_i | X_i \sim N(X_i, \frac{X_i(1 - X_i)}{n_i}) \tag{6}$$

where  $\hat{X}_i = \frac{n_i^1}{n_i}$ 

Next we replace  $X_i$  by  $\hat{X}_i$  in the variance for tractibility issues. Therefore,

$$\hat{X}_i | X_i \sim N(X_i, \frac{\hat{X}_i(1 - \hat{X}_i)}{n_i}) \tag{7}$$

### 2.2 Incorporating Dispersion

Letting  $y_i^{obs} = \hat{X}_i$  from equation 7, we see that

$$y^{\vec{obs}}|y^{t\vec{rue}} \sim N_p(y^{t\vec{rue}}, diag(\epsilon_1, ..., \epsilon_p))$$
 (8)

where  $\epsilon_i = \frac{y_i^{obs}(1-y_i^{obs})}{n}$  and n is the total coverage for SNP i

In the distribution of  $\vec{y}$ , we assumed that the panel and study individuals are from the sample population, and the parameters  $\theta$  and  $\rho$  are estimated without error. Deviations from these assumptions will cause over-dispersion: the true allele frequencies will lie further from their expected values than the model predicts. To allow this, we modify equation 1 by introducing an over-dispersion parameter  $\sigma^2$ .

$$y^{true}|M \sim N_p(\hat{\mu}, \sigma^2 \hat{\Sigma})$$
 (9)

Combining both equations, we obtain,

$$y^{\vec{obs}}|M \sim N_p(\hat{\mu}, \sigma^2 \hat{\Sigma} + diag(\epsilon_1, ..., \epsilon_p))$$
 (10)

where we can estimate  $\sigma^2$  by maximum likelihood.

We use Bayes theorem to obtain the distribution for the true frequencies conditional on the observed data (as derived in Wen & Stephens).

$$P(y^{\overrightarrow{true}}|y^{\overrightarrow{obs}}) = \frac{P(y^{\overrightarrow{obs}}|y^{\overrightarrow{true}})P(y^{\overrightarrow{true}})}{P(y^{\overrightarrow{obs}})}$$

## 2.3 Estimating the true frequency at SNP t

. . . .

## 3 Phase II - estimating $\beta$

Let  $f_{i,k,j}$  denote the frequency of the jth SNP in population i and replicate k. Then,

$$log(\frac{1 - f_{i,k,j}}{f_{i,k,j}}) = \mu_j + \beta_j g_i + \epsilon \tag{11}$$

where  $\epsilon \sim N_(0,\sigma_d^2)$ ,  $\sigma_d^2$  is the variance due to drift,  $\mu_j$  is the frequency of the jthe SNP in the founding population and

$$g_i = \begin{cases} -1 & i = 0 \\ 0 & i = 1 \\ 1 & i = 2 \end{cases}$$

The intuition here is that sites with large  $\beta$  coefficients are under selection.