

Final Project Milestone 2

Holly Figueroa

5/22/2021

Importing, Cleaning, Slicing, and Dicing the Data

A central dataset to be used to ascertain relationships that may exist between variables and one's willingness to vaccinate is relatively clean to start. Each variable was offered in a separate table. So each must file must be read, cleaned, and then combined. Each census table contains extra information in the first rows that will have to be skipped. Columns were selected for use and renamed.

```
library(dplyr)
# WILLINGNESS CHANGES
# Open and clean willingness to vaccinate dataframe
orig_vaccine_df <- read.csv('final_project/vaccine_will.csv', skip=1)
head(orig_vaccine_df)
```

```
##   Week      Area Total.Individual.Population.age.18. Measure.Universe
## 1   27 United States                249170916                130998203
## 2   27     Alabama                3717378                 2007289
## 3   27     Alaska                524925                 203863
## 4   27     Arizona                5597268                 2972441
## 5   27     Arkansas                2246527                 1191733
## 6   27 California                29939021                 15594638
##   Number Margin.of.Error.... Percent Percent.Margin.of.Error....
## 1 62520073          1226564      47.7                0.9
## 2  723497          129853      36.0                5.9
## 3   48167          11786      23.6                4.9
## 4 1208476          143519      40.7                4.5
## 5  446572           76040      37.5                6.1
## 6 9250244          500362      59.3                3.3
```

```
# rename columns and view
orig_vaccine_df <- orig_vaccine_df %>%
  rename(week = Week,
         state = Area,
         state_adult_pop = Total.Individual.Population.age.18.,
         willing_sample = Measure.Universe,
         total_willing = Number,
         pc_MoE_willing = Percent.Margin.of.Error...,
         pc_willing = Percent)
head(orig_vaccine_df)
```

```
##   week      state state_adult_pop willing_sample total_willing
```

```
## 1 27 United States 249170916 130998203 62520073
## 2 27 Alabama 3717378 2007289 723497
## 3 27 Alaska 524925 203863 48167
## 4 27 Arizona 5597268 2972441 1208476
## 5 27 Arkansas 2246527 1191733 446572
## 6 27 California 29939021 15594638 9250244
## Margin.of.Error.... pc_willing pc_MoE_willing
## 1 1226564 47.7 0.9
## 2 129853 36.0 5.9
## 3 11786 23.6 4.9
## 4 143519 40.7 4.5
## 5 76040 37.5 6.1
## 6 500362 59.3 3.3
```

```
# Select columns to keep and combine with others later.
vaccine_willing_percents <- orig_vaccine_df%>%
  select(state, pc_willing)
head(vaccine_willing_percents)
```

```
##      state pc_willing
## 1 United States 47.7
## 2 Alabama 36.0
## 3 Alaska 23.6
## 4 Arizona 40.7
## 5 Arkansas 37.5
## 6 California 59.3
```

```
# EXPECTED INCOME LOSS CHANGES
# read expected loss of income due to Covid data file
orig_exp_income_loss_df <- read.csv('final_project/exp_income_loss.csv', skip = 1)
head(orig_exp_income_loss_df)
```

```
## Week Area Total.Individual.Population.age.18. Measure.Universe
## 1 12 United States 249170916 247851443
## 2 12 Alabama 3717378 3672268
## 3 12 Alaska 524925 522814
## 4 12 Arizona 5597268 5545526
## 5 12 Arkansas 2246527 2236004
## 6 12 California 29939021 29848918
## Number Margin.of.Error.... Percent Percent.Margin.of.Error....
## 1 87332680 1450190 35.2 0.6
## 2 1034020 131027 28.2 3.6
## 3 167304 22462 32.0 4.3
## 4 2090442 173641 37.7 3.1
## 5 623541 67904 27.9 3.1
## 6 13807861 606119 46.3 2.0
```

```
colnames(orig_exp_income_loss_df)
```

```
## [1] "Week" "Area"
## [3] "Total.Individual.Population.age.18." "Measure.Universe"
## [5] "Number" "Margin.of.Error...."
## [7] "Percent" "Percent.Margin.of.Error...."
```

```
# create percentages only data frames to combine later and rename columns
exp_income_loss_percents <- orig_exp_income_loss_df%>%
  select(2,7)%>%
  rename(state = Area, pc_exp_income_loss = Percent)
head(exp_income_loss_percents)
```

```
##           state pc_exp_income_loss
## 1 United States      35.2
## 2      Alabama      28.2
## 3      Alaska      32.0
## 4      Arizona      37.7
## 5      Arkansas      27.9
## 6      California      46.3
```

```
# INCOME LOST CHANGES
# read data on people with income lost due to Covid data file
orig_income_lost_df <-read.csv('final_project/income_lost.csv', skip = 1)
head(orig_income_lost_df)
```

```
##   Week           Area Total.Individual.Population.age.18. Measure.Universe
## 1   12 United States           249170916           247855856
## 2   12      Alabama           3717378           3686297
## 3   12      Alaska           524925           522612
## 4   12      Arizona           5597268           5551517
## 5   12      Arkansas           2246527           2239763
## 6   12      California           29939021           29862562
##           Number Margin.of.Error.... Percent Percent.Margin.of.Error....
## 1 126554411           1457948      51.1           0.6
## 2  1689166           149103      45.8           4.1
## 3   256356           20925      49.1           4.0
## 4  2841364           193646      51.2           3.4
## 5   980085           94012      43.8           4.2
## 6 17489568           529057      58.6           1.8
```

```
colnames(orig_income_lost_df)
```

```
## [1] "Week"           "Area"
## [3] "Total.Individual.Population.age.18." "Measure.Universe"
## [5] "Number"         "Margin.of.Error...."
## [7] "Percent"        "Percent.Margin.of.Error...."
```

```
# create percentages only data frame to combine later and rename columns
income_lost_percents <-orig_exp_income_loss_df%>%
  select(2,7)%>%
  rename(state= Area, pc_income_lost = Percent)
head(income_lost_percents)
```

```
##           state pc_income_lost
## 1 United States      35.2
## 2      Alabama      28.2
## 3      Alaska      32.0
```

```
## 4      Arizona      37.7
## 5      Arkansas      27.9
## 6      California      46.3
```

EXPECTED EVICTION CHANGES

read data file on people who anticipated eviction/foreclosure

```
orig_exp_eviction_df <- read.csv('final_project/eviction_likely.csv', skip = 1)
head(orig_exp_eviction_df)
```

```
##      Week      Area Total.Individual.Population.age.18. Measure.Universe
## 1  28 United States      250265449      12793569
## 2  28      Alabama      3737637      243389
## 3  28      Alaska      525308      32759
## 4  28      Arizona      5753909      204778
## 5  28      Arkansas      2264877      142503
## 6  28      California      29807656      1631596
##      Number Margin.of.Error.... Percent Percent.Margin.of.Error....
## 1 3918446      418124      30.6      3.0
## 2  85192      48840      35.0      17.4
## 3  14943      6462      45.6      15.1
## 4  67667      41341      33.0      16.9
## 5  53699      33220      37.7      18.7
## 6 567283      181192      34.8      10.2
```

```
colnames(orig_exp_eviction_df)
```

```
## [1] "Week"      "Area"
## [3] "Total.Individual.Population.age.18." "Measure.Universe"
## [5] "Number"      "Margin.of.Error...."
## [7] "Percent"      "Percent.Margin.of.Error...."
```

create percentages only data frame to combine later and rename columns

```
exp_eviction_percents <- orig_exp_eviction_df%>%
  select(2,7)%>%
  rename(state = Area, pc_exp_eviction = Percent)
head(exp_eviction_percents)
```

```
##      state pc_exp_eviction
## 1 United States      30.6
## 2      Alabama      35.0
## 3      Alaska      45.6
## 4      Arizona      33.0
## 5      Arkansas      37.7
## 6      California      34.8
```

DELAYED MEDICAL CARE CHANGES

read data file on people who delayed receiving medical care due to Covid

```
orig_delayed_med_df <- read.csv('final_project/delayed_med.csv', skip = 1)
head(orig_delayed_med_df)
```

```
##      Week      Area Total.Individual.Population.age.18. Measure.Universe
```

```
## 1 12 United States 249170916 222316858
## 2 12 Alabama 3717378 3164100
## 3 12 Alaska 524925 475598
## 4 12 Arizona 5597268 4888731
## 5 12 Arkansas 2246527 2012016
## 6 12 California 29939021 25827290
##      Number Margin.of.Error.... Percent Percent.Margin.of.Error....
## 1 89159211 1395159 40.1 0.6
## 2 1410571 138735 44.6 4.2
## 3 211725 20359 44.5 4.2
## 4 1901081 179989 38.9 3.5
## 5 744708 78204 37.0 3.9
## 6 10634751 598567 41.2 2.2
```

```
colnames(orig_delayed_med_df)
```

```
## [1] "Week" "Area"
## [3] "Total.Individual.Population.age.18." "Measure.Universe"
## [5] "Number" "Margin.of.Error...."
## [7] "Percent" "Percent.Margin.of.Error...."
```

All of variables chosen to be combined are expressed as percentages of respondents that answered yes to particular questions. These were combined into a single data frame of census variables only, called “my_data”. After some changes were made, other issues were discovered. Each data frame from the census survey includes rows of data on metro cities as opposed to the state. To address this, metro cities was separated out from the state data and set aside for potential use. This was done using filter functions and slicing functions. I do not have election data at this level of measurement, however, so any analysis of city metro populations would not involve election variables. Data included from the census also has a first row including the United States as a whole. This was also taken out and set aside for potential reference. Combining data was relatively easy as all rows were organized by state name.

```
#create percentages only data frame to combine later and rename columns
delayed_med_percents <- orig_delayed_med_df%>%
  select(2,7)%>%
  rename(state = Area, pc_delayed_med = Percent)
head(delayed_med_percents)
```

```
##      state pc_delayed_med
## 1 United States 40.1
## 2 Alabama 44.6
## 3 Alaska 44.5
## 4 Arizona 38.9
## 5 Arkansas 37.0
## 6 California 41.2
```

```
# Combine data frames into one, check, and tidy
my_data <- cbind(vaccine_willing_percents,
  exp_income_loss_percents,
  income_lost_percents,
  exp_eviction_percents,
  delayed_med_percents)
colnames(my_data)
```

```
## [1] "state"          "pc_willing"      "state"
## [4] "pc_exp_income_loss" "state"          "pc_income_lost"
## [7] "state"          "pc_exp_eviction" "state"
## [10] "pc_delayed_med"
```

```
# Take out duplicate state columns
```

```
my_data<-my_data%>%
  select(-3, -5, -7, -9 )
head(my_data)
```

```
##           state pc_willing pc_exp_income_loss pc_income_lost pc_exp_eviction
## 1 United States    47.7          35.2          35.2          30.6
## 2 Alabama         36.0          28.2          28.2          35.0
## 3 Alaska          23.6          32.0          32.0          45.6
## 4 Arizona         40.7          37.7          37.7          33.0
## 5 Arkansas        37.5          27.9          27.9          37.7
## 6 California      59.3          46.3          46.3          34.8
## pc_delayed_med
## 1          40.1
## 2          44.6
## 3          44.5
## 4          38.9
## 5          37.0
## 6          41.2
```

```
# Separate out city metro data into separate file
```

```
library(stringr)
metro_data <- my_data%>%
  filter(str_detect(state, "Metro"))%>%
  rename(location = state)
head(metro_data)
```

```
##           location pc_willing pc_exp_income_loss
## 1 Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Alpharetta, GA Metro Area    43.3          31.0
## 2 Boston-Cambridge-Newton, MA-NH Metro Area        67.9          30.6
## 3 Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI Metro Area     58.9          40.2
## 4 Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX Metro Area       44.2          42.8
## 5 Detroit-Warren-Dearborn, MI Metro Area           47.2          37.6
## 6 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX Metro Area   48.7          46.2
## pc_income_lost pc_exp_eviction pc_delayed_med
## 1          31.0          29.0          40.3
## 2          30.6          27.1          41.3
## 3          40.2          17.1          45.5
## 4          42.8          12.7          45.3
## 5          37.6          25.8          50.0
## 6          46.2          25.0          39.8
```

```
# Slice out metro data from my_data
```

```
nrow(my_data)
```

```
## [1] 68
```

```
my_data <- slice(my_data, c(1:52))

# Separate out United States level of observation
us_census_data <- my_data %>%
  filter(state == "United States")
head(us_census_data)

##           state pc_willing pc_exp_income_loss pc_income_lost pc_exp_eviction
## 1 United States      47.7           35.2           35.2           30.6
##   pc_delayed_med
## 1           40.1

# Slice out United States level of observations so only data on 51 states remains
my_data <- slice(my_data, c(2:52))
nrow(my_data)

## [1] 51
```

The election data retrieved from Kaggle.com is given at the county level. To get state percentages of vote by any candidate the total vote for each state must be tallied. Once grouped by state, total votes per state can be gained. After that votes for Donald Trump can be filtered and totaled. Dividing votes for Donald Trump by the total votes gains a percentage of state presidential votes for Donald Trump. By doing this, the data can share measurement scale at both the state level, and as percentages of values.

```
# ELECTION DATA CHANGES

# PRESIDENTIAL DATA
election2020_state_and_county <- read.csv('final_project/president_county_candidate.csv')
head(election2020_state_and_county)

##      state      county      candidate party total_votes  won
## 1 Delaware Kent County   Joe Biden   DEM      44552  True
## 2 Delaware Kent County Donald Trump   REP      41009 False
## 3 Delaware Kent County  Jo Jorgensen  LIB       1044 False
## 4 Delaware Kent County Howie Hawkins  GRN        420 False
## 5 Delaware New Castle County   Joe Biden   DEM     195034  True
## 6 Delaware New Castle County Donald Trump   REP     88364 False

# Get total pres votes by state
election2020 <- election2020_state_and_county %>%
  group_by(state) %>%
  summarise_at(vars(total_votes), list(total_votes = sum))

# get republican pres votes by state
rep_votes <- election2020_state_and_county %>%
  filter(candidate == "Donald Trump") %>%
  group_by(state) %>%
  summarise_at(vars(total_votes), list(trump_votes = sum)) %>%
  select(trump_votes)

head(rep_votes)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 1
##   trump_votes
##   <int>
## 1    1441168
## 2     189892
## 3    1661686
## 4     760647
## 5    6005961
## 6    1364607
```

```
# Combine columns: state, total presidential votes, and total presidential votes
election2020 <- cbind(election2020, rep_votes)

# Create percentage republican presidential votes column
election2020$trump_percentage <- (election2020$trump_votes / election2020$total_votes) * 100

# Rename column to specify presidential total votes
election2020 <- election2020 %>%
  rename(total_pres_votes = total_votes)
head(election2020)
```

```
##      state total_pres_votes trump_votes trump_percentage
## 1  Alabama      2323304      1441168      62.03097
## 2   Alaska      391346      189892      48.52279
## 3  Arizona      3387326      1661686      49.05598
## 4  Arkansas      1219069      760647      62.39573
## 5 California      17495906      6005961      34.32781
## 6   Colorado      3256953      1364607      41.89827
```

```
# Explore table
summary(election2020)
```

```
##      state      total_pres_votes      trump_votes      trump_percentage
## Length:51      Min.   : 276765      Min.   : 18586      Min.   : 5.397
## Class :character 1st Qu.: 840923      1st Qu.: 473638      1st Qu.:40.814
## Mode  :character Median : 2148062      Median :1020280      Median :49.056
##              Mean   : 3129573      Mean   :1462465      Mean   :49.095
##              3rd Qu.: 3859516      3rd Qu.:1791400      3rd Qu.:57.835
##              Max.   :17495906      Max.   :6005961      Max.   :69.936
```

After further digging, I also found issues with election data for non-presidential elections. As re-elections vary due to term limits and other reasons, it was not possible to gather complete republican election percentages at other levels. I have yet decided how best to address this, so for now, data regarding republican party dominance by state will not have the nuance of including other offices of power, such as house seats and senate seats gained during the November election of 2020.

Final Data Set

With all the variables combined the complete data set contains the following variables for analysis:

Variable Name	Variable Meaning
state	state
pc_willing	percentage of individuals planning or willing to vaccinate once able
pc_exp_income_loss	percentage of individuals that anticipated a loss of income in the next 4 weeks
pc_income_lost	percentage of households where someone had a loss in employment income in the last 7 days
pc_ex_eviction	percentage of individuals that expected eviction or home foreclosure in the next two months
trump_percentage	percentage of votes that were won by Donald Trump out of all presidential votes cast

```
# COMBINE ALL VARIABLES AT THE STATE LEVEL INTO ONE DATA FRAME
```

```
nrow(my_data)
```

```
## [1] 51
```

```
nrow(election2020)
```

```
## [1] 51
```

```
combined_data <- merge(x = my_data, y = election2020)
head(combined_data)
```

```
##      state pc_willing pc_exp_income_loss pc_income_lost pc_exp_eviction
## 1  Alabama      36.0           28.2           28.2           35.0
## 2   Alaska      23.6           32.0           32.0           45.6
## 3  Arizona      40.7           37.7           37.7           33.0
## 4 Arkansas      37.5           27.9           27.9           37.7
## 5 California     59.3           46.3           46.3           34.8
## 6  Colorado     55.6           34.5           34.5           31.5
## pc_delayed_med total_pres_votes trump_votes trump_percentage
## 1          44.6         2323304         1441168          62.03097
## 2          44.5          391346          189892          48.52279
## 3          38.9         3387326         1661686          49.05598
## 4          37.0         1219069          760647          62.39573
## 5          41.2        17495906         6005961          34.32781
## 6          41.1        3256953         1364607          41.89827
```

```
combined_data <- combined_data%>%
  select(-trump_votes, -total_pres_votes)
# Head final table
head(combined_data)
```

```
##      state pc_willing pc_exp_income_loss pc_income_lost pc_exp_eviction
## 1  Alabama      36.0           28.2           28.2           35.0
## 2   Alaska      23.6           32.0           32.0           45.6
## 3  Arizona      40.7           37.7           37.7           33.0
```

```
## 4    Arkansas      37.5      27.9      27.9      37.7
## 5 California      59.3      46.3      46.3      34.8
## 6    Colorado      55.6      34.5      34.5      31.5
##   pc_delayed_med trump_percentage
## 1          44.6      62.03097
## 2          44.5      48.52279
## 3          38.9      49.05598
## 4          37.0      62.39573
## 5          41.2      34.32781
## 6          41.1      41.89827
```

```
#Rename columns to avoid issues calling up the data by shared starting text
combined_data <- combined_data%>%
  rename(wiling_pc = pc_willing,
         exp_income_loss_pc = pc_exp_income_loss,
         income_lost_pc = pc_income_lost,
         exp_eviction_pc = pc_exp_eviction,
         delayed_med_pc = pc_delayed_med)

head(combined_data)
```

```
##      state wiling_pc exp_income_loss_pc income_lost_pc exp_eviction_pc
## 1   Alabama      36.0          28.2          28.2          35.0
## 2    Alaska      23.6          32.0          32.0          45.6
## 3   Arizona      40.7          37.7          37.7          33.0
## 4   Arkansas      37.5          27.9          27.9          37.7
## 5 California      59.3          46.3          46.3          34.8
## 6    Colorado      55.6          34.5          34.5          31.5
##   delayed_med_pc trump_percentage
## 1          44.6      62.03097
## 2          44.5      48.52279
## 3          38.9      49.05598
## 4          37.0      62.39573
## 5          41.2      34.32781
## 6          41.1      41.89827
```

Questions for future steps

While my initial data sets were very large, by any measure, my approach has left me with 51 rows of data. As such, any analysis is at a great disadvantage. Furthermore, any single outlier in state data will bring my small sample down again, if removed. If I can find a way to combine all the data on the county level I will have ample data. To do so would require some careful cleaning to separate counties by name and match them. I am confident the variables from the survey and the election to not share the same amount of specified counties. So my questions largely rest there, learning how to correctly separate the strings to match and merge.

What information is not self-evident?

While I have information on all the sample sizes used to obtain data, they are not included in this final data set. That may pose issues. Margins of error are given in the census data, and may be more accurate than those I would obtain on the data I see. While I initially intended to include variables of race and ethnicity, but I have chosen to not include them at this time.

What are different ways you could look at this data?

I think it would be to my benefit to try and gain values for my variables at the county level to expand my sample for analysis. Including variables for state populations in the final data frame could lend some insights. Seeing relationships between variables, such as income loss and expected eviction could lend insight into the severity of problems state populations reported.

How could you summarize your data to answer key questions?

Maximum, minimum, median, and mean values would all lend insights into the distributions and shape of the frequencies of each variable. Any regressions will benefit from summary output as well. Multiple regression analysis would be the optimal way to summarize the current data set. Sharing findings from the summary function to add and compare models as parameters are added would be appropriate. Offering the R squared and adjusted R squared statistics would also be appropriate.

What types of plots and tables will help you to illustrate the findings to your questions?

Distributions of variables, visually will be informative. Residual plots for the predictors would be useful. Correlation plots of each variable, or at least any that show significance would be illustrative. I plan to include my table of variables to better explain what each measures.

Do you plan on incorporating any machine learning techniques to answer your research questions? Explain.

If I gain confidence in using machine learning techniques, I may conclude they would be useful to employ. However, given the small number of variables, that may not be necessary.

Questions for future steps

Is my data set of no use at this size? Does it even conform to the assignment constraints even though they were obtained from much larger set? I may find this out on my own shortly, but I would like to know. How will changing my scope to the county level create issues for me down the line. If the data obtained regarding elections and survey variables are not from the same exact source of individuals, how do i have to adjust my analyses. Are there other measures for political influence on willingness to vaccinate that might serve well?