Name: Huiqian Yu

UNI: hy2635 Session: 001

### Hw1—Theoretical part

#### 1. Solution to Problem 1

- (a) use induction method to show that *Horner's rule* can solve this problem and store the solution in z. How many additions and multiplications does this routine use, as a function of n?
  - i. Base case: For  $n = 0, p(x) = a_0$ , from the simple routine, we get  $z = a_0$ . Horner's rule holds for n = 0
  - ii. **Induction Hypothesis:** For  $n \geq 1$ , assume that this routine correctly solve the problem for n, which means  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n = z$ .
  - iii. **Inductive Step:** We'll show that the routine correctly solve the polynomial for n+1,  $p(x)=a_0+a_1x+a_2x^2+\cdots+a_nx^n+a_{n+1}x^{n+1}$ .

Initially  $z = a_{n+1}$ . We consider the first loop,  $z = a_{n+1}x + a_n$ . The following loops are the same as the loops for n. We have  $z = a_{n+1}x^{n+1} + a_nx^n + \cdots + a_1x + a_0$  finally, and we need to prove that z = p(x).

Let 
$$q(x) = a_1 + a_2x + a_3x^2 + \dots + a_nx^{n-1} + a_{n+1}x^n$$
, obviously,  $q(x)x + a_0 = p(x)$ .

Use Induction Hypothesis, we have the solution to the polynomial q(x),  $w = a_1 + a_2x + a_3x^2 + \cdots + a_{n+1}x^n = q(x)$ , and  $wx + a_0 = q(x)x + a_0 = p(x)$ .

Moreover, 
$$wx + a_0 = (a_1 + a_2x + a_3x^2 + \dots + a_{n+1}x^n)x + a_0 = z = p(x)$$
.

Thus, we prove that the routine holds for n+1.

There are n loops in this routine, and each loop includes 1 addition and 1 multiplication. Thus, there are n additions and n multiplications in this routine. T(n) = O(n).

(b) Can you find a polynomial for which an alternative algorithm runs substantially faster? Consider the polynomial  $p(x) = x^n$ , where  $n = 2^k$ . Then we have use the following simple algorithm to solve this problem.

# **Algorithm 1** Calculate $(x^{2^k})$

```
if k == 0 then return x else return (Calculate(x^{2^{k-1}}))<sup>2</sup> end if
```

# i. proof of correctness

we use induction method to prove correctness:

**Base case:** For  $k = 0, n = 1, p(x) = x^1 = x$ , from the simple routine, obviously we get x. The algorithm holds for k = 0.

**Induction Hypothesis:** For  $k \geq 0$ , assume that the algorithm correctly solve the problem for k.

**Inductive Step:** We have to show that for k+1 the result of the algorithm is equal to the solution of  $q(x) = x^{2^{k+1}}$ .

Consider the first step, we get result= (result1)<sup>2</sup>, where result<sub>0</sub>= Calculate( $x^{2^k}$ ), according to the hypothesis, result<sub>0</sub> is the solution to  $p(x) = x^{2^k}$ . Moreover,  $q(x) = x^{2^{k+1}} = p(x)^2$ , so (result<sub>0</sub>)<sup>2</sup> =result is the solution of q(x). The algorithm holds for k+1

ii. running time

$$T(2^k) = T(2^{k-1}) + \Theta(1)$$
  
 $T(n) = T(n/2) + \Theta(1)$ 

According to Master Theorem,  $T(n) = O(\log n)$ . Compared to Horner's Rule T(n) = O(n), the new algorithm is better.

#### 2. Solution to Problem 2

(a) Pseudocode

if left == right then

```
Algorithm 2 Recursive(A, left, right)
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{return} \\ \textbf{else if } (right-left) == 1 \textbf{ then} \\ \textbf{ if } A[left] <= A[right] \textbf{ then} \\ \textbf{ return} \\ \textbf{ else } \texttt{SWAP}(A[left], A[right]) \\ \textbf{ end if} \\ \textbf{else} \\ flag_1 = left + [(right-left) \cdot \frac{2}{3}] \\ flag_2 = right - [(right-left) \cdot \frac{2}{3}] \\ \texttt{Recursive}(A, left, flag_1) \\ \texttt{Recursive}(A, left, flag_1) \\ \texttt{Recursive}(A, left, flag_1) \\ \texttt{end if} \\ \end{array}
```

#### (b) Proof for correctness:

Suppose the algorithm sort in ascending order, and use induction method to prove correctness:

#### **Base Case:**

For n = 0, 1, 2, from the routine, obviously we get the sorted list.

## **Induction Hypothesis:**

For  $n \geq 0$ , we assume that the recursive algorithm correctly sort the list, which means,  $\forall i \leq j, A[i], A[j] \in A : A[i] \leq A[j]$ .

# Inductive Step:

We need to show that  $\forall n+1 \ (n \geq 2)$ , the algorithm works. Consider the routine,

$$len(A, left, flag_1) \le \left[\frac{2}{3}(n+1)\right] \le n$$

$$len(A, flag_2, right) \le \left[\frac{2}{3}(n+1)\right] \le n$$

Thus, through  $\mathbf{Recursive}(A, left, flag_1)$  and  $\mathbf{Recursive}(A, flag_2, right)$ , we get sorted list.

We need to prove that the result is sorted, which means: in the result,

$$\forall i \leq j, \ A[i], A[j] \in A: \ A[i] \leq A[j]$$

For  $\forall i \leq j \leq flag_1$  or  $flag_1 \leq i \leq j$ , it is trivial. We still need to show  $\forall i \leq flag_1 \leq j$ ,  $A[i] \leq A[j]$  holds.

By reductio ad absurdum, we assume that  $\exists i_0 \leq flag_1 \leq j_0 \leq len(A) : A[i_0] = u > A[j_0] = v$ . Before the second **Recursive** $(A, left, flag_1)$  and after **Recursive** $(A, flag_2, right)$ , obviously, v is placed after  $flag_1$ . At the same time, u should be placed before  $flag_2$ , or it would contradict with **Recursive** $(A, flag_2, right)$  process, which sorted  $A[flag_2 : right]$ .

Before **Recursive**(A,  $flag_2$ , right) and after the first **Recursive**(A, left,  $flag_1$ ),u is still placed before  $flag_2$ . At the same time v should be placed after  $flag_1$ , or it would contradict with the first **Recursive**(A, left,  $flag_1$ ) process, which sorted  $A[left: flag_1]$ .

Thus we have: after the first  $\mathbf{Recursive}(A, left, flag_1)$  process, u is set before  $flag_2, v$  is set after  $flag_1$ . According to  $\mathbf{Induction\ Hypothesis}$ , after the first  $\mathbf{Recursive}(A, left, flag_1)$  process,  $\forall\ m \in [flag_2: flag_1] \geq \forall\ n \in [left: flag_2]$ , of course  $\forall\ m \in A[flag_2: flag_1] = M: m \geq u \geq v$ . Then after  $\mathbf{Recursive}(A, flag_2, right)$  process, v should be placed after  $\forall\ m \in M$ , which means, v is in  $A[flag_2: flag_1]$ , which contradicts what was mentioned above. Thus the assumption is invalid. Thus  $\forall\ i \leq flag_1 \leq j,\ A[i] \leq A[j]$  holds.

According to the analysis of the preceding context, we've proved that in the result:

$$\forall i \leq j, A[i], A[j] \in A : A[i] \leq A[j].$$

Thus A is sorted by this algorithm.

(c) recurrence for its running time.

$$T(n) = 3 * T(2n/3) + \Theta(1)$$

according to master theorem:

(d) use the recurrence to bound its asymptotic running time.

According to Master Theorem,  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_{\frac{3}{2}}^3}) = \omega(n^2)$ 

(e) No. Insertion Sort and Merge Sort are both faster than this algorithm.

### 3. Solution to Problem 3

f	g	0	0	Ω	ω	Θ
$n\log^2 n$	$6n^2 \log n$	yes	yes	no	no	no
$\sqrt{\log n}$	$(\log \log n)^3$	no	no	yes	yes	no
$4\log n$	$n \log 4n$	yes	yes	no	no	no
$n^{3/5}$	$\sqrt{n} \log n$	no	no	yes	yes	no
$5\sqrt{n} + \log n$	$2\sqrt{n}$	yes	yes	no	no	no
$\frac{5^n}{n^8}$	$n^54^n$	no	no	yes	yes	no
$\sqrt{n}2^n$	$2^{n/2 + \log n}$	no	no	yes	yes	no
$n \log 2n$	$\frac{n^2}{\log n}$	yes	yes	no	no	no
n!	$2^n$	no	no	yes	yes	no
$\log n!$	$\log n^n$	yes	no	yes	no	yes

# 4. Solution to Problem 4

(a) running time

There are n loops in this algorithm, each loop has constant number of primitive computational steps, and there are constant number of steps outside loops.

$$T(n) = c_1 n + c_2 = O(n)$$

(b) success probability

When the algorithm succeed happens when the random item  $a_i$  is selected exactly between the first quartile and third quartile. And the random item  $a_i$  is selected uniformly. The probability of  $a_i$  is between the first quartile and third quartile is

$$\frac{\left[\frac{1}{2}(n+1)\right]+1}{n} \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus we get success probability is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

### (c) improve

Consider the Randomized Approximate Median as a one-time probabilistic experiment that succeeds with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ . We can improve the algorithm by repeat until we find a number between the first quartile and third quartile with 99% success probability after repeating at most k times.

# **Algorithm 3** Improved\_Randomized Approx Median(S)

```
\begin{split} & \mathbf{set} \ count = 1 \\ & \mathbf{while} \ count \leq k \ \mathbf{do} \\ & output = \mathbf{Randomized} \ \mathbf{Approximate} \ \mathbf{Median}(S) \\ & count = count + 1 \\ & \mathbf{if} \ output \neq error \ \mathbf{then} \\ & \mathbf{return} \ output \\ & \mathbf{end} \ \mathbf{if} \\ & \mathbf{end} \ \mathbf{while} \\ & \mathbf{return} \ output \end{split}
```

$$P(still\ failed\ after\ k\ times) = \frac{1}{2}^k \le 0.01$$

then we have  $k \geq 10$ , thus we set k = 10, the improved algorithm have at least 99% success probability.

for running time:

$$T(n) = cT(n) = O(n)$$

# 5. Solution to Problem 5

(a) compute the median of S using this algorithm

# **Algorithm 4** Calculate\_Median(S)

```
 \begin{array}{c} \textbf{if } length(S) \ is \ odd \ \textbf{then} \\ \textbf{return} \ k-th \ order \ statistics(S,\frac{length(S)+1}{2}) \\ \textbf{else} \\ \textbf{return} \ \frac{1}{2}(k-th \ order \ statistics(S,\frac{length(S)}{2}),k-th \ order \ statistics(S,\frac{length(S)}{2}+1)) \\ \textbf{end if} \\ \textbf{end if} \end{array}
```

- (b) The expected running time
  - i. Upper bound the expected time of **k-th order statistic** on a sub-problem of type j, excluding the time spent on recursive calls.

For sub-problem of type j, the new S consists of at most  $n(\frac{3}{4})^j$  items, let  $X_j$  be the number of recursive calls in sub-problem. Then there are at most  $X_j \cdot cn(\frac{3}{4})^j$  computational steps in sub-problem. Moreover, the sub-problem of type j is at most  $[\log_{\frac{4}{3}}^n]th$  call in the recursive process. Then the total steps should be

$$T(n) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log_{\frac{1}{3}}^{n} \rceil} X_{j} \cdot cn(\frac{3}{4})^{j} = c \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n} \rceil} X_{j} \cdot n(\frac{3}{4})^{j}$$

$$E[T(n)] \leq E[c \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n} \rceil} X_{j} \cdot n(\frac{3}{4})^{j}] = cE[\sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n} \rceil} X_{j} \cdot n(\frac{3}{4})^{j}] = cn \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log_{\frac{1}{4}}^{n} \rceil} (\frac{3}{4})^{j} E[X_{j}]$$

and

$$E[X_j] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \cdot P(X_j = i)$$

ii. Calculate the probability that item  $a_i$  is selected such that 1/4 of the input can be thrown out (thus the input shrinks by a factor of 3/4).

This happens when  $a_i$  is between the first quartile and third quartile.

$$P(a_i \text{ results in the input shrinks by a factor of } 3/4) = \frac{1}{2}$$

iii.

 $P(X_j = i)$  is the probability that, in sub-problem of type j, there are only i calls of recursive process to get the next sub-problem j + 1.

$$P(X_j = i) = (\frac{1}{2})^{i-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = (\frac{1}{2})^i$$

$$E[X_j] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \cdot P(X_j = i) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \cdot (\frac{1}{2})^i = 2$$

$$E[T] \le c \cdot n \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log_{\frac{4}{3}}^{n} \rceil} (\frac{3}{4})^{j} E[X_{j}] = 2c \cdot n \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log_{\frac{4}{3}}^{n} \rceil} (\frac{3}{4})^{j} \le 2c \cdot n \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\frac{3}{4})^{j} = 8cn = O(n)$$