

# Deep Learning for Multi-Dimensional Functional Data

## Literature Review and Plan for Simulation Study

---

Carter Hall, Connor McNeill, and Kris Wilson

North Carolina State University

December 2nd, 2025

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 FDNN
- 3 CovNet
- 4 IRR via DNN
- 5 Conclusion

# Motivation

Per Wang et al. (2024), there are three fundamental areas of functional data analysis:

- **Regression:** uncovering and understanding the underlying patterns in data that evolve over a continuum
- **Classification:** categorizing functional observations into distinct groups or classes
- **Representation:** efficiently identifying the underlying structure of functional data



# Why Deep Neural Networks?

Previous methods have focused on using single-layer neural networks. However, there are several advantages to **deep** neural networks (which have 2 or more hidden layers).

- Deep neural networks (DNNs) thrive in high-dimensional spaces - the so-called “curse of dimensionality” is not really an issue.
- Deep learning models also offer a lot of flexibility and cater to a variety of different functional data structures (including shapes and images).
- DNNs have better predictive accuracy and are scalable.

We will explore three approaches to deep learning on multi-dimensional functional data.

# Functional Deep Neural Networks (FDNN)

- **Motivation:** We often want to classify a data function based on training samples. One example of this is looking at brain imaging and trying to predict the stage of a new patient.
- Current methods:
  - focus only one-dimensional functional data classification,
  - sometimes require that the data function follows a Gaussian process (albeit this is sometimes violated in practice),
  - and when data distributions are non-Gaussian, the decision boundary can often not be accurately be recovered.

# Functional Deep Neural Networks (FDNN)

- Wang et al. (2023) proposed the approach of Functional Deep Neural Networks (FDNNs) in order to help solve these problems with previous methods.
- FDNN, like other functional classification methods, starts by doing functional PCA to extract the principal components of the data functions.
- After this, we then train a DNN-based classifier on these FPCs as well as their corresponding class labels.

## FDNN Methodology

Suppose we observe  $n$  iid training samples  $\{(X_i(s), Y_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  to be classified into either  $k = -1$  or  $k = 1$ .

We can perform the Karhunen-Loeve decomposition for the sample covariance function  $\hat{\Omega}_k$  and then use this to write the sample data function  $X_i$ , under  $Y_i = k$  as

$$X_i(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_{ij} \hat{\psi}_{kj}(s), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Intuitively,  $\hat{\xi}^{(i)} := (\hat{\xi}_{i1}, \hat{\xi}_{i2}, \dots)^T$  is an estimator of  $\xi^{(i)}$  which are unobservable random coefficients of  $X_i$  with respect to the population basis  $\psi_{kj}$ . We will truncate  $\xi$  at  $J$  and use these as classifiers.



## FDNN Methodology

We then train a DNN to estimate the log density ratio functional  $Q^*$  based on the  $\hat{\xi}_J^{(i)}$ 's. Let  $\sigma$  denote the RELU function, and define the shift activation function for any real vectors  $V$  and  $y$  as  $\sigma_V(y) = (\sigma(y_1 - v_1), \dots, \sigma(y_w - v_w))^T$ . With  $J$  inputs,  $L$  hidden layers, and  $p_\ell$  nodes on the  $l$ th hidden layer, we fit our DNN.

Given the training data  $(\xi_J^{(1)}, Y_1), \dots, (\xi_J^{(n)}, Y_n)$  let

$$\hat{f}_\phi(.) = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}(L, J, p, B)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(f(\hat{\xi}_J^{(i)}) Y_i),$$

where  $\phi(x)$  is the hinge loss. The following is our FDNN classifier:

$$\hat{G}^{FDNN}(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & \hat{f}_\phi(\xi_J) \geq 0, \\ -1, & \hat{f}_\phi(\xi_J) < 0. \end{cases}$$

# Covariance Estimation with Neural Networks (CovNet)

**Problem:** Covariance estimation of multidimensional functional data:

$$c(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \text{Cov}(X(\mathbf{u}), X(\mathbf{v}))$$

based on i.i.d. sample  $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n \sim \mathcal{X} := \{X(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^d\}$  from a compactly-supported random field taking values in  $L_2(\mathcal{Q})$ .

(If  $d = 1$ , random **curves** that are real-valued and square-integrable.)

- ➊ **Curse of Dimensionality:** Storage is an  $\mathcal{O}(K^{2d})$
- ➋ **Common Assumptions:** Separability enables efficient computation... but often violated in practice (especially if data are **dense**, which is the subject of this paper).

# CovNet: The DeepShared Model

**Solution:** Sarkar and Panaretos (2022) propose **CovNet**, a neural-network based model that

- 1 Avoids high-dimensional object storage
- 2 Non-parametric (**universal approximation property** – any covariance approximated with arbitrary precision)
- 3 Admits an **explicit** form through eigendecomposition, no interpolation required

**Three classes of models:** *Shallow, Deep, DeepShared*  
(Will focus on last of these.)

$$c_{ds}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{r,s=1}^R \lambda_{r,s} g_r(\mathbf{u}) g_r(\mathbf{v}) \quad \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{Q}$$



## CovNet: Model Properties

- **Parameter efficiency from weight sharing:** For hidden layers of width  $R$ , the deepshared CovNet requires  $\mathcal{O}(R^2)$  parameters versus  $\mathcal{O}(R^3)$  for the deep CovNet:

$$\text{DeepShared: } \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} (p_l + 1)p_{l+1} + R(p_L + 1) + R(R + 1)/2$$

$$\text{Deep: } R \left( \sum_{l=0}^L (p_l + 1)p_{l+1} \right) + R(R + 1)/2$$

- **Approximation efficiency of deep architectures:** While all CovNet models are universal approximators, authors actually expect the **Deep/DeepShared** variants to require less parameters than a shallow approximator.

# CovNet: Core Properties & Theory Summary

## Estimation Framework:

- $\hat{C}_{R,L,N}^{ds} \in \arg \min_{\mathcal{G} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \|\hat{C}_N - \mathcal{G}\|_2^2$
- $\|C\|_2 = \|c\|_{L_2(Q \times Q)}$  (Hilbert-Schmidt =  $L_2$  kernel norm)
- Minimizes distance between empirical covariance and CovNet class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$

## Efficient Eigendecomposition:

- Eigenfunctions:  $\psi(u) = \sum_{r=1}^R a_r \hat{g}_r(u)$
- Solves  $R \times R$  problem:  $(\tilde{G} \hat{\Lambda} \tilde{G} - \eta \tilde{G})a = 0$
- $\tilde{G}_{rs} = \int_Q \hat{g}_r \hat{g}_s$  computed via Monte Carlo

## Theoretical Results:

- **Consistency:** Weak/strong consistency under mild conditions, e.g.  $E[\|\mathcal{X}\|^4] < \infty$
- **Rate:** Optimal rate of convergence found by bounding bias –  $\mathcal{O}((N/d \ln(N))^{d/(10d+\alpha)})$   
(shallow CovNet, order- $\alpha$  Sobolev space)

# Image Response Regression Via Deep Neural Networks

## Motivation

- Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be used to identify brain regions with structural differences between neurologically disordered vs. healthy control patients  
after accounting for demographic covariates
- functional MRI (fMRI) can be used to identify brain regions that demonstrate different activation patterns for different tasks
- Call this **image response regression**: image scans in 2D or 3D regressed on **demographic and clinical features**.

# IRR via DNN

## Challenges

Challenges of image response regression include...

- complex spatial smoothness patterns



# IRR via DNN

## Challenges

Challenges of image response regression include...

- complex spatial smoothness patterns
- data is high dimensional

# IRR via DNN

## Challenges

Challenges of image response regression include...

- complex spatial smoothness patterns
- data is high dimensional
- subjects are heterogeneous

# IRR via DNN

## Challenges

Challenges of image response regression include...

- complex spatial smoothness patterns
- data is high dimensional
- subjects are heterogeneous
- limited sample size

# IRR via DNN

## Canonical approach

---

- Spatially Varying Coefficient Model (SVCM)

# IRR via DNN

## Canonical approach

- Spatially Varying Coefficient Model (SVCM)
  - images and regression coefficients modeled as realizations of spatially varying functions evaluated on discrete grid points

# IRR via DNN

## Canonical approach

- Spatially Varying Coefficient Model (SVCM)
  - images and regression coefficients modeled as realizations of spatially varying functions evaluated on discrete grid points
  - Essentially, **voxels** (3D pixels) in spatial domain

# IRR via DNN

## The Model

Suppose the imaging data are collected from a  $D$ -dimensional compact space  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^D$  observed at  $V$  spatial locations, along with  $J$  covariates, from  $N$  individual subjects.

For each subject  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , let  $y_i(s_v) \in \mathbb{R}$  denote the image measurement at the spatial location  $s_v \in S$ ,  $v = 1, \dots, V$  and let  $S_V = \{s_v\}_{v=1}^V$  collect all those locations.

Let  $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iJ})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^J$  denote the  $J$ -dimensional covariate vector. We consider the following SVCM, for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $v = 1, \dots, V$ ,

# IRR via DNN

## The Model

Suppose the imaging data are collected from a  $D$ -dimensional compact space  $S \subset R^D$  observed at  $V$  spatial locations, along with  $J$  covariates, from  $N$  individual subjects.

For each subject  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , let  $y_i(s_v) \in R$  denote the image measurement at the spatial location  $s_v \in S, v = 1, \dots, V$  and let  $S_V = \{s_v\}_{v=1}^V$  collect all those locations.

Let  $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iJ})^\top \in R^J$  denote the  $J$ -dimensional covariate vector. We consider the following SVCM, for  $i = 1, \dots, N, v = 1, \dots, V$ ,

$$y_i(s_v) = \sum_{j=1}^J x_{ij} \beta_j(s_v) + \alpha_i(s_v) + \varepsilon_i(s_v), \quad \text{Var}\{\varepsilon_i(s_v)\} = \sigma^2(s_v)$$



# IRR via DNN

## The Model

$$y_i(s_v) = \sum_{j=1}^J x_{ij} \beta_j(s_v) + \alpha_i(s_v) + \varepsilon_i(s_v), \quad \text{Var}\{\varepsilon_i(s_v)\} = \sigma^2(s_v)$$

where:

- $\beta_j(s_v) \in R$  characterizes the main effect of the  $j$ th covariate  $x_{ij}$  common for all subjects  $i$
- $\alpha_i(s_v) \in R$  characterizes the individual deviation from the common main effect that is specific to subject  $i$ . **This is similar to a random effect in the SVCM, but here they are treated as deterministic and are estimated directly.**
- $\varepsilon_i(s_v)$  is measurement error with zero mean and spatially varying variance

# IRR via DNN

## The Model

$$y_i(s_v) = \sum_{j=1}^J x_{ij} \beta_j(s_v) + \alpha_i(s_v) + \varepsilon_i(s_v), \quad \text{Var}\{\varepsilon_i(s_v)\} = \sigma^2(s_v)$$

**Interpretation:** “At each spatial location, here is the common effect ( $\beta_j$ ), and here is how this subject deviates from that effect ( $\alpha_i$ ).”

# IRR via DNN

## Main Contributions

---

**Goal:** Zhang et al., 2024 proposed image response regression via deep neural networks. Their main contributions are as follows:

# IRR via DNN

## Main Contributions

---

**Goal:** Zhang et al., 2024 proposed image response regression via deep neural networks. Their main contributions are as follows:

- threshold *output*, not *layers*: maintains DNN flexibility in parameter estimation

# IRR via DNN

## Main Contributions

---

**Goal:** Zhang et al., 2024 proposed image response regression via deep neural networks. Their main contributions are as follows:

- threshold *output*, not *layers*: maintains DNN flexibility in parameter estimation
- mini-batch stochastic gradient descent results in **faster convergence** and **computational efficiency**

# IRR via DNN

## Main Contributions

**Goal:** Zhang et al., 2024 proposed image response regression via deep neural networks. Their main contributions are as follows:

- threshold *output*, not *layers*: maintains DNN flexibility in parameter estimation
- mini-batch stochastic gradient descent results in **faster convergence** and **computational efficiency**
- asymptotic error bound  $\rightarrow$  main effect estimator is *consistent*

# IRR via DNN

## Main Contributions

**Goal:** Zhang et al., 2024 proposed image response regression via deep neural networks. Their main contributions are as follows:

- threshold *output*, not *layers*: maintains DNN flexibility in parameter estimation
- mini-batch stochastic gradient descent results in **faster convergence** and **computational efficiency**
- asymptotic error bound  $\rightarrow$  main effect estimator is *consistent*
- error bound is comparable to minimax bound in classical nonparametric regression

# IRR via DNN

## Main Contributions (cont.)

**Goal:** Zhang et al., 2024 proposed image response regression via deep neural networks. Their main contributions are as follows:

- *explicitly* address both spatial correlation and subject heterogeneity



# IRR via DNN

## Main Contributions (cont.)

**Goal:** Zhang et al., 2024 proposed image response regression via deep neural networks. Their main contributions are as follows:

- *explicitly* address both spatial correlation and subject heterogeneity
- compared to DNN, SVCM foundation + DNN estimation is more interpretable

# IRR via DNN

## Main Contributions (cont.)

**Goal:** Zhang et al., 2024 proposed image response regression via deep neural networks. Their main contributions are as follows:

- *explicitly* address both spatial correlation and subject heterogeneity
- compared to DNN, SVCM foundation + DNN estimation is more interpretable
- for DNN, observations are [spatial coordinates](#), so effective sample size is large

# IRR via DNN

## Advantages of DNN

- don't need to interpret individual neural network parameters

# IRR via DNN

## Advantages of DNN

- don't need to interpret individual neural network parameters
- don't need to impose sparsity on individual neural network parameters (recall we hard threshold the output)

# IRR via DNN

## Advantages of DNN

- don't need to interpret individual neural network parameters
- don't need to impose sparsity on individual neural network parameters (recall we hard threshold the output)
- input is spatial coordinate, output is model coefficient

# IRR via DNN

## DNN Estimation Process

---

1. Estimate main effect coefficient  $\hat{\beta}_j$
2. Estimate individual deviations  $\hat{\alpha}_i$
3. Estimate error variance  $\sigma^2(s)$



## Next Steps and Summary

### Real Data Analysis Plan:

- Using the fashion-MNIST dataset, compare the Classification method of FDNN with functional PCA (and logistic regression).

### Simulation Study Plan:

- 1 Generate synthetic data in higher-dimensions, e.g.  $[0, 1]^d$  with  $d \geq 2$  where the true covariance is known (can take from some family, e.g., Matern) and at increasing resolution ( $K = 10, 20, 100$  data per dimension).
- 2 Implement DeepShared CovNet and evaluate estimation accuracy of true covariance, showcase rates of convergence as number of networks  $R$  increases.



## Next Steps and Summary

Deep learning methods for functional data exist within **many** different statistical settings:

- **Classification:** FDNN allows for classification of non-Gaussian high-dimensional functional data.
- **Covariance Estimation:** CovNet gives general, computationally **efficient** method for learning spatial/spatiotemporal co-variations.
- **Regression:** Image response regression is a fundamental area of functional data analysis. Using deep neural networks within the SVCM framework allows for efficient estimation and straightforward interpretability



Sarkar, S., & Panaretos, V. M. (2022).CovNet: Covariance Networks for Functional Data on Multidimensional Domains. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 84(5), 1785–1820.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/rssb.12551>



Wang, S., Cao, G., Shang, Z., & for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. (2023).Deep neural network classifier for multidimensional functional data. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 50(4), 1667–1686.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/sjos.12660>



Wang, S., Zhang, W., Cao, G., & Huang, Y. (2024).Functional data analysis using deep neural networks. *WIREs Computational Statistics*, 16(4). <https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.70001>



Zhang, D., Li, L., Sripada, C., & Kang, J. (2024).Image response regression via deep neural networks. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 85(5), 1589–1614. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jrsssb/qkad073>