

Lambda Calculus I

4190.310
Programming Languages
Spring 2014

Lecture 02

Reading assignments: Chapter 10

Backus-Naur Form (BNF)

- A notation for context-free grammar
- Used to describe the syntax of programming languages
- Start with a symbol and then replace this symbol according to given rules
 - Production rules
- *symbol ::= expression*
 - Terminals and non-terminals
 - *symbol*: non-terminal (the symbol on the left of the rule)
 - *x*: terminal
 - *1*: terminal
 - *::=*: symbol is replaced with *expression*
 - *expression*: one or more sequences of symbols
 - *|*: choice

Functions

- A function is a mapping that associates to each x from some set X of values a unique y from a set of Y values
 - $y = f(x)$
 - $f: X \rightarrow Y$
 - X : domain of f
 - Y : range of f
- When f is not defined for all x in X , it is called a partial function

Function Definitions and Function Applications

- Function definitions
 - Describes how a value is to be computed using formal parameters
 - $\text{sqrt}(x) = x * x$
- Function applications
 - A call to a defined function using actual parameters
 - $\text{sqrt}(2)$

Functions as First-class Data Values

- A function is viewed as a value itself
 - The value can be computed by other functions
 - The function itself can be a parameter to functions
- Higher-order functions
 - Have parameters that are themselves functions or that produce a result that is a function, or both

λ -Calculus

- Developed by Alonzo Church
- A notation for arbitrary functions
 - Computable functions
 - Church-Turing thesis
 - The functions that can be calculated using a mechanical calculation device given unlimited amounts of time and storage
- Lisp (McCarthy 1960) closely resembles λ -calculus
- In lambda calculus, functions can take functions as arguments and return functions as results
 - Every value is a function in pure lambda calculus

Syntax (Pure λ -calculus)

- BNF grammar for λ -terms
- Inductive definition
 - A variable is a λ -term
 - If t is a λ -term, then $\lambda x.t$ is a λ -term (λ abstraction)
 - If t and s are λ -terms, ts is a λ -term (function application)
 - Left associative

$M ::= x$ (variable)
| MM (function application)
| $\lambda x.M$ (λ abstraction)

Unambiguous Grammar

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \text{term} \rangle &::= \langle \text{atom} \rangle \mid \langle \text{app} \rangle \mid \langle \text{fun} \rangle \\ \langle \text{atom} \rangle &::= \langle \text{h-atom} \rangle \mid (\langle \text{app} \rangle) \\ \langle \text{h-atom} \rangle &::= x \mid (\langle \text{fun} \rangle) \\ \langle \text{app} \rangle &::= \langle \text{h-atom} \rangle \langle \text{atom} \rangle \mid \langle \text{app} \rangle \langle \text{atom} \rangle \\ \langle \text{fun} \rangle &::= \lambda x. \langle \text{term} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

λ -term Examples

- Examples below are not in pure λ -calculus
 - Arithmetic expressions and constants
- $\lambda x.x$
 - Identity function
- $(\lambda x.x) 6$
 - Function application
- $\lambda y. x y$
- 5
- $\lambda f.\lambda g.\lambda x. f(gx)$

Functions in Pascal and LISP

- $\lambda x . 3 * x$
- `function f(x : int) : int begin f := 3 * x end;`
- `(lambda (x) (* 3 x))`

Free and Bound Variables

- λ : binding operator
 - Binds a variable within a specific scope
- Free variable in an expression
 - The variable is not declared in the expression
- Bound variable in an expression
 - The variable is not free in the expression
- Examples
 - $\lambda x.xy$
 - $(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.yx)$

Substitution

- $[z/x] M$
 - The result of substituting y for free occurrences of x in M
 - z cannot already appear in M
- Inductive definition
 - $[N/x]x = N$ for any variable x
 - $[N/x]y = y$ when y is any variable different from x
 - $[N/x](M P) = ([N/x]M)([N/x]P)$
 - $[N/x](\lambda x.M) = \lambda x.M$
 - $[N/x](\lambda y.M) = \lambda y.([N/x]M)$ when $x \neq y$ and y is not free in N
 - $[N/x](\lambda y.M) = \lambda z.([N/x]([z/y]M))$ when $x \neq y$ and y is free in N , where $x \neq z$ and z is not free in N and M

Some Rules

- Function application associates to the left
 - $MNP = (MN)P$
- The scope of a variable specified by λ extends as far to the right as possible
 - $\lambda x.MNP = \lambda x.(MNP) \neq (\lambda x.MN)P$
- A sequence of variables specified by a λ abbreviates a series of λ abstractions
 - $\lambda xyz.M = \lambda x.(\lambda y.(\lambda z.M))$

α -equivalence

- A choice of a bound variable in a λ abstraction is not important
- $\lambda x.M = \lambda y.[y/x]M$ *when y is not free in M*

β -equivalence

- To calculate the value of a function application by substitution
 - Replacement of a formal parameter by an actual parameter
 - Textual replacement
- $(\lambda x.M)N = [N/x]M$
 - Rename any bound variable in M that might be the same as free variables in N
 - Do not mix up free occurrences of a variable with its bound occurrences
 - A term of the form $(\lambda x.t_1) t_2$ is called a β -redex
- Left associative
 - $MNP = (MN)P$

β -equivalence (contd.)

- Examples

- $(\lambda x. (3+x)) 5 = 3+5$

- $(\lambda y. y 5) (\lambda x. 3+x) = (\lambda x. 3+x) 5 = 3+5$

- $(\lambda f. \lambda x. f x) (\lambda y. y+x) = \lambda x. ((\lambda y. y+x) x) = \lambda x. x+x$

- A free variable x in $\lambda y. y+x$ becomes bound in $\lambda x. ((\lambda y. y+x) x)$
 - Incorrect

- $(\lambda f. \lambda x. f x) (\lambda y. y+x) = (\lambda f. \lambda z. f z) (\lambda y. y+x) = \lambda z. ((\lambda y. y+x) z)$
 $= \lambda z. z+x$

β -equivalence (contd.)

- More examples

- $\underline{(\lambda x. \lambda y. y \ x)(\lambda z. u)} = \lambda y. y \ (\lambda z. u)$
- $\underline{(\lambda x. x \ x)(\lambda z. u)} = (\lambda z. u) \ (\lambda z. u)$
- $\underline{(\lambda y. y \ v)((\lambda x. x)(\lambda z. (\lambda u. u) \ z))} = (\lambda y. y \ v)(\lambda z. (\lambda u. u) \ z)$
- $\underline{(\lambda y. y \ v)((\lambda x. x)(\lambda z. (\lambda u. u) \ z))} = (\lambda y. y \ v)((\lambda x. x)(\lambda z. z))$
- $\underline{(\lambda y. y \ v)((\lambda x. x)(\lambda z. (\lambda u. u) \ z))} = ((\lambda x. x)(\lambda z. (\lambda u. u) \ z)) \ v$

Normal Form

- If a sequence of reductions has come to an end where no further reductions are possible, we say that the term has been reduced to normal form
 - A term containing no β -redexes
- Not every term has a normal form
 - $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$
- Normal order
 - Reduce the leftmost-outermost redex

Church-Rosser Theorem

- The result of a computation is independent from the order of reduction
- [Theorem] If a term M can be reduced to terms N and P in 0 or more steps, then there exists a term Q to which both N and P can be reduced in 0 or more steps
 - Diamond property
- [Corollary]
 - Every λ -term has at most one normal form

