

# Martin solutions for Exercises

SANGJONG LEE

## 1 Chapter 5

**5.1** From the definition of 2-form  $\omega = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \cdots + dx^{2n-1} \wedge dx^{2n}$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\omega \wedge \omega &= dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge (dx^3 \wedge dx^4 + \cdots + dx^{2n-1} \wedge dx^{2n}) \\ &\quad + dx^3 \wedge dx^4 \wedge (dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \cdots + dx^{2n-1} \wedge dx^{2n}) \\ &\quad + \cdots \\ &\quad + dx^{2n-1} \wedge dx^{2n} \wedge (dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \cdots + dx^{2n-3} \wedge dx^{2n-2}) \\ &= 2dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^4 + \cdots + 2dx^{2n-3} \wedge dx^{2n-2} \wedge dx^{2n-1} \wedge dx^{2n}\end{aligned}$$

Also, in the similar manner, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega \wedge \omega \wedge \omega &= 2dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^4 \wedge \omega \\ &\quad + \cdots \\ &\quad + 2dx^{2n-3} \wedge dx^{2n-2} \wedge dx^{2n-1} \wedge dx^{2n} \wedge \omega \\ &= 2^2 dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^6 + \cdots + 2^2 dx^{2n-5} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{2n}\end{aligned}$$

Eventually, we will obtain

$$\therefore \underbrace{\omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega}_n = 2^n dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{2n-1} \wedge dx^{2n}$$

**5.2** For  $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , their exterior derivatives are

$$df = \partial_i f dx^i, \quad dg = \partial_j g dx^j \quad (i, j = 1, 2)$$

Then, the wedge product of those two gives

$$\begin{aligned}df \wedge dg &= \partial_i f dx^i \wedge \partial_j g dx^j \\ &= (\partial_i f)(\partial_j g) dx^i \wedge dx^j \\ &= [\partial_1 f \partial_2 g - \partial_2 f \partial_1 g] dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \\ &= \frac{\partial(f, g)}{\partial(x^1, x^2)} dx^1 \wedge dx^2\end{aligned}$$

**5.3** From given 2-form  $\omega = \omega_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j (i < j)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}d\omega &= \partial_k \omega_{ij} dx^k \wedge dx^i \wedge dx^j \\ &= \partial_k \omega_{ij} [dx^k \wedge dx^i \wedge dx^j - dx^i - dx^k \wedge dx^j + dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k] / 3 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (\partial_k \omega_{ij} - \partial_j \omega_{ik} + \partial_i \omega_{jk}) dx^k \wedge dx^i \wedge dx^j \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

since  $\omega$  is closed. Hence, we obtain

$$\therefore \partial_k \omega_{ij} - \partial_j \omega_{ik} + \partial_i \omega_{jk} = 0$$

**5.4** On a vector space  $V_4$ , a form,  $\omega$  is defined as

$$\omega = x^1 dx^1 + x^2 dx^2 + x^3 dx^3 + [1 + (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2] dx^4.$$

Then, its exterior derivative reads

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= 2 [x^1 dx^1 + x^2 dx^2 + x^3 dx^3] \wedge dx^4 \\ &= 2\omega \wedge dx^4 \\ &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for non-zero  $x^1, x^2, x^3$ . The goal is to find  $f = f(x^1, x^2, x^3)$  such that  $f\omega = d\varphi$ . Then we have

$$df \wedge \omega + f d\omega = 0.$$

Since  $df = \partial_i f dx^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ),

$$\partial_i f dx^i \wedge \omega + 2f\omega \wedge dx^4 = 0.$$

By matching each components, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_i f) [1 + x_i x^i] + 2x^i f &= 0 \\ \varepsilon_{ijk} (\partial_i f) x^j &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $f$  is the function of  $x_i x^i$  since it is curl-free. Thus, from the first equation, we have

$$\therefore f(x^1, x^2, x^3) = 1 + (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2$$

**5.5**

$$\omega = \frac{1}{r^n} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} x^i dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

where  $r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x^i)^2$ . Then, its exterior derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= \frac{n}{r^n} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n - \frac{n}{r^{n+2}} x^i dx^i \wedge \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} x^i dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \left[ \frac{n}{r^n} - \frac{n}{r^{n+2}} \sum_{i=1}^n (x^i)^2 \right] dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\omega$  is a closed form. For the case  $n = 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \frac{1}{r^3} (x^1 dx^2 \wedge dx^3 - x^2 dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + x^3 dx^1 \wedge dx^2) \\ &= d\theta \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta$  is a 1-form on an open set starlike with regard to  $(1, 1, 0)$ . If we write  $\theta$  as  $\theta = \theta_i dx^i$ ,

$$d\theta = (\partial_1 \theta_2 - \partial_2 \theta_1) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + (\partial_2 \theta_3 - \partial_3 \theta_2) dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + (\partial_3 \theta_1 - \partial_1 \theta_3) dx^3 \wedge dx^1$$

It means that when we write  $\vec{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ , then we have

$$\nabla \times \vec{\theta} = \frac{1}{r^2} \hat{r} \quad \text{or} \quad \varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_i \theta_j = \frac{1}{r^3} x_k$$

**5.6** Given  $\omega = f(y^1, \dots, y^n) dy^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{i_p} \in \Lambda^p(N)$ , according to the theorem 5.1.1, a pull-back operation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^* \omega &= f(y^1, \dots, y^n) \varphi^* (dy^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{i_p}) \\ &= f(x^1, \dots, x^m) \varphi^* dy^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^* dy^{i_p} \\ &= f(x^1, \dots, x^m) \frac{\partial y^{i_1}}{\partial x^{j_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial y^{i_p}}{\partial x^{j_p}} dx^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_p} \\ &= f(x^1, \dots, x^m) \frac{\partial (y^{i_1} \cdots y^{i_p})}{\partial (x^{j_1} \cdots x^{j_p})} dx^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_p} \end{aligned}$$

**5.7** Since

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (x^1, \dots, x^n) \mapsto (y^1, \dots, y^n),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*(dy^1 \wedge dy^2) &= \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial y^2}{\partial x^j} dx^i \wedge dx^j \\ &= \sum_{i < j} \frac{\partial(y^1, y^2)}{\partial(x^i, x^j)} dx^i \wedge dx^j \end{aligned}$$

**5.8** Let  $\omega = (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) + (\omega_3 \wedge \omega_4)$  where  $\omega_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(M)$  are linearly independent. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \wedge \omega &= \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 + \omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \\ &= 2\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\omega_i$  are linearly independent. If  $\omega$  were decomposable, we may write  $\omega = \alpha \wedge \beta$  and

$$\omega \wedge \omega = (\alpha \wedge \beta) \wedge (\alpha \wedge \beta) = 0.$$

Hence,  $\omega$  is not decomposable.

**5.9** The annihilator  $\text{Ann}(\omega)$  of  $\omega \in \Lambda^p(V_n)$  is

$$\text{Ann}(\omega) = \{\varphi \in V_n^* : \varphi \wedge \omega = 0\}$$

Then, for a coordinate  $\{x^i\}$ , we may write

$$\omega = \omega_{i_1, \dots, i_p} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_p}.$$

To have  $\varphi \wedge \omega = 0$ ,  $\varphi$  should have one of the basis  $\{dx^{i_k}\}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ .

## 2 Chapter 6

**6.1** When  $\omega \in \Lambda^1(M)$ , then  $\omega(X) \in C^\infty$  where  $X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ . From the assumption, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Y(\omega X) &= (\mathcal{L}_Y \omega)X + \omega(\mathcal{L}_Y X) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_Y \omega)X + \omega[Y, X] \\ &= Y(\omega(X)) \end{aligned}$$

,i.e.,

$$(\mathcal{L}_Y \omega)X = Y(\omega(X)) - \omega[Y, X]$$

where  $Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ . For  $X = \partial_i$  in natural basis,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_Y \omega)_i &= Y(\omega_i) - \omega[Y, \partial_i] \\ &= Y^j \partial_j \omega^i + \omega_j \partial_i Y^j \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_X Y_a = X^b \partial_b Y_a + Y_b \partial_a X^b.$$

**6.2** Let  $T \in \mathcal{D}_s^r(M)$  and  $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ .

(i) For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , write  $Z = aX + bY$ . In a natural coordinate,  $\{x^i\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T &= T_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r} \partial_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{i_r} \otimes dx^{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{j_s} \\ (\mathcal{L}_Z T)_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r} &= Z T_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r} - T_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r} \frac{\partial Z^{i_1}}{\partial x^i} - \dots + T_{j_2 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r} \frac{\partial Z^j}{\partial x^{j_1}} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

But since a partial derivative is also a linear operator, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{aX+bY}T = a\mathcal{L}_XT + b\mathcal{L}_YT$$

(ii) If we calculate  $\mathcal{L}_X(\mathcal{L}_YT)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X(\mathcal{L}_YT)^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} &= X(\mathcal{L}_YT)^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} - (\mathcal{L}_YT)^{ii_2 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial X^{i_1}}{\partial x^i} - \dots + (\mathcal{L}_YT)^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{jj_2 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial X^j}{\partial x^{j_1}} + \dots \\ &= XYT^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} + X \left( -T^{ii_2 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial Y^{i_1}}{\partial x^i} + \dots + T^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{jj_2 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial Y^j}{\partial x^{j_1}} + \dots \right) \\ &\quad - (\mathcal{L}_YT)^{ii_2 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial X^{i_1}}{\partial x^i} - \dots + (\mathcal{L}_YT)^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{jj_2 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial X^j}{\partial x^{j_1}} + \dots \\ &= XYT^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} + X \left( -T^{ii_2 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial Y^{i_1}}{\partial x^i} + \dots + T^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{jj_2 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial Y^j}{\partial x^{j_1}} + \dots \right) \\ &\quad - YT^{ii_2 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial X^{i_1}}{\partial x^i} + T^{ki_2 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial X^{i_1}}{\partial x^i} + \dots \\ &\quad + YT^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{jj_2 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial X^j}{\partial x^{j_1}} - T^{ki_2 \dots i_r}_{jj_2 \dots j_s} \frac{\partial Y^{i_1}}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial X^j}{\partial x^{j_1}} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain the following result

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X(\mathcal{L}_YT)^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} - \mathcal{L}_Y(\mathcal{L}_XT)^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} &= [X, Y]T^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} - T^{ii_2 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} (X\partial_i Y^{i_1} - Y\partial_i X^{i_1}) + \dots \\ &= [X, Y]T^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} - T^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} \partial_i [X, Y]^{i_1} + \dots \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{[X, Y]}T^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s}\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\therefore \mathcal{L}_X(\mathcal{L}_YT) - \mathcal{L}_Y(\mathcal{L}_XT) = \mathcal{L}_{[X, Y]}T$$

**6.3** For  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ , the Lie derivative is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_XY = [X, Y]$$

,i.e., in natural basis,  $(\mathcal{L}_XY)^i = [X, Y]^i = X^j \partial_j Y^i - Y^j \partial_j X^i$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X\mathcal{L}_YZ &= \mathcal{L}_X([Y, Z]) = [X, [Y, Z]] \\ &= X[Y, Z] - [Y, Z]X \\ &= XYZ - XZY - YZX + ZYX \\ &= -YXZ + XYZ + YXZ - YZX + ZYX - ZXY + ZXY - XZY \\ &= [X, Y]Z + Y[X, Z] + Z[Y, X] + [Z, X]Y \\ &= -[Z, [X, Y]] - [Y, [Z, X]] \\ &= -\mathcal{L}_Z\mathcal{L}_XY - \mathcal{L}_Y\mathcal{L}_ZX\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\therefore \mathcal{L}_X\mathcal{L}_YZ + \mathcal{L}_Y\mathcal{L}_ZX + \mathcal{L}_Z\mathcal{L}_XY = 0$$

**6.4** For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$  and  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , since  $f$  and  $X$  are smooth, we have

$$fX = f(X) \Rightarrow (f(X))_p = f(p) \cdot X_p,$$

$fX$  is also a smooth vector field. From this definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{(fX)}Y &= [fX, Y] = (fX)Y - Y(fX) \\ &= f(XY - YX) - (Yf)X \\ &= f\mathcal{L}_XY - (Yf)X\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_{(fX)}Y = f\mathcal{L}_XY - (Yf)X$$

**6.5** By considering the Lie derivative on a tensor field, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X\delta_{j_1\cdots j_r}^{i_1\cdots i_r} &= X^k\partial_k\delta_{j_1\cdots j_r}^{i_1\cdots i_r} - \delta_{j_1j_2\cdots j_r}^{ki_2\cdots i_r}\partial_kX^{i_1} - \cdots - \delta_{j_1\cdots j_r}^{i_1\cdots k}\partial_kX^{i_r} \\ &\quad + \delta_{kj_2\cdots j_r}^{i_1i_2\cdots i_r}\partial_{j_1}X^k + \cdots + \delta_{j_1\cdots k}^{i_1\cdots i_r}\partial_{j_r}X^k\end{aligned}$$

However,  $-\delta_{j_1j_2\cdots j_r}^{ki_2\cdots i_r}\partial_kX^{i_1} + \delta_{kj_2\cdots j_r}^{i_1i_2\cdots i_r}\partial_{j_1}X^k = 0$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_X\delta_{j_1\cdots j_r}^{i_1\cdots i_r} = 0.$$

**6.6** When  $\omega \in \Lambda^p(M)$ , theorem says

$$\mathcal{L}_X\omega = i_X(d\omega) + d(i_X\omega)$$

By substituting  $d\omega \in \Lambda^{p+1}(M)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X(d\omega) &= i_X(d^2\omega) + d(i_X(d\omega)) \\ &= d(i_X(d\omega)) \\ &= d(i_X(d\omega) + d(i_X\omega)) \\ &= d(\mathcal{L}_X\omega)\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\therefore \mathcal{L}_X(d\omega) = d(\mathcal{L}_X\omega)$$

**6.7** Consider  $(M, g, \nabla)$  where  $g$  is a metric and  $\nabla$  is a connection. When  $\omega \in \Lambda^1(M)$  and  $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ , define a new connection

$$\tilde{\nabla}_XY = \nabla_XY + \omega(X)Y + \omega(Y)X.$$

Then, for  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

(i)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{(fX+gY)}Z &= \nabla_{(fX+gY)}Z + \omega(fX+gY)Z + \omega(Z)(fX+gY) \\ &= f\nabla_XZ + g\nabla_YZ + f\omega(X)Z + g\omega(Y)Z + f\omega(Z)X + g\omega(Z)Y \\ &= f(\nabla_XZ + \omega(X)Z + \omega(Z)X) + g(\nabla_YZ + \omega(Y)Z + \omega(Z)Y) \\ &= f\tilde{\nabla}_XZ + g\tilde{\nabla}_YZ\end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(ii)} \tilde{\nabla}_X(aY+bZ) &= \nabla_X(aY+bZ) + \omega(X)(aY+bZ) + \omega(aY+bZ)X \\ &= a\nabla_XY + b\nabla_XZ + a\omega(X)Y + b\omega(X)Z + a\omega(Y)X + b\omega(Z)X \\ &= a(\nabla_XY + \omega(X)Y + \omega(Y)X) + b(\nabla_XZ + \omega(X)Z + \omega(Z)X) \\ &= a\tilde{\nabla}_XY + b\tilde{\nabla}_XZ\end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_X(fY) &= \nabla_X(fY) + \omega(fY)X + \omega(X)fY \\ &= f\nabla_XY + (Xf)Y + f\omega(Y)X + \omega(X)fY \\ &= f\tilde{\nabla}_XY + (Xf)Y\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of torsion,  $T$ ,

$$(X, Y) \mapsto T(X, Y) = \nabla_XY - \nabla_YX - [X, Y],$$

for  $\tilde{\nabla}$ , it follows that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y X = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X$$

,i.e., it has the same torsion as  $\nabla$ .

**6.8** Let  $\Gamma$  define a symmetric affine connection on a manifold  $M$ . Then, for  $\psi_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(M)$ , write

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{jk}^i + \delta_j^i \psi_k + \delta_k^i \psi_j$$

Since both  $\Gamma$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}$  are defined on  $M$ , if  $\bar{\Gamma}$  also defines an affine connection, its difference must give a tensor of valence  $(1, 2)$ . But, manifestly,  $\delta_j^i \psi_k + \delta_k^i \psi_j$  is a tensor of valence  $(1, 2)$  and hence  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is also an affine connection.

Now, a geodesic is given by

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} x^i + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{d}{d\lambda} x^j \frac{d}{d\lambda} x^k = 0$$

Changing of a connection will give

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} x^i + (\Gamma_{jk}^i + \delta_j^i \psi_k + \delta_k^i \psi_j) \frac{d}{d\lambda} x^j \frac{d}{d\lambda} x^k = 0.$$

By changing of an affine parameter,  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda'$ ,

$$\left( \frac{d\lambda'}{d\lambda} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\lambda'^2} x^i + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{d}{d\lambda'} x^j \frac{d}{d\lambda'} x^k \right] + \frac{d^2 \lambda'}{d\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda'} x^i + 2 \left( \frac{d\lambda'}{d\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{d}{d\lambda'} x^i \frac{d}{d\lambda'} x^k = 0.$$

Choosing a parameter such that  $\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \lambda' + 2 \left( \frac{d\lambda'}{d\lambda} \right)^2 \psi_k \frac{d}{d\lambda'} x^k = 0$ , we can verify that both connections give the same geodesic. Also, in the case of a Riemann tensor,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{jkl}^i &= \partial_k \bar{\Gamma}_{lj}^i - \partial_l \bar{\Gamma}_{kj}^i + \bar{\Gamma}_{km}^i \bar{\Gamma}_{lj}^m - \bar{\Gamma}_{lm}^i \bar{\Gamma}_{kj}^m \\ &= \partial_k (\Gamma_{lj}^i + \delta_l^i \psi_j + \delta_j^i \psi_l) - \partial_l (\Gamma_{kj}^i + \delta_k^i \psi_j + \delta_j^i \psi_k) \\ &\quad + (\Gamma_{km}^i + \delta_k^i \psi_m + \delta_m^i \psi_k) (\Gamma_{lj}^m + \delta_l^m \psi_j + \delta_j^m \psi_l) \\ &\quad - (\Gamma_{lm}^i + \delta_l^i \psi_m + \delta_m^i \psi_l) (\Gamma_{kj}^m + \delta_k^m \psi_j + \delta_j^m \psi_k) \\ &= R_{jkl}^i + \delta_l^i \partial_k \psi_j - \delta_k^i \partial_l \psi_j + \delta_j^i (\partial_k \psi_l - \partial_l \psi_k) \\ &\quad + \Gamma_{kl}^i \psi_j + \Gamma_{kj}^i \psi_l + \delta_k^i \Gamma_{lj}^m \psi_m + 2\delta_k^i \psi_l \psi_j + \psi_k \Gamma_{lj}^i + \delta_l^i \psi_k \psi_j + \delta_j^i \psi_k \psi_l \\ &\quad - \Gamma_{lk}^i \psi_j - \Gamma_{lj}^i \psi_k - \delta_l^i \Gamma_{kj}^m \psi_m - 2\delta_l^i \psi_k \psi_j - \Gamma_{kj}^i \psi_l - \delta_k^i \psi_l \psi_j - \delta_j^i \psi_l \psi_k \\ &= R_{jkl}^i + \delta_j^i (\psi_{kl} - \psi_{lk}) - \delta_k^i \psi_{kl} + \delta_l^i \psi_{jk} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi_{kl} := \psi_{k;l} - \psi_k \psi_l$ . When  $\psi_i = \partial\varphi/\partial x^i$  where  $\varphi$  is a scalar field, then,

$$\bar{R}_{jl}^i - \bar{R}_{lj}^i = R_{jl}^i - R_{lj}^i + \delta_j^i (\psi_{il} - \psi_{li}) - d\psi_{jl} + \psi_{jl} - \delta_l^i (\psi_{ij} - \psi_{ji}) + d\psi_{lj} - \psi_{lj}$$

But,

$$\psi_{i;j} = \partial_i \psi_j - \psi_m \Gamma_{ij}^m = \partial_j \psi_i - \psi_m \Gamma_{ji}^m = \psi_{j;i}$$

since  $\psi_i = \partial_i \varphi$ . Hence,

$$\therefore \bar{R}_{jil}^i - \bar{R}_{lij}^i = R_{jil}^i - R_{lij}^i.$$

**6.9**

### 3 Chapter 8

**8.1** Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $T^*M$  be a cotangent bundle. For  $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n) \in M$ , then an element of  $T^*M$  can be assigned in a canonical way:

$$(x^1, \dots, x^n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in T^*M$$

where  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  are the components of the covector with respect to the basis  $(dx^1, \dots, dx^n)$  at the point. Then,  $T^*M$  can be considered as a differentiable manifold of dimension  $2n$  with symplectic structure such that

$$\omega = \sum_{k=1}^n dx^k \wedge dy_k.$$

where  $\omega$  is non-degenerate and closed by definition. Due to the nature of non-degeneracy of the symplectic form,  $\omega^n$  is well-defined, and hence orientable. (Every symplectic manifold is orientable.)

**8.2** For two differentiable functions,  $F$  and  $G$ , on  $(M, \omega)$  where  $\omega$  is a symplectic structure, the Poisson bracket is defined by

$$(F, G) := \omega(v_{dF}, v_{dG}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^k} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q^k} \right).$$

Here  $v$  is a Hamiltonian vector field which is defined by  $\mathcal{L}_v \omega = 0$ . Then, from the direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_{v_{dF}} G &= -v_{dF} G = - \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^{2n}}, -\frac{\partial F}{\partial q^1}, \dots, -\frac{\partial F}{\partial q^n} \right) G \\ &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^n} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q^{2n}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^{n+1}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q^1} - \dots - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^{2n}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q^n} \end{aligned}$$

which is identical to  $(F, G)$ . In a similar way, we can also show  $\mathcal{L}_{v_{dG}} F$  gives the same result. Hence,

$$(F, G) = -\mathcal{L}_{v_{dF}} G = \mathcal{L}_{v_{dG}} F$$

### 8.3