**Peer-Review Feedback Form**

Reviewer name:

Author name:

Reviewers: Answer the following questions. If you feel the review accomplishes the task sufficiently, a simple "yes" is sufficient. If you feel more work is needed, be specific: explain what is required and provide clear instructions on how to improve.

**Part 1: Content**

1. Does the review provide a clear description of the digital collection: who created it, what it contains, what features it offer?

2. Does the review offer a critical reflection on the archival context of the collection, using at least one course reading?

3. Does the review effectively evaluate the usefulness of the collection, including key strengths and weaknesses?

**Part 2: Readability and Flow**

1. Is there any point that you found particularly important or compelling? Be as specific as possible.

2. Is there any point where the writing is confusing or difficult to follow? Be as specific as possible.

3. Is the overall structure of the review clear and easy to follow? If not, can you name one or two changes that would help clarify the structure?