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**1. Structure:**

* Read through the op-ed and circle every main claim. Underline all evidence for each claim. Write a short outline of the essay below:

* If there are any paragraphs that aren't *clear* or *persuasive*, mark them in the margins. If there is anything about the structure that doesn't make sense, describe it.
* What would add to the clarity of the structure on a paragraph or essay level?

**2. Persuasion**

* Read through the op-ed again, and mark where you see the following information:
  + Appeal to ethos (the author establishes his/her authority as a writer)
  + Appeal to pathos (the author uses strategies that evoke emotions.)
  + Rebuttal/concession (the author describes a counter argument and explains why it is wrong).
* Is any information missing? Is any information described in too much detail? Is any information confusing?
* If so, how would you fix it?

**3. Style:**

* Read through the op-ed a third time and identify 2-3 places where the tone seems particularly appropriate to the chosen newspaper, and 2-3 places (if there are any) where the tone seems to stray from the newspaper.
* If you identified any places where the style is inconsistent, what do you think makes them that way?
* What would you recommend to fix these inconsistencies?