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**1. Structure:**

* Read through the researcher profile. For each paragraph, underline the sentence that states the main claim. Write a short outline of the essay below:

* If there are any paragraphs that aren't *clear* or *persuasive*, mark them in the margins. If there is anything about the structure that doesn't make sense, describe it.
* What would add to the clarity of the structure on a paragraph or essay level?

**2. Content**

* Read through the researcher profile again, and mark where you see the following information:
  + Hook
  + Background biographical information
  + Background information about the kind of research being conducted
  + Discussion of why this research is important.
  + Discussion of any controversial aspects related to this research.
* Is any information missing? Is any information described in too much detail? Is any information confusing? Is the hook compelling?
* If so, how would you fix it?

**3. Writing:**

* Read through the researcher profile a third time and identify 2-3 places where the writing is particularly clear, powerful, or otherwise effective, and 2-3 places (if there are any) where the sentences seem out of character or confusing.
* If you identified any places where the writing is inconsistent, what do you think makes them that way?
* What would you recommend to fix these inconsistencies?