# Latent Dirichlet Allocation

## Hamada Saleh

# Contents

1	Opt	mization objective function: ELBO	•
	1.1	Behind every expectation lies	2
		1.1.1 Topic	
		1.1.2 Topic proportion	2
		1.1.3 Topic index distribution	
		1.1.4 Word distribution	3
		1.1.5 Variational topic	4
		1.1.6 Variational topic distribution	4
		1.1.7 Variational topic index distribution	4
	1.2	Putting the pieces together	1
2	Mea	sure of fit: Perplexity	6
3	The	Newton-Raphson algorithm	7
	3.1	Newton-Raphson algorithm in cubic time	7
	3.2	Newton-Raphson algorithm in linear time	7
	3.3	Applying linear Newton-Raphson: How to update Dirichlet priors?	

## 1 Optimization objective function: ELBO

The parameters of the variational distribution  $q(z, \theta, \beta)$  (which approximates the true posterior) are optimized to maximize the Evidence Lower BOund (ELBO):

$$0 \ge \log p(w|\alpha, \eta) \ge \mathcal{L}(w, \phi, \gamma, \lambda)$$

Indeed, by <u>maximizing</u> the ELBO, we ensure that we are maximizing  $\log p(w|\alpha, \eta)$ , i.e. the logarithm of the true posterior, namely the log likelihood. In other words, we are performing **maximum likelihood** estimation. We want our probabilistic model to allocate high probability to documents that are actually seen in nature.

- K: number of topics
- W: vocabulary size

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(w,\Phi,\gamma,\lambda) &\triangleq \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(w,z,\theta,\beta|\alpha,\eta)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(z,\theta,\beta)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\theta|\alpha,\eta)p(z|\alpha,\eta,\theta)p(\beta|\alpha,\eta,\theta,z)p(w|\alpha,\eta,\theta,z,\beta)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(z)q(\theta)q(\beta)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\theta|\alpha)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(z|\theta)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\beta|\eta)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(w|\theta,z,\beta)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(z)] \\ &- \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\theta)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\beta)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\theta_1,...,\theta_D|\alpha)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(z_1,...,z_D|\theta)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\beta|\eta)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(w_1,...,w_D|\theta,z,\beta)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(z_1,...,z_D|\theta)] \\ &- \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\theta_1,...,\theta_D)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\beta)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_q[\log \prod_d p(w_d|\theta,z,\beta)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log \prod_d p(z_d|\theta)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log \prod_d q(z_d)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log \prod_d p(\theta_d|\alpha)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log \prod_d q(\theta_d)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\beta|\eta)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\beta|$$

## 1.1 Behind every expectation lies...

#### 1.1.1 Topic

- $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times W}$
- $\beta_k \sim Dir(\eta^W)$  where  $\eta^W = \eta * \mathbf{1}_W$ .
- $p(\beta|\eta) = p(\beta_1, ..., \beta_K|\eta) = \prod_k p(\beta_k|\eta)$
- $p(\beta_k|\eta) = \frac{1}{B(n)} \prod_{w=1}^W \beta_{kw}^{\eta-1}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\beta|\eta)] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\beta_{k}|\eta)]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[\log \frac{1}{B(\eta)} \prod_{w=1}^{W} \beta_{kw}^{\eta-1}\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\beta|\eta)] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\{-W \log \Gamma(\eta) + \log \Gamma(W\eta) + \sum_{w=1}^{W} (\eta - 1) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \beta_{kw})\right\}$$

#### 1.1.2 Topic proportion

- $\theta_d \in \mathbb{R}^K$
- $\theta_d \sim Dir(\alpha^K)$  where  $\alpha^K = \alpha * \mathbf{1_K}$
- $p(\theta_d|\alpha) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha)} \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_{dk}^{\alpha-1}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\theta_{d}|\alpha)] = \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[\log \frac{1}{B(\alpha)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \theta_{dk}^{\alpha-1}\right]$$

$$= -\log B(\alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\alpha - 1) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \theta_{dk})$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\theta_{d}|\alpha)] = \log \Gamma(K\alpha) - K \log \Gamma(\alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\alpha - 1) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \theta_{dk})$$

#### 1.1.3 Topic index distribution

- $z_d \in \mathbb{R}^{N_d}$  (i.e. for a given document d with  $N_d$  words, each word is assigned a topic index)
- $z_{di} \sim \theta_d$
- $p(z_{di} = k | \theta_d) = \theta_{dk}$
- $p(z_{di}|\theta_d) = \theta_{dz_{di}}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(z_{d}|\theta_{d})] = \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \prod_{i=1}^{N_{d}} p(z_{di}|\theta_{d})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(z_{di}|\theta_{d})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \theta_{dz_{di}}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log \theta_{dk} q(z_{di} = k)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log \theta_{dk} \Phi_{dw_{di}k}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\mathbb{E}[\log \theta_{dz_{di}}|z_{di}]]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{K} q(z_{di} = k) \log \theta_{dk}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{dw_{di}k} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \theta_{dk}]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(z_{d}|\theta_{d})] = \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{dw} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{dwk} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \theta_{dk}]$$

### 1.1.4 Word distribution

- $w_d \in \mathbb{R}^{N_d}$
- $w_{di} \sim \beta_{z_{di}}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(w_{d}|\theta_{d}, z_{d}, \beta)] = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(w_{di}|\theta_{d}, z_{di}, \beta)]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \beta_{z_{di}w_{di}}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} q(z_{di} = k) \log \beta_{kw_{di}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{dw_{di}k} \log \beta_{kw_{di}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(w_{d}|\theta_{d}, z_{d}, \beta)] = \sum_{i=1}^{W} n_{dw} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{dwk} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \beta_{kw}]$$

$$w=1$$
  $k=1$ 

#### 1.1.5 Variational topic

- $\beta_k, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}^W$
- $q(\beta_k) = \text{Dir}(\beta_k; \lambda_k)$ : cette distribution est une variable aléatoire
- $q\beta) = q(\beta_1,...,\beta_K) = \prod_k q(\beta_k)$  par indépendance des  $q(\beta_k)$  FLOU
- $q(\beta_k) = \frac{1}{B(\lambda_k)} \prod_{w=1}^W \beta_{kw}^{\lambda_{kw}-1}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(\beta)] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(\beta_{k})]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[ \log \frac{1}{B(\lambda_{k})} \prod_{w=1}^{W} \beta_{kw}^{\lambda_{kw}-1} \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(\beta)] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\{ \log \Gamma(\sum_{w=1}^{W} \lambda_{kw}) - \sum_{w=1}^{W} \log \Gamma(\lambda_{kw}) + \sum_{w=1}^{W} (\lambda_{kw} - 1) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \beta_{kw}) \right\}$$

#### 1.1.6 Variational topic distribution

- $\theta_d, \gamma_d \in \mathbb{R}^K$
- $q(\theta_d) = \text{Dir}(\theta_d; \gamma_d)$ : cette distribution est une variable aléatoire
- $q(\theta_d) = \frac{1}{B(\gamma_d)} \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_{dk}^{\gamma_{dk} 1}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(\theta_{d})] = \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[\log \frac{1}{B(\gamma_{d})} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \theta_{dk}^{\gamma_{dk}-1}\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(\theta_{d})] = \log \Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{dk}) - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log \Gamma(\gamma_{dk}) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\gamma_{dk} - 1) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \theta_{dk})$$

#### 1.1.7 Variational topic index distribution

- $\Phi_d \in \mathbb{R}^{W \times K}, z_d \in \mathbb{R}^{N_d}$
- $q(z_{di} = k) = \Phi_{dw_{di}k}$  est un réel
- $q(z_{di}) = \Phi_{dw_{di}z_{di}}$  est une variable aléatoire

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(z_{d})] = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(z_{di})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \Phi_{dw_{di}z_{di}}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log \Phi_{dw_{di}z_{di}=k} q(z_{di} = k)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{d}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log \Phi_{dw_{di}k} \Phi_{dw_{di}k}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(z_{d})] = \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{dw} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{dwk} \log \Phi_{dwk}$$

#### 1.2 Putting the pieces together

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(w,\Phi,\gamma,\lambda) &= \sum_{d} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(w_{d}|\theta_{d},z_{d},\beta)] + \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(z_{d}|\theta_{d})] - \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(z_{d})] \right. \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\theta_{d}|\alpha)] - \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(\theta_{d})] + (\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\beta_{l})] - \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(\beta_{l})]/D \} \\ &= \sum_{d} \left\{ \left( \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{dw} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{dwk} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \beta_{kw}) \right) + \left( \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{dw} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{dwk} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \theta_{dk}] \right) - \left( \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{dw} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{dwk} \log \Phi_{dwk} \right) \\ &+ \left( \log \Gamma(K\alpha) - K \log \Gamma(\alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\alpha - 1) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \theta_{dk}) \right) - \left( \log \Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{dk}) - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log \Gamma(\gamma_{dk}) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\gamma_{dk} - 1) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \theta_{dk}) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{D} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( -W \log \Gamma(\eta) + \log \Gamma(W\eta) + \sum_{w=1}^{W} (\lambda_{kw} - 1) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \beta_{kw}) \right. \\ &- \sum_{w=1}^{W} \log \Gamma(\lambda_{kw}) + \log \Gamma(\sum_{w=1}^{K} \lambda_{kw}) + \sum_{w=1}^{W} (\lambda_{kw} - 1) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \beta_{kw}) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{d} \left\{ \left( \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{dw} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{dwk} (\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \theta_{dk}] + \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \beta_{kw}] - \log \Phi_{dwk}) \right. \right. \\ &+ \left( -\log \Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{dk}) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\{ (\alpha - \gamma_{dk}) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \theta_{dk}) + \log \Gamma(\gamma_{dk}) \right\} - K \log \Gamma(\alpha) + \log \Gamma(K\alpha) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{D} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( -\log \Gamma(\sum_{w=1}^{W} \lambda_{kw}) + \sum_{w=1}^{W} \left\{ (\eta - \lambda_{kw}) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \beta_{kw}) + \log \Gamma(\lambda_{kw}) \right\} - W \log \Gamma(\eta) + \log \Gamma(W\eta) \right) \\ &- \log \Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{dk}) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\{ (\alpha - \gamma_{dk}) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \theta_{dk}) + \log \Gamma(\gamma_{dk}) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{D} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( -\log \Gamma(\sum_{w=1}^{W} \lambda_{kw}) + \sum_{w=1}^{W} \left\{ (\eta - \lambda_{kw}) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \beta_{kw}) + \log \Gamma(\lambda_{kw}) \right\} \right. \\ &+ \log \Gamma(K\alpha) - K \log \Gamma(\alpha) + \frac{1}{D} (\log \Gamma(W\eta) - W \log \Gamma(\eta)) \end{aligned}$$

On a donc:

$$\mathcal{L}(w, \Phi, \gamma, \lambda) \triangleq \sum_{d} \ell(n_d, \Phi_d, \gamma_d, \lambda)$$

Where  $\ell(n_d, \Phi_d, \gamma_d, \lambda)$  is the contribution of document d to the ELBO.

## 2 Measure of fit: Perplexity

In order to assess whether a fitted topic model is relevant, the authors choose perplexity as a metric. Ideally, such a measure should be positively correlated with human judgment, such that when the topics produced by a model make sense to the human eye, high perplexity is output (and vice versa).

$$\text{perplexity}(n^{test}, \lambda, \alpha) \triangleq \exp\{-(\sum_{i} \log p(n_i^{test} | \alpha, \beta)) / (\sum_{i, w} n_{iw}^{test})\}$$

Upper bound on perplexity

$$\text{perplexity} \leq \exp\{-(\sum_{i} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(n_{i}^{test}, \theta_{i}, z_{i} | \alpha, \beta)] - \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(\theta_{i}, z_{i})]) / (\sum_{i, w} n_{i, w}^{test})\}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(n_{i}^{test},\theta_{i},z_{i}|\alpha,\beta)] &= \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\theta_{i},z_{i}|\alpha,\beta)p(n_{i}^{test}|\alpha,\beta,\theta_{i},z_{i})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\theta_{i},z_{i}|\alpha,\beta)\Pi_{w=1}^{W}p(w_{i,w}^{test}|\alpha,\beta,\theta_{i},z_{i,w})^{n_{i,w}^{test}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\theta_{i}|\alpha)] + \mathbb{E}[\log p(z_{i}|\theta_{i})] + \mathbb{E}[\log p(w_{i}^{test}|\theta_{i},z_{i},\beta)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\theta_{i},z_{i}|\alpha,\beta)] + \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{i,w}^{test} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(w_{i,w}^{test}|\alpha,\beta,\theta_{i},z_{i})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\theta_{i}|\alpha,\beta)p(z_{i}|\alpha,\beta,\theta_{i})] + \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{i,w}^{test} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(w_{i,w}^{test}|\alpha,\beta,\theta_{i},z_{i})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\theta_{i}|\alpha)] + \mathbb{E}[\log p(z_{i}|\theta_{i})] + \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{i,w}^{test} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(w_{i,w}^{test}|\theta_{i},z_{i},\beta)] \\ &= \log \Gamma(K\alpha) - K \log \Gamma(\alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\alpha - 1) \mathbb{E}_{q}(\log \theta_{ik}) \\ &+ \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{iw} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{iwk} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \theta_{ik}] + \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{i,w}^{test} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{iwk} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \beta_{kw}] \\ \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(n_{i}^{test},\theta_{i},z_{i}|\alpha,\beta)] = \log \Gamma(K\alpha) - K \log \Gamma(\alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\alpha - 1) \{\Psi(\gamma_{ik}) - \Psi(\sum_{l=1}^{K} \gamma_{il})\} \\ &+ \sum_{w=1}^{W} n_{iw} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{iwk} \{\Psi(\gamma_{ik}) - \Psi(\sum_{l=1}^{K} \gamma_{il})\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\theta_i, z_i)] &= \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\theta_i) q(z_i)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\theta_i)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(z_i)] \\ &= \log \Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_{ik}) - \sum_{k=1}^K \log \Gamma(\gamma_{ik}) + \sum_{k=1}^K (\gamma_{ik} - 1) \mathbb{E}_q(\log \theta_{ik}) + \sum_{w=1}^W n_{iw} \sum_{k=1}^K \Phi_{iwk} \log \Phi_{iwk} \\ \\ \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\theta_i, z_i)] &= \log \Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_{ik}) - \sum_{k=1}^K \log \Gamma(\gamma_{ik}) + \sum_{k=1}^K (\gamma_{ik} - 1) \{\Psi(\gamma_{ik}) - \Psi(\sum_{l=1}^K \gamma_{il})\} + \sum_{w=1}^W n_{iw} \sum_{k=1}^K \Phi_{iwk} \log \Phi_{iwk} \end{split}$$

## 3 The Newton-Raphson algorithm

Why do we need Newton-Raphson? We want to find optimal values for  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$  using Maximum Likelihood Estimation with respect to the ELBO. That is, we are looking for  $\alpha^*$  and  $\eta^*$  that maximize the ELBO (because we want our model, which is encoding our assumptions about reality, to best fit said reality / the observed data, and one way of doing so is by choosing parameters that assign highest probability to what is actually observed; i.e. maximizing likelihood). How do we find such  $\alpha^*$  and  $\eta^*$ ? Through the Newton-Raphson procedure!

How does Newton-Raphson work? This algorithm is used for finding zeros of functions. We're interested in the ELBO's gradient's roots, because maximizers of that function can be found in that set of points.

For further exploring [3], [2].

#### 3.1 Newton-Raphson algorithm in cubic time

#### Algorithm 1 Newton-Raphson algorithm

$$\begin{array}{l} \alpha \leftarrow \alpha_0 \\ \textbf{while} \ |\Delta^{\text{Newton}}(\alpha)| > \epsilon \ \textbf{do} \\ \alpha^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \alpha^{(t)} + \Delta^{\text{Newton}}(\alpha^{(t)}) \end{array}$$

#### end while

 $\Delta^{\mathrm{Newton}}$  is the *Newton step*. It is the **direction** that leads to a local minimum of the quadratic approximation of the gradient function.

$$\Delta^{\text{Newton}}(\alpha) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} -H^{-1}(\alpha)g(\alpha)$$

Most of the time, the amplitude of the step taken in a given direction is adjusted with a learning rate / step size  $\rho$ . One often readjusts the step size at each iteration, in such a way that  $\rho_t \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} 0$ . While too small a learning rate slows down convergence, too high a step size often leads to divergence.

$$\Delta^{\text{Newton}}(\alpha, \rho_t) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} -\rho_t H^{-1}(\alpha)g(\alpha)$$

Complexity  $H(\alpha)$  is a square matrix of size K. Inverting it is  $\mathcal{O}(K^3)$  complex, which is quite costly... But we can do better!

#### 3.2 Newton-Raphson algorithm in linear time

Given a Hessian matrix H of a particular form, we can come up with a Newton-Raphson algorithm that scales linearly (p. 26 of [1]).

If the Hessian matrix is such that:

$$H = \operatorname{diag}(h) + 1z1^{T}$$

Then:

$$H^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(h)^{-1} - \frac{\operatorname{diag}(h)^{-1} 11^T \operatorname{diag}(h)^{-1}}{z^{-1} + \sum_j h_j^{-1}}$$

And:

$$(H^{-1}g)_i = \frac{g_i - c}{h_i}$$

Where

$$c = \frac{g^t h}{z^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^K h_j^{-1}}$$

**Complexity** c only depends on the 2k values of g and h, which yields a Newton-Raphson algorithm in linear time.

#### 3.3 Applying linear Newton-Raphson: How to update Dirichlet priors?

When fitting a topic model, we must provide a priori values for  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$ , the Dirichlet topic-document distribution and document-word distribution. The default values are respectively  $\alpha = \frac{1}{K}$  and  $\eta = \frac{1}{W}$ . In other words, we start off with symmetrical priors, where each vector has identical values. Then we have two options. We can either leave them as is, or use the training data for updating their values. Doing so will result in asymmetrical Dirichlet (posterior) distributions. You can try out different updating settings and see what works best for you! (e.g. don't update, update only  $\alpha$ , update only  $\eta$ , update  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$ ) [4].

Given the particular ELBO at hand, we can use the above linear Newton-Raphson algorithm.

 $\alpha$  update  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_K)$ .

Given  $\ell$  the per-document contribution to the ELBO:

$$\ell(n_t, \gamma_t, \phi_t, \lambda) = \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(w_d | \theta_d, z_d, \beta)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(z_d | \theta_d)] \\ - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(z_d)] + \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\theta_d | \alpha)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\theta_d)] \\ + \frac{1}{D} \left( \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\beta | \eta)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(\beta)] \right)$$

Let's compute its gradient relative to  $\alpha$ 

$$\nabla_{\alpha} \ell(n_t, \gamma_t, \phi_t, \lambda) = \nabla_{\alpha} \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\theta_d | \alpha)]$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \alpha_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} \left( \log \Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i) - \sum_{i=1}^K \log \Gamma(\alpha_i) + \sum_{i=1}^K (\alpha_i - 1) \mathbb{E}_q[\log \theta_{di}] \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \alpha_j} = \Psi(\sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i) - \Psi(\alpha_j) + (\alpha_j - 1) \mathbb{E}_q[\log \theta_{dj}]$$

Hence, the gradient:

$$\nabla_{\alpha} \ell(\gamma_t) = \Psi(\sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i) * 1_K - \Psi(\alpha) + (\alpha - 1_K) * \mathbb{E}_q[\log \theta_d]$$

Furthermore:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} = \Psi'(\sum_k \alpha_k) = \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \alpha_j \partial \alpha_i}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \alpha_j^2} = \Psi'(\sum_k \alpha_k) - \Psi'(\alpha_j) + \mathbb{E}_q[\log \theta_{dj}]$$

Hence, the Hessian matrix:

$$H_{\ell}(\alpha) = \operatorname{diag}(h_{\alpha}) + 1_K z_{\alpha} 1_K^T$$

Where:

$$h_{\alpha} = \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \theta_{d}] - \Psi'(\alpha)$$

$$z_{\alpha} = \Psi'(\sum_{k} \alpha_{k})$$

$$c = \frac{\nabla_{\alpha} \ell(\gamma_{t})^{T} h_{\alpha}}{z_{\alpha}^{-1} + h_{\alpha}^{-1} 1_{K}}$$

At the end of the day, the update rule for  $\alpha$  is the following:

$$\alpha \leftarrow \alpha - \rho_t \tilde{\alpha}(\gamma_t)$$

Where:

$$\rho_t \stackrel{\triangle}{=} (\tau_0 + t)^{-\kappa}$$
 (step size)  
$$\tilde{\alpha}(\gamma_t) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} H_{\ell}(\alpha)^{-1} \nabla_{\alpha} \ell(\gamma_t)$$
 (step)

 $\eta$  update  $\eta = (\eta_1, ..., \eta_W)$ 

Given  $\mathcal{L}$  the ELBO function:

$$\mathcal{L}(w, \Phi, \gamma, \lambda) = \sum_{d} \ell(n_d, \gamma_d, \phi_d, \lambda)$$

Let's compute its gradient relative to  $\eta$ :

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \eta_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j} \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(\beta|\eta)] \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \eta_j} &= \sum_{k=1}^K \{-\Psi(\eta_j) + \Psi(\sum_{i=1}^W \eta_i) + (\eta_j - 1) \mathbb{E}_q[\log \beta_{kj}]\} \end{split}$$

$$\nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = K * \left[ \Psi(\sum_{j=1}^{W} \eta_j) * 1_W - \Psi(\eta) \right] + (\eta - 1_W) * 1_K^T \mathbb{E}_q[\log \beta]$$

And its Hessian matrix:

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{L}}{\partial \eta_{i} \partial \eta_{j}} = K \Psi'(\sum_{l=1}^{W} \eta_{l}) = \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{L}}{\partial \eta_{j} \partial \eta_{i}}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial^2 \eta_j} = -K\Psi'(\eta_j) + K\Psi'(\sum_{l=1}^W \eta_l) + \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}_q[\log \beta_{kj}]$$

$$H_{\mathcal{L}}(\eta) = \operatorname{diag}(h_{\eta}) + 1_W z_{\eta} 1_W^t$$

Where

$$h_{\eta} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log \beta_{k}] - K\Psi'(\sum_{l=1}^{W} \eta_{l})$$
$$z_{\eta} = K\Psi'(\sum_{l=1}^{W} \eta_{l})$$

At the end of the day, the update rule for  $\eta$  is the following:

$$\eta \leftarrow \eta - \rho_t \tilde{\eta}(\gamma_t)$$

Where:

$$\rho_t \stackrel{\triangle}{=} (\tau_0 + t)^{-\kappa}$$
 (step size)  
$$\tilde{\eta}(\gamma_t) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} H_{\mathcal{L}}(\eta)^{-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$$
 (step)

Quid du déterminant

[1]

## References

- [1] David Blei, Andrew Ng, and Michael Jordan. Latent Dirichlet Allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3, 2003.
- [2] Jonathan Huang. Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Dirichlet Distribution Parameters.
- [3] Thomas P. Minka. Estimating a Dirichlet distribution. Technical Report M.I.T., 2000.
- [4] Shaheen Syed and Marco Spruit. Selecting Priors for Latent Dirichlet Allocation.