Analysis of 2D Maxima Algorithm

(Class 4)

```
MAXIMA (int n, Point P[1 ... n])
                                              n times
1 For i \leftarrow 1 to n
2 Do maximal ← true
                                              n times
       For j \leftarrow 1 to n
       Do
            If (i \neq j) and (P[i].x \leq P[j].x) and (P[i].y \leq P[j].y) 4 memorry accesses
5
6
                Then maximal ← false
                Break
8
       If (maximal = true)
            Then output P[i].x,P[i].y
9
                                              2 memorry accesses
```

- We want to calculate worst case scenario.
- We have pair of nested summations, one for i-loop and the other for the j-loop.

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} 4\right)$$

• We counted the total number of times the memory is accessed in both inner and outer loops.

Worst Case Running Time of 2D Maxima Algorithm

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} 4\right)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} 4 = 4n \text{ , and so}$$

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2 + 4n)$$
$$T(n) = n (2 + 4n) = 4n^{2} + 2n$$

• So, we get a polynomial after calculating the summations.

- For small values of n, any algorithm is fast enough.
- What happens when *n* gets large?
- Running time does become an issue.
- When n is large, n^2 term will be much larger than the n term and will dominate the running time.

- Here T(n) is the function that gives the running time of the algorithm.
- We can relate the value of the T(n) with the seconds or minutes.
- For example, one memory access takes 1 millisecond.
- And we provided the input data of 100 cars to the algorithm (n = 100).

The execution time will be:

$$T_{worst}(100) = 4(100)^2 + 2(100)$$

 $T_{worst}(100) = 40200 \, ms$
 $T_{worst}(100) = 40.2 \, seconds$

- Note that if we ignore the 2n term in the equation,
- Then in the answer, we will see the difference of only 200 milliseconds.
- Such a small value is negligible as compared to the $4n^2$ (i.e., 40000 milliseconds).
- That is why we said that the $4n^2$ term dominates.

- We will say that the worst-case running time is $O(n^2)$.
- This is called the asymptotic growth rate of the function.
- We will discuss this O-notation (Big-O) more formally later.

- Big O notation is a mathematical notation that describes the limiting behavior of a function when the argument tends towards a particular value or infinity.
- Big O is a member of a family of notations invented by Paul Bachmann and others.
- The letter O was chosen by Bachmann to stand for *Ordnung*, meaning the order of approximation.

Example 1

- Associate a "cost" with each statement.
- Find the "total cost" by finding the total number of times each statement is executed.
- Total Cost = $c + c + c + \cdots + c = c \times N$

Algorithm 1	Cost
array[0] ← 0	С
array[1] ← 0	С
array[2] ← 0	С
•••	•••
array[N-1] ← 0	С

Example 2

•
$$Total\ Cost = (N+1) \times c_2 + N \times c_1 = (c_1 + c_2) \times N + c_2$$

Algorithm 2	Cost
for i ← 0 to n	c ₂
array[i] ← 0	C ₁

Example 3

• Total Cost = $c_1 + c_2 \times (N + 1) + c_2 \times N \times (N + 1) + c_3 \times N^2$

Algorithm 3	Cost
sum ← 0	c ₁
for i ← 0 to n	C ₂
for j ← 0 to n	C ₂
sum ← sum + array[i][j]	c ₃

Summations

- The analysis involved computing a summation.
- Summation should be familiar but let us review a bit here.
- We will use summations as a tool to solve the algorithm.

- Given a finite sequence of values a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , ..., a_n .
- Their sum $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots + a_n$ is expressed in summation notation as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$$

• If n = 0, then the sum is additive identity, 0.

Some Facts about Summation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} ca_i = c \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{i+} b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i$$

Some Important Summations

- Arithmetic Series
- Quadratic Series
- Geometric Series
- Harmonic Series

Arithmetic Series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = 1 + 2 + 3 \dots + n$$

$$= \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$= 0(n^{2})$$

- Here, from the polynomial $\frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$, $\frac{n^2}{2}$ is dominating term.
- So, we further took only n^2 as dominating term.

Quadratic Series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 = 1 + 4 + 9 \dots + n^2$$

$$= \frac{2n^3 + 3n^2 + n}{6}$$

$$= O(n^3)$$

- Here, from the polynomial $\frac{2n^3}{6} + \frac{3n^2}{6} + \frac{n}{6}$, $\frac{2n^3}{6}$ is dominating term.
- So, we further took only n^3 as dominating term.

Geometric Series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{i} = 1 + x + x^{2} \dots + x^{n}$$

$$= \frac{x^{n+1} - 1}{x - 1}$$

- If 0 < x < 1 then this is O(1), and
- If x > 1, then this is $O(x^n)$.

Harmonic Series

• For $n \geq 0$

$$H_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \approx \ln(n)$$

$$\approx O(\ln(n))$$

• Its dominating term is Natural log (base e) of n.

A More Complex Example

```
1 for i ← 1 to n
2 do
3     for j ← 1 to 2i
4     do k ← j ...
5     while (k ≥ 0)
6     do k ← k - 1 ...
```

- Why we say it is complex example?
- Due to more nested loops and their conditions.
- So, how to perform analysis on this type of nesting loops, etc.

- How do we analyze the running time of an algorithm that has complex nested loop?
- The answer is we write out the loops as summations and then solve the summations.
- To convert loops into summations, we work from inside-out.
- Starting from inner-most loop.

Inner-Most Loop

```
1 for i ← 1 to n
2 do
3     for j ← 1 to 2i
4     do k=j ...
5     while (k ≥ 0)
6     do k = k - 1 ...
```

- Consider the inner most while loop.
- It is executed for k = j, j 1, j 2, ..., 0
- We don't consider what is happening in while loop.
- And we assumed time spent inside the while loop is constant.

• Let I() be the time spent in the **while** loop, then:

$$I(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} 1 = j + 1$$
$$I(j) = j + 1$$

- Because it is the constant time inside the while loop.
- That is why, we write that constant time in the form of summation.

Middle Loop

• Now we consider the middle *for* loop.

```
1 for i ← 1 to n
2 do
3     for j ← 1 to 2i
4     do k=j ...
5     while (k ≥ 0)
6     do k = k - 1 ...
```

- It's running time is determined by i.
- Let M() be the time spent in the **for** loop:

$$M(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{2i} I(j)$$

This mathematical expression will be solved as follows:

$$M(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{2i} I(j) = \sum_{j=1}^{2i} (j+1)$$

$$M(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{2i} j + \sum_{j=1}^{2i} 1$$

$$M(i) = \frac{2i(2i+1)}{2} + 2i$$

$$M(i) = 2i^2 + 3i$$

• This is the running time of inner 2 loops.

Outer-Most Loop

• Finally outer most *for* loop.

```
1 for i ← 1 to n
2 do
3    for j ← 1 to 2i
4    do k=j ...
5    while (k ≥ 0)
6    do k = k - 1 ...
```

• Let T() be running time of the entire algorithm:

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(i)$$

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2i^{2} + 3i)$$

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2i^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 3i$$

$$M(i) = 2\frac{2n^{3} + 3n^{2} + n}{6} + 3\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$M(i) = \frac{4n^{3} + 15n^{2} + 11n}{6}$$

$$M(i) = O(n^{3})$$

The dominating term from the expression is n^3 .

- In this way, we can say that the exponent value of the n term increases as the order of loop nesting increases.
- But this is not a rule.
- e.g., 1 loop means running time is n
- 2 order nesting mean n^2
- 3 order nesting mean n^3
- And so on.

2D Maxima Revisited

- Can we improve the performance of the 2D maxima algorithm?
- So that we reduce the running time from n^2 to some low value.
- It is a huge contribution in the research to improve the performance of an existing algorithm.

- But it is very difficult and complex procedure and need massive research.
- So how to improve the performance?
- We cannot improve the performance by just changing the programming techniques and methodologies.
- But we have to make fundamental changes in the algorithm mathematically.
- In the next class we try to improve the performance of the 2D maxima.