

2D Maxima Sweep-Line Algorithm

(Class 5)

From Book's Chapter 2

- We want to improve the efficiency of the algorithm we developed in previous class.
- For example, one algorithm has $O(n^2)$ running time.
- And then we improved the algorithm that has $O(n)$ running time.

- We introduced a brute-force algorithm that ran in $O(n^2)$ time.
- It operated by comparing all pairs of points.
- Is there an approach that is significantly better?
- The problem with the brute-force algorithm is that it uses no intelligence in pruning out decisions.

- For example, once we know that a point p_i is dominated by another point p_j , we do not need to use p_i for eliminating other points.
- For example, if $p_i < p_j$ then there is no possibility that p_i will appear as maximal point.
- So, we should exclude p_i in the future comparisons inside that algorithm.

- This follows from the fact that dominance relation is *transitive*.
- If p_j dominates p_i , and p_i dominates p_h then p_j also dominates p_h ; p_i is not needed.

$$p_h < p_i < p_j$$

$$p_h < p_j$$


- Hence, both p_h and p_i can be excluded from the future comparisons.

Plane-Sweep Algorithm

- We will sweep a vertical line across the 2D plane from left to right.
- As we sweep, we will build a structure holding the maximal points lying to the left of the sweep line.
- Sweep from minimum x value to maximum x value.
- And update the maximal set accordingly.

- The maximal set will keep updating while we sweep.
- When the sweep line reaches the rightmost point of P , we will have the complete set of maximal points.
- This approach of solving geometric problems is called the *plane sweep*.
- Geometric algorithm is another domain of algorithms.
- First, we will sort all the points in ascending order with respect to x coordinate.


Plane-Sweep Algorithm



(2,5)

Stack


Plane-Sweep Algorithm



(3,13)


Stack

Plane-Sweep Algorithm



$(4, 11)$
 $(3, 13)$
Stack


Plane-Sweep Algorithm



(5,1)
(4,11)
(3,13)

Stack


Plane-Sweep Algorithm



(7,7)
(4,11)
(3,13)

Stack


Plane-Sweep Algorithm



(9,10)
(4,11)
(3,13)

Stack


Plane-Sweep Algorithm



(10,5)
(9,10)
(4,11)
(3,13)

Stack


Plane-Sweep Algorithm



$(12, 12)$
 $(3, 13)$

Stack


Plane-Sweep Algorithm



(13,3)
(12,12)
(3,13)

Stack


Plane-Sweep Algorithm



(14,10)
(12,12)
(3,13)

Stack

Plane-Sweep Algorithm



(15,7)
(14,10)
(12,12)
(3,13)

Stack

- At this point, the algorithm will stop, and we get the maximal points in the stack.
- We can also perform this whole operation on y axis.
- How we got this idea?

- This is the designing of the algorithm.
- Algorithm designing is an art.
- To master it we have to study existing examples, with experience and by solving different problems in different domains.

Analysis of Plane-Sweep Algorithm

```
PLANE-SWEEP-MAXIMA (n, Point P[1 ... n])
1 sort P in increasing order by x coordinate
2 stack s
3 For i ← 1 to n
4   do
5     while (s is not empty AND s.top().y ≤ P[i].y)
6       s.pop()
7   s.push(P[i])
8 output the contents of stack s
```

- Sorting takes $O(n \log_2 n)$; we will show this later when we discuss sorting.
- The *for* loop executes n times.
- The inner loop (seemingly) could be iterated $(n - 1)$ times.
- It seems we still have an $n(n - 1)$ or $O(n^2)$ algorithm.
- Is it same as previous 2D maxima algorithm?
- We got confused by simple minded loop counting.

- We pop an element off the stack each time we go through the inner while-loop.
- It is not possible to pop more elements than are ever pushed on the stack.
- Therefore, the inner while-loop cannot execute more than n times over the entire course of the algorithm.

Worst-Case Scenario of Plane-Sweep Algorithm

- Worst-case scenario is when all the points are same.
- All the points will be pushed to the stack and no point will pop.
- It is not the case that a point will be pushed then pop then pushed again.
- Total n points will be pushed.
- No point will pop.

- The *for* loop iterates n times and the inner *while* loop also iterates n time for a total of $2n \approx O(n)$.
- Combined with the sorting, the runtime of entire plane-sweep algorithm is:

$$(n \log_2 n) + 2n$$

$$= \mathbf{O(n \log_2 n)}$$

Comparison

- How much of an improvement is plane-sweep over brute-force?
- Ratio of running times:

$$\frac{n^2}{n \log_2 n} \\ = \frac{n}{\log_2 n}$$

n	log n	Ratio (n/log n)
100	7	15
1000	10	100
10000	13	752
100000	17	6021
1000000	20	50171

- For example, if we have $n = 1000000$,
- The plane-sweep algorithm will take 1 second.
- The brute-force algorithm will take about 14 hours.